

E.01345A.08.0172



0000101773

ORIGINAL

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMIS  
UTILITY COMPLAINT FORM

47  
0

**Investigator:** Guadalupe Ortiz

**Phone:** [REDACTED]

**Fax:** [REDACTED]

**Priority:** Respond Within Five Days

**Opinion No. 2009 81244**

**Date:** 8/19/2009

**Complaint Description:** 08A Rate Case Items - Opposed  
N/A Not Applicable

RECEIVED  
2009 AUG 20 12:40  
AZ CORP COMMISSION  
DOCKET CONTROL CENTER  
Arizona Corporation Commission  
DOCKETED  
8/19/2009

**Complaint By:** **First:** PETITION **Last:** PETITION

**Account Name:** Patrick Schlecht

**Home:** (000) 000-0000

**Street:** [REDACTED]

**Work:**

**City:** Bouse

**CBR:**

**State:** AZ **Zip:** 85325

**is:**

**Utility Company:** Arizona Public Service Company

**Division:** Electric

DOCKETED BY [REDACTED]

**Contact Name:** [REDACTED]

**Contact Phone:** [REDACTED]

**Nature of Complaint:**

\*\*\*\*\*REFERRED FROM COMMISSIONER NEWMAN'S OFFICE\*\*\*\*\*

PETITION RECEIVED INCLUDES 543 SIGNATURES FROM CUSTOMER'S OPPOSED TO APS SCHEDULE 3, LINE EXTENSION POILCY:

RE: DOCKET NO. E-01345-08-0172:

We the people of Arizonan's for Fair Power Policy request that the Arizona Corporation Commission revisit the APS policy pertaining to the cost of power extensions. Having new businesses and new homeowners pay outrageous prices to extend APS's infrastructure is having a huge impact on the greater economy.

As APS is a monopoly, the end user is without choice. We believe that being forced to pay these fees is an abuse of power. We firmly believe that the APS 1000 free no cost extension should be reinstated.

\*End of Complaint\*

**Utilities' Response:**

n/a

\*End of Response\*

**Investigator's Comments and Disposition:**

Petition docketed with the Docket Control Center of the Arizona Corporation Commission to be made part of the record. CLOSED

\*End of Comments\*

**Date Completed:** 8/19/2009

**Opinion No. 2009 - 81244**

**Arizonans for Fair Power Policy**  
**azpowerpolicy.org**

We the people of Arizonan's for Fair Power Policy request that the Arizona Corporation Commission revisit the APS policy pertaining to the cost of power extensions. Having new businesses and new homeowners pay outrageous prices to extend APS's infrastructure is having a huge impact on the greater economy.

As APS is a monopoly, the end-user is without choice. We believe that being forced to pay these fees is an abuse of power. We firmly believe that the APS 1000 feet no cost extension should be reinstated.

	Print Name	Sign	Telephone#	city, state
1.				
2.	Axona Parrish	Axona Parrish		Ajo, AZ
3.	DEBRA MORROW			AJO, AZ
4.	Dimitrakopoulos			Ajo, AZ
5.	CAROL Yukum	Carol Yukum		AJO, AZ
6.	Samuel Guzman	Samuel Guzman		AJO, AZ
7.	KENNETH FREESE	Kenneth Freease		AJO, AZ
8.	HOPE NOYES	Hope Noyes		AJO, AZ
9.	John L. Noyes	John L. Noyes		AJO, AZ
10.	Robert W. DENUNE	Robert W. Denune		AJO, AZ
11.	Dixie A Willitard	Dixie A Willitard		Ajo, AZ
12.	PATRICIA SANDERSON	Patricia Sanderson		AJO, AZ
13.	Ed Rydeen	Ed Rydeen		AJO AZ
14.	Norma Gomez	Norma Gomez		Ajo, AZ
15.	Margaret Free	MARGARET FREESE		AJO, AZ
16.	Arleta Doeste	Arleta Doeste		Ajo, AZ
17.	EDITH VANCE	Edith M. Vance		Ajo, AZ
18.	BILLY CLEMENTS	Billy Clements		AJO AZ
19.	MIKE MEXABURG	Mike Mexaburg		AJO
20.	Kenneth Schast II	Kenneth C. Schast II		Ajo, AZ
21.	NICK FRANCIS	Nick Francis		AJO, AZ
22.	Tom DRIVER	Tom Driver		AJO, AZ
23.	TOM POWELL	Tom Powell		AJO, AZ

# Petición de Arizonenses por una Póliza de Electricidad Justa

azpowerpolicy.org

Nosotros, miembros de Arizonenses por una Póliza de Electricidad Justa (Arizonan's for Fair Power Policy) solicitamos que la Comisión de Corporación de Arizona revise nuevamente la póliza de APS en relación al costo de extensiones eléctricas. El hacer que negocios nuevos y propietarios de casa nuevas paguen precios exorbitantes para extender la infraestructura de APS tiene enorme impacto en nuestra economía. Debido a que APS es un monopolio, el cliente final no tiene otra opción. Creemos que el ser obligados a pagar estos cargos es un abuso de poder. Creemos firmemente que la extensión de 1000 pies de APS debe ser reestablecida.

	Nombre en letra de molde	Firma	Número telefónico	Ciudad, Estado
24.	Laurita C.	Laura C.C.		Surprise Az.
25.	Gabriela Cruz	Gabriela C.O.		Phoenix AZ
26.	Francisco Contreras			Phoenix AZ
27.	Datzy Contreras			Phoenix, AZ
28.	CELIA PATRICK	Celia Patrick		Wendell, AZ
29.	Julio Gomez	Julio Gomez		Phoenix AZ.
30.	Alan Pereda	Alan Pereda		Goodyear Az
31.	Luis Mollinedo			Goodyear Az
32.	Julio Artales			Glendale AZ
33.	Victor M. Arroyo			El Mirage AZ
34.	Jose Torres			El Mirage AZ
35.	SB MUEL ULLER			Glen Dale AZ
36.	<del>Valencia R...</del>	<del></del>		77 Surprise
37.	CRISTINA	Tharra		Surprise AZ
38.	Nancy	Gonzalez		Surprise AZ
39.	Bonita Cruz Estrada			
40.	FRANCISCO ESTRADA			SURPRISE
41.	MARTIN OSUNA	MARTIN OSUNA		SURPRISE
42.	Hector Salinas			La Habla PK
43.	VICTOR M. ARROYO			EL MIRAGE
44.	Rosal De la Cruz	Rosal De la Cruz		EL MIRAGE AZ
45.	Mercedes B. Posillas	Mercedes B. Posillas		Surprise AZ

# Petición de Arizonenses por una Póliza de Electricidad Justa

azpowerpolicy.org

Nosotros, miembros de Arizonenses por una Póliza de Electricidad Justa (Arizonan's for Fair Power Policy) solicitamos que la Comisión de Corporación de Arizona revise nuevamente la póliza de APS en relación al costo de extensiones eléctricas. El hacer que negocios nuevos y propietarios de casa nuevas paguen precios exorbitantes para extender la infraestructura de APS tiene enorme impacto en nuestra economía. Debido a que APS es un monopolio, el cliente final no tiene otra opción. Creemos que el ser obligados a pagar estos cargos es un abuso de poder. Creemos firmemente que la extensión de 1000 pies de APS debe ser reestablecida.

Nombre en letra de molde	Firma	Número telefónico	Ciudad, Estado
46. JOANNA BELTRAN	<i>Joanna Beltran</i>		SURPRISE AZ
47. DIANA SALAZAR	<i>Diana Salazar</i>		EL MIRAGE, AZ
48. ROSA MIRA	<i>Rosa MIRA</i>		EL MIRAGE AZ
49. MELCHOR SUAREZ	<i>Melchor Suarez</i>		SURPRISE AZ
50. MANUELA SIQUEIRA	<i>MANUELA SIQUEIRA</i>		EL MIRAGE AZ
51. Guadalupe Siqueira	<i>Guadalupe Siqueiras</i>		El mirage AZ
52. Connie Pereda	<i>Connie Pereda</i>		Litchfield Park
53. Roberto Juarez	<i>Roberto Juarez</i>		Surprise AZ
54. Daniel Yañez	<i>Daniel Yañez</i>		Surprise AZ
55. MARZOL JANEZ	<i>MARZOL JANEZ</i>		Surprise AZ
56. Maria D. Garcia	<i>Maria D. Garcia</i>		El Mirage
57. Gloria Padilla	<i>Gloria Padilla</i>		PHX AZ
58. MARIANA VARELA	<i>Mariana Varela</i>		PHX AZ
59. Ana Siqueiras	<i>Ana Siqueiras</i>		El Mirage, AZ
60. Bruno Galvaz	<i>Bruno Galvaz</i>		Surprise AZ
61. Fernando C. Huez	<i>Fernando C. Huez</i>		PHOENIX
62. Maria Reyes	<i>Maria Reyes</i>		Phx.
63. ALMANBO GARCIA	<i>ALMANBO GARCIA</i>		Surprise AZ
64. Leticia Garcia	<i>Leticia Garcia</i>		Surprise AZ
65. Roberto Gomez	<i>Roberto Gomez</i>		SURPRI
66. Irene Rodriguez	<i>Irene Rodriguez</i>		Surprise. AZ

# Petición de Arizonenses por una Póliza de Electricidad Justa

azpowerpolicy.org

Nosotros, miembros de Arizonenses por una Póliza de Electricidad Justa (Arizonan's for Fair Power Policy) solicitamos que la Comisión de Corporación de Arizona revise nuevamente la póliza de APS en relación al costo de extensiones eléctricas. El hacer que negocios nuevos y propietarios de casa nuevas paguen precios exorbitantes para extender la infraestructura de APS tiene enorme impacto en nuestra economía. Debido a que APS es un monopolio, el cliente final no tiene otra opción. Creemos que el ser obligados a pagar estos cargos es un abuso de poder. Creemos firmemente que la extensión de 1000 pies de APS debe ser reestablecida.

	Nombre en letra de molde	Firma	Número telefónico	Ciudad, Estado
67.	Paula	[Firma]	Rowe	Phx AZ
68.	Maicela	[Firma]		Phx, AZ
69.	JOSE MERCADO JR	[Firma]		Surprise, AZ
70.	Luis L Hernandez	[Firma]		SURPRISE, AZ
71.	Helen M. Gardes	[Firma]		EL MIRAGE AZ
72.	Jose L. Flores	[Firma]		
73.	Armin Romero	[Firma]		Surprise AZ
74.	Rocio Estrada	[Firma]		
75.	Paolo Talarak			EL MIRAGE AZ
76.	Maria Luisa Lopez			
77.	Maria R Garcia	[Firma]		
78.	Ambaro Renda	[Firma]		
79.	Elvira Cavaas	[Firma]		
80.	Martha Nelson	[Firma]		EL MIRAGE AZ
81.	MIKE NELSON	[Firma]		EL MIRAGE, AZ
82.	Luz Touet	[Firma]		El mirage
83.	Maribel Jimenez			El mirage
84.	BAFA clonborg	[Firma]		EL MIRAGE
85.	A E H	[Firma]		Peoria
86.	Javier H. Vite			EL MIRAGE
87.	BALLARD VONAS			
88.	Juanita Bermudez	[Firma]		EL MIRAGE

# Petición de Arizonenses por una Póliza de Electricidad Justa

azpowerpolicy.org

Nosotros, miembros de Arizonenses por una Póliza de Electricidad Justa (Arizonan's for Fair Power Policy) solicitamos que la Comisión de Corporación de Arizona revise nuevamente la póliza de APS en relación al costo de extensiones eléctricas. El hacer que negocios nuevos y propietarios de casa nuevas paguen precios exorbitantes para extender la infraestructura de APS tiene enorme impacto en nuestra economía. Debido a que APS es un monopolio, el cliente final no tiene otra opción. Creemos que el ser obligados a pagar estos cargos es un abuso de poder. Creemos firmemente que la extensión de 1000 pies de APS debe ser reestablecida.

	Nombre en letra de molde	Firma	Número telefónico	Ciudad, Estado
89.	ROMAN ANGULO	Roman Angulo		EL MIRAGE
90.	ISMAELECARRERA	Ismael Carrera		265 El Mirage AZ
91.	Alexandra Heredia	Alexandra Heredia		Surprise.
92.	Raul Vargas	Raul Vargas		El Mirage
93.	Miriam	Miriam		El Mirage
94.	Diana Ramirez	Diana Ramirez		El Mirage
95.	Jose Jimenez	Jose Jimenez		73 El Mirage
96.	Arsely de la C	Arsely de la C		Youngtown AZ
97.	Alfreda Posada	Alfreda Posada		3/ Youngtown
98.	Rafael Escobedo	Rafael Escobedo		EL MIRAGE
99.	Ramon Arduene	Ramon Arduene		Avondale
100.	Mabel Rodriguez	Mabel Rodriguez		Avondale
101.	Ramon Artalejo	Ramon Artalejo		Avondale
102.	Luis P. Miranda	Luis P. Miranda		PHX
103.	Tomás Domingo	Tomás Domingo		5 EL MIRAGE
104.	Florentino Ramos	Florentino Ramos		3 EL MIRAGE
105.	Alex Pereda	Alex Pereda		Goodyear
106.	Gloria Olivares	Gloria Olivares		El Mirage
107.	Isabel Lopez	Isabel Lopez		PHOENIX AZ
108.	Victor Guerrero	Victor Guerrero		El Mirage
109.	Patricia Pulido	Patricia Pulido		Mirage
110.	Carolina Portillo	Carolina Portillo		El Mirage AZ

# Petición de Arizonenses por una Póliza de Electricidad Justa

azpowerpolicy.org

Nosotros, miembros de Arizonenses por una Póliza de Electricidad Justa (Arizonan's for Fair Power Policy) solicitamos que la Comisión de Corporación de Arizona revise nuevamente la póliza de APS en relación al costo de extensiones eléctricas. El hacer que negocios nuevos y propietarios de casa nuevas paguen precios exorbitantes para extender la infraestructura de APS tiene enorme impacto en nuestra economía. Debido a que APS es un monopolio, el cliente final no tiene otra opción. Creemos que el ser obligados a pagar estos cargos es un abuso de poder. Creemos firmemente que la extensión de 1000 pies de APS debe ser reestablecida.

Nombre en letra de molde	Firma	Número telefónico	Ciudad, Estado
111. TERESA LAWRENCE	<i>Teresa Lawrence</i>		Surprise, AZ
112. Mg. Guadalupe Ulloa	<i>Mg. Guadalupe Ulloa</i>		Glendale AZ.
113. Mario Chavez	<i>Mario Chavez</i>		PHX AZ.
114. José A Gallardo	<i>José A Gallardo</i>		PHX AZ
115. Juana	<i>Juana</i>		PHX AZ
116. DAVID A C. CONTRERAS	<i>DAVID A C. CONTRERAS</i>		PHX AZ
117. ELIAS	<i>ELIAS</i>		PHX AZ
118. FILIBERTO MTZ	<i>FILIBERTO MTZ</i>		EL MIRAGE AZ
119. Ramon Morales	<i>Ramon Morales</i>		Surprise AZ
120. NORMA Valenzuela	<i>NORMA Valenzuela</i>		Surprise AZ
121. ROGUE Portillo	<i>ROGUE Portillo</i>		El Mirage AZ
122. Sonia Martinez	<i>Sonia Martinez</i>		Phoenix AZ
123. Elicia Portillo	<i>Elicia Portillo</i>		Glendale AZ
124. Elizabeth Rodriguez	<i>Elizabeth Rodriguez</i>		Surprise, AZ
125. Adriana Rodriguez	<i>Adriana Rodriguez</i>		Surprise, AZ
126. Veronica Garcia	<i>Veronica Garcia</i>		Phoenix, AZ
127. Fausto Garcia	<i>Fausto Garcia</i>		Phx, AZ



# Petición de Arizonenses por una Póliza de Electricidad Justa

azpowerpolicy.org

Nosotros, miembros de Arizonenses por una Póliza de Electricidad Justa (Arizonan's for Fair Power Policy) solicitamos que la Comisión de Corporación de Arizona revise nuevamente la póliza de APS en relación al costo de extensiones eléctricas. El hacer que negocios nuevos y propietarios de casa nuevas paguen precios exorbitantes para extender la infraestructura de APS tiene enorme impacto en nuestra economía. Debido a que APS es un monopolio, el cliente final no tiene otra opción. Creemos que el ser obligados a pagar estos cargos es un abuso de poder. Creemos firmemente que la extensión de 1000 pies de APS debe ser reestablecida.

	Nombre en letra de molde	Firma	Número telefónico	Ciudad, Estado
134.	Jesse Juel Elizondo		—	Phx, AZ
135.	Marisol Morales		—	Phx, AZ
136.	Luis Salto		—	El Mirage, AZ
137.	Julian	Castañeda F		34 El Mirage, AZ
38.	Coyote Ferrer			2 El Mirage, AZ
139.	Guillermo Pulido			1 El Mirage
140.	Ornel Lobato	Juan Lobato		Surprise, AZ
141.	LAVIER SIGALA	LAVIER SIGALA		1 Surprise AZ
142.	Dionicio Martinez	Dionicio Martinez		? Surprise
143.	JOSE A VELAZO			1 PHOENIX AZ
144.	Paul GARCIA			PHOENIX
145.	ISSIS OTRAZ			5 AZ
46.	Erasto Savabida			7 AZ
47.	Roselio Sandoval			78 AZ El Mirage
148.	Rubén Castro			Surprise









#	Title	FirstName	Surname
161 10	N/G	Melissa	Karner
162 9	N/G	Gary	Stultz
163 8	N/G	Gary	Pecora
164 7	N/G	domingo	chavez
165 6	N/G	Richard	Pecora
166 5	N/G	Barbara	Wyllie-Pecora
167 4	N/G	Helen	Leonard
168 3	N/G	Ted	Leonard
169 2	N/G	Chad	Fisher
170 1	N/G	Ian	Campbell

\* N/C - field not collected by the author

\* N/G - not given by the signer

\* S/C/P - State, County or Province

\* PC - Post Code

\* View - view comment

#	Title	FirstName	Surname
171.	36	N/G	Mark Julius
	35	N/G	Allie Bell
172.	34	N/G	Cheryl Julius
173.	33	N/G	Mike Julius
174.	32	N/G	Laura Nielsen
175.	31	N/G	Glendine Soiseth
176.	30	N/G	Dana Miller
177.	29	N/G	Larry Donoho
178.	28	N/G	Lisa Davis
179.	27	N/G	Doug Maire
180.	26	N/G	Richard Jutzi
181.	25	N/G	Tim Ostapuk
182.	24	N/G	Matt Ostapuk
183.	23	N/G	Tim Davis
184.	22	N/G	Dave Deloera
185.	21	N/G	Charlene Tiffany
186.	20	N/G	Linda Wiles
187.	19	N/G	Nicholas Campbell
188.	18	N/G	Paige Campbell
189.	17	N/G	rosa pererda
190.	16	N/G	Nicholas Eancheff
191.	15	N/G	Kristie Klitsch
192.	14	N/G	Andy Klitsch
193.	13	N/G	Nicole Fisher
194.	12	N/G	RJ Stidham
195.	11	N/G	Todd Wyllie
196.			

	#	Title	FirstName	Surname
197.	62	N/G	Nancy	Campbell
198.	61	N/G	Chris	Overhamm
199.	60	N/G	Rebecca	Fischer
200.	59	N/G	Stan	Fischer
201.	58	N/G	Danielle	Bloom
202.	57	N/G	John	Bloom
203.	56	N/G	Bobbi	Herman
204.	55	N/G	James	Maestri
205.	54	N/G	Chistina	Russel
206.	53	N/G	Tim	Johnson
207.	52	N/G	Solomon	woldesilassie
208.	51	N/G	Matthew	Herman
209.	50	N/G	Yadira	Herdrich
210.	49	N/G	Tom	Herdrich
211.	48	N/G	Howard	Karner
212.	47	N/G	Laura	Fisher
213.	46	N/G	Aaron	Sheets-frebu
214.	45	N/G	Earl	Fisher
215.	44	N/G	Christine	Moore
216.	43	N/G	Ken	Anderson
217.	42	N/G	Charles	Stuart
218.	41	N/G	Cynthia	Amaya
219.	40	N/G	Dave	Bourland
220.	39	N/G	Keith	Soiseth
221.	38	N/G	Delores	Soiseth
222.	37	N/G	Lisa	Julius

	#	Title	FirstName	Surname
223.	88	N/G	Gene	Montemore
224.	87	N/G	Greg	Jewett
225.	86	N/G	Bereket	russom
226.	85	N/G	Bob	Graham
227.	84	N/G	Angelica	Murrieta
228.	83	N/G	Mark	Beeler
229.	82	N/G	Brittany	Parkes
230.	81	N/G	Michael	trainor
231.	80	N/G	Michael	Carlile
232.	79	N/G	Jenn	Shores
233.	78	N/G	Wade	Rea
234.	77	N/G	Diana	Wyllie
235.	76	N/G	John	Wyllie
236.	75	N/G	Suzette	Smith
237.	74	N/G	David	Stutz
238.	73	N/G	Barbara	Bracamonte
239.	72	N/G	Mercedes	McCormich
240.	71	N/G	Latina	Noble
241.	70	N/G	Jeff	Bergland
242.	69	N/G	Heidi	Burglund
243.	68	N/G	Tim	Barnes
244.	67	N/G	Carol	Clark
245.	66	N/G	Guy	Williams
246.	65	N/G	Adow	Albert
247.	64	N/G	Cynthia	Haynes
248.	63	N/G	Joe	Gambino

#	Title	FirstName	Surname
249. 11 2	Mr.	Patrick	Schlecht
250. 11 1	Mr	Craig	Eaton
251. 11 0	Mr.	Richard	Colaw
252. 10 9	Mr	Joseph	Bencze
253. 10 8	Mr	Richard	Saba
254. 10 7	mr	jim	stultz
255. 10 6	N/G	John	Donna
256. 10 5	Mrs.	M. Lynn	Carbol
257. 10 4	N/G	Gilbert	Perez
258. 10 3	Mr	Jim	Olson
259. 10 2	N/G	Arnold	Piske
260. 10 1	Mr.	Terry	Piske
261. 10 0	Mr.	Doug	O'Connor
262. 99	Mr.	Steve	Stephenson
263. 98	N/G	John	Kim
264. 97	N/G	Lana	Barone
265. 96	N/G	Ken	Hardison
266. 95	N/G	Lee	Zierten
267. 94	N/G	Mary Ann	Picardo
268. 93	Mr	Don	Crowley
269. 92	N/G	Lois	Sittu
270. 91	N/G	Dave	Artibey
271. 90	N/G	Brad	Andes
272. 89	N/G	William	Miller

#	Title	FirstName	Surname
273. 13 7	N/G	Terri	Siddons
274. 13 6	N/G	Kathleen	Pieper
275. 13 5	N/G	Sunny	Lee
276. 13 4	N/G	John	Kim
277. 13 3	N/G	Bob	Hertzog
278. 13 2	N/G	James	Hanshew
279. 13 1	N/G	Billy	Whala
280. 13 0	N/G	javier	martin del can
281. 12 9	N/G	pablo	moncayo
282. 12 8	Mr.	Greg	Anderson
283. 12 7	N/G	Dave	Wentz
284. 12 6	Mr.	Matt	Hiatt
285. 12 5	Mrs	Beth	Klatt
286. 12 4	N/G	Dana	Schneider
287. 12 3	N/G	jeff	geiser
288. 12 2	N/G	Leonard	Street
289. 12 1	N/G	Erik	Nelson
290. 12 0	Mr	Mark	Sandeno
291. 11 9	N/G	Paul	Rapuano
292. 11 8	N/G	Justin	Morcom
293. 11 7	Mr	Rick	Geiser
294. 11 6	Mrs	Pamela	Uveges
295. 11 5	Mr.	John	Yackley
296. 11 4	N/G	Doyle	Waters
297. 11 3	Mr.	Charles	Harrington

298.  
 299.  
 300.  
 301.  
 302.  
 303.  
 304.  
 305.  
 306.  
 307.  
 308.  
 309.  
 310.  
 311.  
 312.  
 313.  
 314.  
 315.  
 316.  
 317.  
 318.  
 319.  
 320.  
 321.  
 322.  
 323.  
 324.  
 325.  
 326.  
 327.  
 328.  
 329.  
 330.

#	Title	FirstName	Surname
16	Mr	Shawn	Chew
2			
16	N/G	Daniel	Moore
1			
16	N/G	Susan	Kamalo
0			
15	N/G	Sonia	Guillen
9			
15	N/G	Kathy	Maxwell
8			
15	Ms	Kimberly	Merrill
7			
15	N/G	Max	Fisher
6			
15	N/G	Richard	Larson
5			
15	N/G	Dawn	Wyllie
4			
15	N/G	Mike	Wyllie
3			
15	N/G	Chandrika	Lotwala
2			
15	N/G	Damon	Cuzick
1			
15	N/G	Joyce	Murray
0			
14	N/G	Barry & Barbara	Bales
9			
14	N/G	John	vollmecke
8			
14	N/G	Tony	Tice
7			
14	N/G	Matt	Thompson
6			
14	N/G	Travis	Hyslop
5			
14	N/G	Mike	Boyajian
4			
14	N/G	Alan	Dahms
3			
14	N/G	Robert	West
2			
14	N/G	Bruce	Bilbrey
1			
14	N/G	Frank	Trukolaski
0			
13	N/G	Jeff	Byrket
9			
13	N/G	Rod	Fetters
8			

323  
324  
325  
326  
327  
328  
329  
330  
331  
332  
333  
334  
335  
336  
337  
338  
339  
340  
341  
342  
343

#	Title	FirstName	Surname
184	N/G	Scott	Loomis
183	N/G	Lisa	Kerbo
182	N/G	scott	gruber
181	MR	NEIL	RICHARDSC
180	Mr.	John	Dahl
179	N/G	Jamie	Sarber
178	N/G	Rhoda	Gutsche
177	N/G	Jon	Boganno
176	Mr	Shawn	Fischer
175	N/G	Roy	Bocanegra
174	Ms	Cherie	Trager
173	N/G	Jenn	Dieterich
172	N/G	Kevin	Dieterich
171	Mr.	Don	Freeman
170	Mr	Michael	Campion
169	MR	THOMAS	MORRISON
168	N/G	Vicky	Nelson
167	N/G	Vinh	Thanh
166	N/G	Silvia	Thomas
165	N/G	Maryann	Sims
164	N/G	Oscar	Longoria
163	Mr.	Joshua	Miller

344  
345  
346  
347  
348  
349  
350  
351  
352  
353  
354  
355  
356  
357  
358  
359  
360  
361  
362  
363  
364  
365

#	Title	FirstName	Surname
20 8	Mr	Richard	Farretta
20 7	Mr	Joel	Lawson
20 6	mr	jerry	arnett
20 5	Mrs.	Lynn	Valverde
20 4	MRS.	Marion	Rall
20 3	Mr.	Gary	Rall
20 2	Ms	Debra	Morrow
20 1	Mr.	Roger	Oddson
20 0	Mrs.	Anne	Malpiedi
19 9	N/G	Terri	Gold
19 8	N/G	Carole	Mackler
19 7	N/G	Thuy	Mai
19 6	mr.	jeff	miller
19 5	Mr.	Maurice	Campion
19 4	N/G	Donald	Anderson
19 3	N/G	Leona	Thacker
19 2	mr	Dave	Brennan
19 1	N/G	John	Lorson
19 0	Mr	John	McVicker Sr.
18 9	N/G	James	Decker
18 8	N/G	Arthur	Conger
18 7	N/G	Dorman	Olson
18 6	Mr	Bobby	Miller
18 5	Mrs	Beverly	Barnes

308  
309  
310  
311  
372  
378  
379  
380  
381  
382  
383  
384  
385  
386  
387  
388  
389  
390  
391  
392  
393  
394  
395  
396  
397  
398  
399  
400

#	Title	FirstName	Surname
23 3	mr	richard	kirk
23 2	Mr	Harvey	Frost
23 1	Mrs	Larry	Cromwell
23 0	Mrs	Laura	Cromwell
22 9	Mrs	Kristie	Frost
22 8	N/G	Mark	Rayment
22 7	Mr.	Chris	Allen
22 6	Mr.	Dan	Fowler
22 5	N/G	Brian	McKervey
22 4	N/G	Darcy	Johnson
22 3	N/G	Satwinder	Randhawa
22 2	N/G	Velma	Perkins
22 1	N/G	Gayle	Perkins
22 0	N/G	Sandee	Tanner
21 9	N/G	David	Barnes
21 8	N/G	Lee	Larson
21 7	N/G	Jason	Elrod
21 6	Mr	Barry	Bales
21 5	Mr	Dan	McConnell
21 4	N/G	William H.	Johnson III
21 3	N/G	Robert	Johnson
21 2	N/G	CAROL	CLEMENT
21 1	Mr	Eric	Solomon
21 0	Mrs	Sherry	Solomon
20 9	Mr	John	Ford

393  
394  
395  
396  
397  
398  
399  
400  
401  
402  
403  
404  
405  
406  
407  
408  
409  
410  
411  
412  
413  
414  
415  
416  
417

#	Title	FirstName	Surname
25 8	N/G	Eugene	Weeks
25 7	N/G	Jerry	Brunk
25 6	mr	danny	courtney
25 5	Mr	Mark S.	Belnap
25 4	N/G	Paul	Eancheff
25 3	Mr	Kevin	Hogan
25 2	Mr.	Joseph	Walker
25 1	N/G	Mitchell	Bullock
25 0	Mr	John	Gall
24 9	Mrs	Dorothy	Langley
24 8	N/G	Marcela	Saavedra
24 7	mr	kenneth	mitchell
24 6	N/G	William	Lynch
24 5	N/G	Jeff	Vogt
24 4	N/G	DAVID	AFFELDT
24 3	N/G	JOHN	STRAUBE
24 2	N/G	Michael	Solimeno
24 1	N/G	Magaly	Andrade
24 0	N/G	Daniel	Andrade
23 9	N/G	Rebeca	Andrade
23 8	N/G	Alphonso	Andrade
23 7	N/G	Javier	Andrade
23 6	N/G	Janet	Haggard
23 5	N/G	Jo Anne	Freeman
23 4	Mrs	Joy	Linville

418  
 419  
 420  
 421  
 422  
 423  
 424  
 425  
 426  
 427  
 428  
 429  
 430  
 431  
 432  
 433  
 434  
 435  
 436  
 437  
 438  
 439  
 440  
 441  
 442  
 443  
 444  
 445  
 446  
 447  
 448  
 449  
 450

#	Title	FirstName	Surname
28 2	Mr	Michael	McKeeman
28 1	N/G	Robert	Hyslop
28 0	Mrs	Susan	Bao
27 9	Mr	James	Goodson
27 8	Mr	Willie	Del Rosario
27 7	Mrs	Margaret	Popp
27 6	Mr	Roger D	Parish SR
27 5	Ms	Cherlynn	Berry
27 4	N/G	Vicki	Frye
27 3	N/G	Barbara	Pennywell
27 2	Mrs	Jeanna	Haakinson
27 1	Mr.	Stephen	Gorman
27 0	N/G	Joanne	McGuire
26 9	Ms	Judith	Baker
26 8	Ms.	Marcy	Sherrill
26 7	N/G	Joyce	Murray
26 6	N/G	art	ringer
26 5	Mr.	Jorge	Garcia
26 4	Mrs	Sherry	Griffin
26 3	Mrs.	Jennifer	Haveron
26 2	mr & mrs	James A.	Haveron
26 1	Mr.	Terance	Hayes
26 0	N/G	blaine	atkinson
25 9	Mrs.	Jeralee	Sousa

442  
 443  
 444  
 445  
 446  
 447  
 448  
 449  
 450  
 451  
 452  
 453  
 454  
 455  
 456  
 457  
 458  
 459  
 460  
 461  
 462

#	Title	FirstName	Surname
30	Mr.	Robert	Eddingfield
3			
30	Mr.	James	Thorne
2			
30	N/G	Michelle	Collier
1			
30	N/G	Carolyn	Huggins
0			
29	N/G	Timothy	Toth
9			
29	N/G	Holly	Mabery
8			
29	N/G	MARY	CARDER
7			
29	N/G	CAROL ANNE	WARREN
6			
29	Mr	Shawn	Murphy
5			
29	Mr	Dwain	Pickens
4			
29	Mrs.	Lana	Barone
3			
29	Mr.	Keith	Loftin
2			
29	Mrs.	Sharon	Curry
1			
29	Mr.	Robert	Curry
0			
28	N/G	Charles	Parrack
9			
28	N/G	John	Gerhardt
8			
28	N/G	Nicole	Herrin
7			
28	N/G	Jonathan	Herrin
6			
28	mr.	mark	herrin
5			
28	N/G	Terri	Siddons
4			
28	ms	patricia	romine
3			

# Power to the People

Published by Arizonans for Fair Power Policy on Dec 05, 2008

## Background (Preamble):

This new APS extension policy is discouraging new homes and businesses. It is having a negative affect on our economy as a whole.

## Petition Text:

We the people of Arizonan's for Fair Power Policy request that the Arizona Corporation Commission revisit the APS policy pertaining to the cost of power extensions. Having new businesses and new homeowners pay outrageous prices to extend APS's infrastructure is having a huge impact on the greater economy.

As APS is a monopoly, the end-user is without choice. We believe that being forced to pay these fees is an abuse of power. We firmly believe that the APS 1000 feet no cost extension should be reinstated.

Total signatures 318 (Signature comments can be viewed in the Appendix of this document)

#	Title	FirstName	Surname	Email	Address	Town/City	S/C/P	Region	Zip/PC	Commen	Date
463 31 8	N/G	Steven	Baker								
464 31 7	N/G	Jo	Clute								
465 31 6	N/G	Jeff	Heidt								
466 31 5	Mr	Pete	Weaver								
467 31 4	N/G	Mike	Bratton								
468 31 3	N/G	Brad	Ranly								
469 31 2	N/G	Elizabeth	Lovett								
470 31 1	Ms	Barbara	Baker								
471 31 0	Mrs.	Holly	Grigaitis-Svercl								
472 30 9	N/G	Sylvia	Ray								
473 30 8	N/G	Judith	Bienek								
474 30 7	Mr	Marc	Epplenhill								
475 30 6	Mr	Gary	Thompson								
476 30 5	Mr.	Rick	Rosenzweig								
477 30 4	Ms.	Sandra J	Steele								

I own a 2.5+ acre lot that originally cost about \$60,000, and would cost over \$20,000 to bring power. What do you think the possibility of ever selling my lot and recouping my investment?

2

[Back to signature list](#)

This is not right

As an advocate of the commercial real estate industry. the no-cost extension would be a large benefit to various present and future commercial developments throughout the state. Many projects will be held or abandoned if the no-cost extension is not reinstated.

33

[Back to signature list](#)

This new policy is unreasonable and is having a negative impact on our economy

29

[Back to signature list](#)

APS has taken away all of business since they increased all the charges! It needs to be stopped.

23

[Back to signature list](#)

I have been building homes for almost 10 years, and this latest policy stinks of corruption and greed within the Corporation Commission. Any small rate hike needed to continue the original policy is miniscule compared to the impact it has had on land/home sales in an already hurting market.

22

[Back to signature list](#)

The APS Policy on line extensions has personally cost me and my family our entire life savings, as we were unable to sell an investment property that was only 800 feet from the nearest power pole. When we purchased the land, electricity was not an issue, as we were well within the 1000 foot limit for affordable hook up fees, after the change of policy, I was quoted at 30k to move power, making the extension more expensive than the land itself.

21

[Back to signature list](#)

I think the APS 1000 feet NO COST extension should be reinstated. Times are hard enough with everyone right now and to add that on the economy is a real problem for everyone concerned.

19

[Back to signature list](#)

The policy needs to be changed back so the land values are not hurt further.

18

[Back to signature list](#)

I think that the APS 1000 feet at no cost extension should be reinstated as soon as possible. The extra cost of power extensions now being charged by APS is unreasonable and excessive.

17

[Back to signature list](#)

I want this to be stopped.....

14

[Back to signature list](#)

It is unjust to place the cost on the land owner it not only drives the property values down it affects our entire economy

9

[Back to signature list](#)

This is a matter of fairness and everyone should be concerned. We need the Corporation Commission to do their job on this one and PROTECT the rights of the people and the economy of our state from an unfettered monopoly.

6

[Back to signature list](#)

78

[Back to signature list](#)

I am in the tile business and this new policy is having a negative affect on my industry

76

[Back to signature list](#)

The new policy of allowing APS to charge outrageous prices for power extensions is unfair, and unreasonable. This is truly having a negative impact on our economy.

71

[Back to signature list](#)

My husband works in the construction trades, and this policy is affecting his income

65

[Back to signature list](#)

The extension of power lines will boost the economy and benefit all Arizona residents. Rural areas will develop and increase land values. The economy does depend on a constant inflation rate.

61

[Back to signature list](#)

Just another way for corporations to profit from middle america and dreams of Americans

59

[Back to signature list](#)

I am in the construction business and this policy will cost jobs

53

[Back to signature list](#)

This policy isnot right and is having an impact on our economy

43

[Back to signature list](#)

Families attempting to enjoy and more rural lifestyle are being priced out of the market by APS

42

[Back to signature list](#)

what they are not looking at is the fact that by raising those costs, the larger developers who are trying to stay level by selling small pieces of property cannot sell the land because no one wants to buy land that they have to invest another 4k in simply to get power. The big developer therefore has no cash to use to keep the bigger projects afloat, thereby cancelling out the big projects with APS. So basically by doing this, they will lose both the big scale projects and the small cale

39

[Back to signature list](#)

I bought some property in the phoenix area with the intention of building in the future. With the cost of bringing power to this property today this is no longer an option

36

[Back to signature list](#)

My family has been involved in the construction business, and this has negatively impacted the industry

35

[Back to signature list](#)

123

[Back to signature list](#)

this is affecting my income from job

118

[Back to signature list](#)

My job is affected by the lack of power extensions! Because the people that employ me are real estate developers.

117

[Back to signature list](#)

me and my customers in real estate development and without power extensions it puts a burden on our projects

115

[Back to signature list](#)

Not only the 1000 feet criteria, but all their new fees to install electricity. It HAS definately reduced the value of vacant land and/or lots. I am a real estate Broker and own land. A concern when potential buyers are looking for land.

109

[Back to signature list](#)

We own several pieces of property in Yavapai county, one which we plan to build our retirement home on. All of the properties are negatively impacted by the APS decision to do away with the 1000' no cost extension.

105

[Back to signature list](#)

Please allow us power to our land without breaking our backs with cost.

102

[Back to signature list](#)

Unfair policy. At least, the first extender should get reimbursed as future customers come on board.

100

[Back to signature list](#)

The corporation need to resend the ruling. I still think this is America. Whereas the stated change violates the AZ constitution should be reviewed.

90

[Back to signature list](#)

Eliminating the 1000 feet at no cost extension will significantly affect the values ofmy property because many people will not be able to afford to bring power off the existing lines. Since this property is typically in areas the land is cheaper than areas with power, low income people will be more adversely affected than others.

89

[Back to signature list](#)

As a long time Arizona resident and lawyer I fing it hard to see how this extension should not be continued. Shame on APS.

81

[Back to signature list](#)

The policy needs to change back

80

[Back to signature list](#)

I think charging outrageous prices to upstanding citizens is ridiculous

179

[Back to signature list](#)

APS rates are the highest in the state. Paying too much already. There required fees are usually more than any electrical use my family does.

174

[Back to signature list](#)

Just as the economy is crashing because of the greedy people with power and money, the utility services play a huge role in this economic crisis. Only the rich are getting richer, and the rest of us keep sliding down the ladder. If this is allowed to continue, no one will be able to afford to purchase a home except those who are already rich. Middle class people are the backbone of this country. Put them out on the street, and you'll have mayhem. We need to stop the monopoly power of these 2 co.

165

[Back to signature list](#)

This is so wrong, and should be resended as soon as possible. APS is making it so much more impossible for the little person to buy and build a home in the rural areas, as well as the municipalities.

154

[Back to signature list](#)

The APS 1000 feet no cost extension needs to be reinstated

153

[Back to signature list](#)

This new extension policy is having a drastic impact on our economy. It is drastically lowering the price of land and discouraging new businesses and homeowners. This new policy is blindsiding people from all walks of life. Remember APS has been extending power 1000 feet since 1954. The overall impact has just begun to hit the market. The 1000 feet no cost extension needs to be reinstated.

150

[Back to signature list](#)

It would greatly affect my business in land sales in the west valley.

149

[Back to signature list](#)

Agree with Petition text strongly!

146

[Back to signature list](#)

Please revisit the APS policy pertaining to the cost of power extensions.

141

[Back to signature list](#)

The 1000 foot extension is vital to rural AZ and landowners with properties severely impacted by the extension not being available. Please reconsider this long standing policy from the past. Regards, Bruce Bilbrey

140

[Back to signature list](#)

Dear Sirs when I purchased my property one of the considerations I made was the cost of bringing electricity on to the property. Had I understood that the extension of electric would have been removed at a later date I may have make a different buying decision (lower price or not purchased). In effect you are removing a promise made to me at the time of purchase. I ask that you revisit this decision. Sincerely Frank Truskolaski

199

[Back to signature list](#)

We were caught up in this, spending \$75K for APS to bring power to our new home earlier this year. We bought under the 1000' free pretence and by the time we got building permit in place they had changed the rules and it cost us considerably more. Not to mention it took APS over 18 months to bring our power in and nothing we could do but be at their mercy.

198

[Back to signature list](#)

The 1000 "ruling" needs to be revisited.....this ruling eliminated a number of loans for the would-be Real Estate buyer. Thank you for taking this information into consideration.

196

[Back to signature list](#)

I own undeveloped property in arizona and feel that the cost of having power provided to the land when built upon should be covered by the utility company if it is within 1000 feet of the lot.

190

[Back to signature list](#)

I don't feel that it is right, as people loose their jobs in these times with fuel costs coming down that APS can raise there rates at a drop of a hat. What happened to the tax payers money that built the Nuclear power plant in Phoenix to help keep electrical power rates down for Arizona residents? I feel that it is time for APS to tighten its belt just like the rest of US.

189

[Back to signature list](#)

I firmly believe that the APS 1000 feet no cost extension should be reinstated.

188

[Back to signature list](#)

I was planning on building on my property with the idea of a first 400' no charge on power. Not only have they removed the 400' clause they have added \$1.00 to the price of power extension. This has a negative affect on my plans. I may have to make plans elsewhere.

187

[Back to signature list](#)

As a real estate agent in rural Yavapai County in Arizona, I've seen numerous properties that would benefit by reinstatement of the free 1000' extension of electric service.

186

[Back to signature list](#)

I personally have been railroaded by APS's tactics paying nearly \$5K to have power to my well when power was in the street in front of my lot with little or no explanation. I just got to pay or shut up and have no power despite the promise of 1000' for free. Instead, I got 200' for \$5K. Such a deal. Please don't railroad the consumer any more! Treat us like you would your family's power extension please.

185

[Back to signature list](#)

For people who bought individual lots based on the guarantee that they would have electricity brought up to the lot line, I do not feel that APS should indiscriminately set this aside and now make them pay. However, for subdivisions wanting service for over 5 lots, I believe the sub divider should put up their share for the costs of bringing in electricity and recoup it in the sale of the individual lots to prospective buyers.

I am the owner of Eagle View Homes LLC. I have built many residence on the west side over the past years. Most of my customers are building a smaller home on 1+ acres.

What use to be free for 1000 feet or less is now averaging \$12,000 to \$18,000. This calculates to a 10% cost increase in new home construction. This is insane! Where is customer voice or representation? What formula am I to use for job estimates? I would like to be very involved in this situation. Please contact me.

218

[Back to signature list](#)

I do not agree that a property owner should foot all the cost to put power to their structure. This is like paying them to put up their infrastructure, then being charged for it

215

[Back to signature list](#)

With the financial woes we are suffering you would think that Az. as a state would want the power companys to wavier this cost to any of the future small home owners

208

[Back to signature list](#)

I paid extra for the property I purchased several years ago because it was within 1000 ft of electric, how can they change this retroactively>

207

[Back to signature list](#)

APS has have already been back, three times for rate increases since they said doing away with the free extensions would prevent more increases

206

[Back to signature list](#)

let;s get back to helping each other rather than greedily penalizing for making our business profitable

204

[Back to signature list](#)

This needs to be changed

203

[Back to signature list](#)

I can no longer afford to build on my property because of these new regulations. I bought my property and it is right next to a power line but APS wants 10,000 to connect up. This is crazy. One more nail in the home building industry.

202

[Back to signature list](#)

My estimate is \$5000 to cross the road 70' and I am an APS with power to the other side of my little 5 acres.

201

[Back to signature list](#)

I agree that APS user prices are out of touch for a public utility and something should be done to reduce these costs. It should be noted that, APS should look to using more power from Palo Verde.

200

[Back to signature list](#)

We were unaware of the change in policy when we began to build a home in summer 2007- how were the consumers notified that a change in policy had occurred? We are now in a position where we cannot afford to supply electricity to our finished home, as those costs were not anticipated.

Lets get this fixed

252

[Back to signature list](#)

I own 5 acres of land in Whittmann and when I purchased this property A.P.S. Extension Policy would have brought power to my property with out charge. Today it would cost me up to \$20,000. Why would the Arizona Corporation Commission who we elect to represent us feel that this policy is in our best interest or anyone who has an interest in real estate?

247

[Back to signature list](#)

it is an unfair practice to charge a fee to the land owners outside the reservation and keep the 1000' for those who live on the reservation. This new policy will greatly effect the owners who have worked hard to purchase land and develop it. there is no talk about the neighboring land owners and the fact that they would recieve power from an extention that was purchased prior to their build. it is unfair to for others to benefit from my purchase of this extention. this new policy is flawed.

236

[Back to signature list](#)

I'm a realtor in a rural community and loss of the 1000' free has adversely affected our sales. People who purchased land more than a year ago are now faced with a huge cost to extend power to their property so many are not able to utilize their land. It seems APS is purposely trying to halt growth in rural areas by making it too expensive to obtain electricity.

234

[Back to signature list](#)

instead of hurting people who are already just trying to scrape by with the bills we already pay just for utilities, i feel it is unfair to increase our monthly billing. how about a pay cut for the CEO's and other management

233

[Back to signature list](#)

I recently bought 2.5 acres in yavapai county, and intend to retire there in 8-10 years. after building a home there

223

[Back to signature list](#)

Please allow upto 1000 ft free electrical power connection.

222

[Back to signature list](#)

We applied for power in a rural area that requires about 600 feet of overhead or underground power. APS gave us a estimate not itemized, Navajopache electric estimated 10.00 per foot thats 1/3 of APS estimate. We can hire a licensed electrician and buy the supplies and save thousands. The corporation commision should do it's job and protect the consumers, this is a blank check and is hurting rural Arizona

221

[Back to signature list](#)

WE applied for approx. 600 feet of power and the cost estimate was 17,370.00 we reduced the footage by over 200 feet and the estimate was 16,718. This reflects costs of three dollars a foot. We filed a complaint with the Corpor. Commission and they turned it over to an APC liason for disputes. Where is the justice for the consumer? The estimated costs are not broken down. WE could hire a licensed electrician and install the line ourselves for thousands less why is this not allowed?

219

[Back to signature list](#)

its hard the way it is to pay electric bills we the people do not need to pay a higher price

280

[Back to signature list](#)

Our Valley and State continues to grow which will lead to development of rural areas needing power improvements. APS should have made contingency plans for growth in their long term budgets. Don't make it a cost passed to the consumer to use their service. You may make a good case for solar or alternative resources.

274

[Back to signature list](#)

E-01345A-05-0816, E-01345A-05-0826 and E-01345A-05-0827

271

[Back to signature list](#)

I have property that can not sell and one of the most recieved comments are the cost of Electricity to the lot. Bringing this back would save almost half the cost of bring power to the property.

267

[Back to signature list](#)

What the Corporate Commission has done is actually cut their own throats - in regard to income for Maricopa County. We as realtors, developers, rural home owners are in need of a reverse of this 0 foot policy that was brought about by APS and look forward to this act being replaced with at least the 1,000' policy.

264

[Back to signature list](#)

Now simply is not the time.

262

[Back to signature list](#)

with the free 1,00' we pay for the next persons 1,000' as has been the policy for ever If as I did, I purchased 10 ac then the 1000' free rule would apply, but if I wanted subdivided then there should be some charge. i don't think that the price APS is charging at this time is fair. they(APS) would at this time make it impossible for me to build. FYI I have had this property since 1984.and I was not aware of this rip off and think it should be looked into. if it comes up again i need to know

261

[Back to signature list](#)

This would make a huge impact on the work force at APS, the construction industry, the mobile home industry and other direct employment relationships. We would like to see these changes implemented so that my family and I can build our home and move onto our parcel. Thank you for your understanding.

258

[Back to signature list](#)

The new policy has made my 1 acre building lot in Tonopah worthless. It will cost more to run the electricity to the property than I paid for it.

257

[Back to signature list](#)

This policy is hurting land sales and what it does is force an individual to give up hope in building their dream home on their own property outside of the city where they do not want to live. The 1,000 foot policy did not hurt anyone when it was in force and if APS wants to charge bringing in power then they should not charge ridiculous prices.

255

[Back to signature list](#)

## Appendix: All signatures comments

318

[Back to signature list](#)

As a custom home builder I am personally affected by this problem. And I say problem because thats what it is. With it costing me no less than 10,000\$ to bring power to my property to construct a home. I am forced to take food from myself and my subcontractors families. I shall be present at the meeting on Wednesday.

310

[Back to signature list](#)

It is not fair for individuals to bear the cost of corporate owned infrastructure. It is not fair to make individuals pay for upgrades to an existing service line, on an existing section of the grid ( this happened in Cottonwood AZ)

296

[Back to signature list](#)

I have 11 separate listings of vacant land that this policy will impact. They sit there today, unpurchased. Adding thousands of dollars of development costs will cause them to languish some more. If they were developed and built on, our contractors would be working, the subs would work, out-of staters would move here, money would flow in a variety or ways. This is a no-brainer, folks.

295

[Back to signature list](#)

As a licensed contractor, I know this current policy is hurting our housing trade and the economy, please change it back. Thank you,  
S. Murphy

294

[Back to signature list](#)

We are building in Walker, AZ. APS wanted \$13,500 for power lines. What a rip off. Even the county wanted \$8,400 for a bldg. permit. I understand why no one is building!! Government and Utilities are preventing people from building because of the cost.

293

[Back to signature list](#)

Please reinstate the 1000' free rule in order to advance growth in areas that need this desperately.

292

[Back to signature list](#)

It is unrealistic to expect people to pay for access to APS power. In the past there was no cost for up to 1,000ft extension as needed. The aaverage person just can not afford such a fee. It is unrealistic.

289

[Back to signature list](#)

This lack of 1000 feet has cost of us thousands of extra dollars and left us with no options.

285

[Back to signature list](#)

As a builder of homes in remote areas, I have lost three new residences within the past year because of the elimination of the free line extensions. With the economy such as it is, this has become a compounding problem in the lack of new building activity. The 1000 feet of line extension has been a favorable factor for years in people deciding to build in areas surrounding the major cities. Now, without it, choices of where to build have been reduced.

283

[Back to signature list](#)

**Arizonans for Fair Power Policy**  
azpowerpolicy.org

We the people of Arizonan's for Fair Power Policy request that the Arizona Corporation Commission revisit the APS policy pertaining to the cost of power extensions. Having new businesses and new homeowners pay outrageous prices to extend APS's infrastructure is having a huge impact on the greater economy.

As APS is a monopoly, the end-user is without choice. We believe that being forced to pay these fees is an abuse of power. We firmly believe that the APS 1000 feet no cost extension should be reinstated.

Print Name	Sign	Telephone#	city, state
71 DAVID L. BERNARD	<i>David L. Bernard</i>		AJO, AZ.
72 MARI C. ZIMMERMANN	<i>Mari C. Zimmermann</i>		AJO, AZ
80 SALLY C. MORROW	<i>Sally C. Morrow</i>		Ajo, AZ
81 PAUL C. MAJICA	<i>Paul C. Majica</i>		Ajo, AZ
82 ANNY J. RUNGE	<i>A. Runge</i>		Ajo AZ.
83 LARA STARLING	<i>Lara Starling</i>	14	Ajo AZ
84 ALICE MONTIJO	<i>Alice Montijo</i>	5	AJO, AZ
85 CARL BEATTY	<i>Carl Beatty</i>	7	" "
86 HAROLD RAMSAY	<i>Harold Ramsay</i>	2	AJO AZ
87 EVELYN CHARLIE GASTRIS	<i>Evelyn Charlie Gastris</i>	2	AJO, AZ
88 BOBIE WRIGHT	<i>Bobbie Wright</i>	10	Ajo, AZ
89 ALEX J. HARPER JR	<i>Alex J. Harper Jr</i>	3	AJO, AZ
90 HUBREY C. HARPER	<i>Hubrey C. Harper</i>		Ajo, AZ
91 JOHN C. LONG	<i>John C. Long</i>		Ajo, AZ
92 KIM SALLON	<i>Kim Sallon</i>		AJO, AZ
93 KEND KLINOFF	<i>Kend Klinoff</i>		AJO AZ
94 HARRY TONGERSON	<i>Harry Tongerson</i>		Ajo
95 V. MARTEN & GILDSBERG	<i>V. Marten &amp; Gildsberg</i>		AJO AZ
96 ADELLE DECHERNE	<i>Adelle Decherne</i>		AJO AZ.
97 BONNIE HAUSNER	<i>Bonnie Hausner</i>		Ajo, AZ.
98 KAREN BUNZAHN	<i>Karen Bunzahn</i>		Ajo AZ.
99 MELISSA KENDALL	<i>Melissa Kendall</i>		AJO, AZ

**Arizonans for Fair Power Policy**  
**azpowerpolicy.org**

We the people of Arizonan's for Fair Power Policy request that the Arizona Corporation Commission revisit the APS policy pertaining to the cost of power extensions. Having new businesses and new homeowners pay outrageous prices to extend APS's infrastructure is having a huge impact on the greater economy.

As APS is a monopoly, the end-user is without choice. We believe that being forced to pay these fees is an abuse of power. We firmly believe that the APS 1000 feet no cost extension should be reinstated.

	Print Name	Sign	Telephone#	city, state
100	DORRA GLASS	Donna Glass		Ajo AZ
101	Joan River	Joan River		Ajo AZ
102	Alice Schneider	Alice Schneider		Ajo AZ
103	Larry French	[Signature]		Ajo AZ
104	GAIL MCBURNEY	Gail McBurney		AJO, AZ
105	Richard Westbrook	Rich Westbrook		AJO. AZ
106	JACK BUSEY	Jack Busey		Ajo AZ
107	Donna Phelps	Donna Phelps		Ajo AZ
108	Michael MacFarlane	[Signature]		AJO AZ
109	Kathleen MacFarlane	Kathleen A MacFarlane		Ajo, AZ
110	Sharon Koloen	Sharon Koloen		Ajo, AZ
111	HAZEL B HAMMAN	Hazel B Hamman		AJO, AZ
112	Kathleen Murphy	Kathleen Murphy		Ajo AZ
113	Lucile App	Lucile App		AJO AZ EUREKA, SD
114	R A Edgington	R A Edgington		AJO
115	R. A. Kornack	[Signature]		Baydack, AZ
116	ANGELINA L. OLAYS	Angelina L. Olays		Ajo AZ
117	Karen Andrews	KAREN ANDREWS		Ajo AZ
118	MARJORIE WILSON	Marjorie Wilson		Ajo AZ
119	RAMONA SMITH	Ramona Smith		AJO AZ
120	Ruby BRASHEA	Ruby Brashea		Ajo
121	MAE J. EBANU	MAE J. EBANU		Ajo

**Arizonans for Fair Power Policy**  
azpowerpolicy.org

We the people of Arizonan's for Fair Power Policy request that the Arizona Corporation Commission revisit the APS policy pertaining to the cost of power extensions. Having new businesses and new homeowners pay outrageous prices to extend APS's infrastructure is having a huge impact on the greater economy.

As APS is a monopoly, the end-user is without choice. We believe that being forced to pay these fees is an abuse of power. We firmly believe that the APS 1000 feet no cost extension should be reinstated.

Print Name	Sign	Telephone#	city, state
JUDY DEXON DENUNE	Judy Dixon Denune		Ajo, AZ
Virginia Rydeen	Virginia Rydeen		Ajo, AZ
Kathleen F. Sikora	Kathleen F. Sikora		Ajo, AZ
Earl S. Kora	Earl S. Kora		AJO, AZ
Charles E. Johnson Jr.	Charles E. Johnson Jr.		AJO AZ
Lonny Chan	Lonny Chan		ASO AZ
Wanda Padus	Wanda Padus		AJO, AZ
Ana M Lopez	Ana M Lopez		Ajo, AZ
Beverly Pralguiske	Beverly Pralguiske		Ajo, AZ
Bob Bozler	Bob Bozler		AJO, AZ. 85321
Glynn Oglesby	Glynn Oglesby		Ajo, AZ 85321
BOBBIE L. NICKELL	Bobbie L. Nickell		AJO, AZ 85324
HAROLD FORD	Harold Ford		AJO. ARIZ. 85324
Royce Bustamante	Royce Bustamante		AJO, ARIZ. 85324
Harold Miller	Harold Miller		Ajo, AZ
Gerry Luttmel	Gerry Luttmel		AJO, AZ
HARVEY BALLARD	Harvey Ballard		AJO. AZ.
M. Howard E. Pickering	M. Howard E. Pickering		Ajo, AZ
R.C. Panache	R.C. Panache		Ajo, AZ
Robert Fulkerson	Robert Fulkerson		Ajo, AZ
VERN BRAND	Vern Brand		Ajo, AZ
DA. HEMSTROUGH	DA. Hemstrough		Ajo, AZ