

3

**COMMISSIONERS** 

KRISTIN K. MAYES, Chairman GARY PIERCE PAUL NEWMAN

> SANDRA D. KENNEDY BOB STUMP

DUSTIN J. LUNT (CRD # 4705919) and

DJL & ASSOCIATES, L.L.C., a terminated )

Respondents.

KAMI E. LUNT, husband and wife,

Arizona limited liability company,

Order by the Commission.

4

5

7

In the matter of

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

2122

23

24

25

26

### BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

Arizona Corporation Commission

## DOCKETED

JUL 16 2009

DOCKETED BY	
	į į
	$\sim$ 0

DOCKET NO. S-20674A-09-0199

DECISION NO.

71215

ORDER TO CEASE AND DESIST, OF RESTITUTION, OF REVOCATION, AND FOR ADMINISTRATIVE PENALTIES AND CONSENT TO SAME BY:

DUSTIN J. LUNT and KAMI E. LUNT

DJL & ASSOCIATES, L.L.C.

Respondents DUSTIN J. LUNT, KAMI E. LUNT, and DJL & ASSOCIATES, L.L.C. elect to permanently waive any right to a hearing and appeal under Articles 11 and 12 of the Securities Act of Arizona, A.R.S. § 44-1801 et seq. ("Securities Act") with respect to this Order To Cease And Desist, of Restitution, of Revocation, and for Administrative Penalties ("Order"). Respondents DUSTIN J. LUNT, KAMI E. LUNT, and DJL & ASSOCIATES, L.L.C. admit the jurisdiction of the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission"); neither admit nor deny the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law contained in this Order; and, consent to the entry of this

**₹** 

1

### 3

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

2425

26

### FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. DUSTIN J. LUNT ("LUNT") is an individual who, at all times relevant, resided in Maricopa County, Arizona. LUNT is the manager of DJL & ASSOCIATES, L.L.C.
- 2. KAMI E. LUNT has been at all relevant times the spouse of LUNT and may be referred to as "Respondent Spouse." Respondent Spouse is joined in this action under A.R S. §44-2031(C) solely for purposes of determining the liability of the marital community.
- 3. At all relevant times, LUNT acted for his own benefit and for the benefit or in furtherance of the marital community.
- 4. DJL & ASSOCIATES, L.L.C. ("DJL") is a terminated, manager-managed, Arizona limited liability company with a last known principal place of business in Maricopa County, Arizona.
  - 5. LUNT and DJL may be referred to collectively as "Respondents."
- 6. At all relevant times, LUNT was a registered securities salesman affiliated with World Group Securities, Inc. ("WGS"), an Arizona registered securities dealer. LUNT was terminated by WGS on February 12, 2008.
  - 7. At all relevant times, DJL was not registered as a securities dealer.
- 8. From on or about October 2007 to November 2007 in Maricopa County, Arizona, Respondents offered and sold to four investors \$70,000 of investment contracts issued by DJL with the title Rate of Return Contract. Respondents have repaid to investors amounts totaling \$50,000.
- 9. The offers and sales of the Rate of Return Contracts were not recorded on the records of WGS. Investments associated with DJL were not authorized investment products of WGS and LUNT was not authorized by WGS to sell them.
- 10. The Rate of Return Contracts confirm the amount of the investment by the investors in DJL and give the investors two options regarding the return on their investment, namely

"Monthly Income" and "Monthly Compounding." The investors will either "be paid monthly at an interest rate of 5%" or "get credited a monthly interest of 5% [while] the money stays in and continues to compound."

- 11. Respondents deposited all of the investors' money into one of Respondents' bank accounts for investment by Respondents and Respondents expected to keep as their compensation the difference between what the investments would actually yield and the 5 percent return to be paid to investors pursuant to the Rate of Return Contracts.
- 12. LUNT represented that Respondents would put the investors' money into "some alternative investments," including a highly profitable venture that funded television advertisements for a variety of products (the "TV AD Venture"). In August 2007, LUNT viewed the TV AD Venture's website that claimed investors would earn annual returns between 4,800 and 12,000 percent.
- 13. In September 2007, LUNT learned that the TV AD Venture's operations were transitioning offshore. In early November 2007, the TV AD Venture stopped making payments to its investors and LUNT was unable to obtain a refund of principal from the operators of the TV AD Venture. In late December 2007, LUNT learned that the Securities and Exchange Commission had filed an enforcement action against the principals of the TV AD Venture for fraud, alleging that the TV AD Venture really had no business operations and that it was simply a Ponzi scheme. Respondents did not disclose to the investors any of the foregoing information.
- 14. Although Respondents received some payments from the operators of the TV AD Venture, \$20,000 of the investors' money was lost.
- 15. In January 2008, LUNT told the investors simply that the TV AD Venture lost money and that "things didn't work as planned," so Respondents refunded to the investors what money of theirs that Respondents had left at the time.

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

2122

23

2425

26

16.	T1	he Rate o	of Retu	ırn Co	ntracts given	to all	of the in	vestors	guarantee	e tl	neir p	rincipa
investment	and	provide	that,	upon	cancellation,	the	investors	s shall	receive	a	full	refund
Respondent	s hav	e repaid	in full	some.	but not all, of	the i	nvestors.					

- January 2008, THOMAS 17. August 2007 to S. BLACKWELL From ("BLACKWELL"), one of the WGS registered securities salesmen supervised by LUNT as a WGS branch manager, raised from eleven investors \$1,429,000 to invest in the TV AD Venture, the same advertising venture for which Respondents solicited investors. LUNT knew about these sales by BLACKWELL and knew that they were neither recorded on the records of WGS nor authorized by WGS. However, LUNT did not contact BLACKWELL'S investors, did not report BLACKWELL to WGS, and took no action whatsoever to address BLACKWELL'S activity.
- 18. In January 2008, BLACKWELL asked LUNT to attempt to recoup BLACKWELL'S investors' losses by using \$470,000 of investor money in foreign currency trading. After just a few days of trading, LUNT lost \$23,486 of the investor money. These securities transactions were not recorded on the records of WGS.
- 19. LUNT failed to discharge many of the supervisory duties incumbent upon him by reason of the WGS Written Supervisory Procedures Manual and system for applying the procedures contained therein. LUNT failed to conduct quarterly interviews of BLACKWELL, to review the outside business activities of BLACKWELL, and to advise WGS of BLACKWELL'S regulatory violations and sales practice improprieties.

II.

### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. The Commission has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to Article XV of the Arizona Constitution and the Securities Act.
- 2. Respondents offered or sold securities within or from Arizona, within the meaning of A.R.S. §§ 44-1801(15), 44-1801(21), and 44-1801(26).

- 3. Respondents violated A.R.S. § 44-1841 by offering or selling securities that were neither registered nor exempt from registration.
- 4. DJL violated A.R.S. § 44-1842 by offering or selling securities while neither registered as a dealer nor exempt from registration.
- 5. Respondents violated A.R.S. § 44-1991 by (a) employing a device, scheme, or artifice to defraud, (b) making untrue statements or misleading omissions of material facts, and (c) engaging in transactions, practices, or courses of business that operate or would operate as a fraud or deceit. Respondents' conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:
- a) Failing to disclose information that contradicted or raised doubt about the legitimacy of the representation that the TV AD Venture was highly profitable; and,
- b) Failing to disclose that some investors would be repaid in full before other investors and that Respondents would decide which investors that would be.
- 6. LUNT directly or indirectly controlled DJL as its manager. Therefore, LUNT is jointly and severally liable under A.R.S. § 44-1999 to the same extent as DJL for its violations of A.R.S. § 44-1991.
- 7. LUNT'S conduct subjects him to an order of revocation of his securities salesman registration pursuant to:
  - a) A.R.S. § 44-1962(A)(2) for violating A.R.S. §§ 44-1841 and 44-1991;
- b) A.R.S. § 44-1962(A)(10) for engaging in dishonest or unethical practices as defined by A.A.C. R14-4-130(A)(17) (effecting securities transactions that were not recorded on the records of the dealer with whom he was registered at the time of the transactions); and,
- c) A.R.S. § 44-1962(A)(11) for failing to reasonably supervise salesmen under the salesman's supervisory control.
- 8. Respondents' conduct is grounds for a cease and desist order pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 44-2032 and 44-1962.

9. Respondents' conduct is grounds for an order of restitution pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 44-2032 and 44-1962.

- 10. Respondents' conduct is grounds for administrative penalties under A.R.S. §§ 44-2036 and 44-1962.
- 11. LUNT acted for the benefit of his marital community and, pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 25-214 and 25-215, this Order of restitution and administrative penalties is a debt of the community.

### Ш.

### **ORDER**

THEREFORE, on the basis of the Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and the consent of Respondents and Respondent Spouse to the entry of this Order, attached and incorporated by reference, the Commission finds that the following relief is appropriate, in the public interest, and necessary for the protection of investors:

IT IS ORDERED, pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 44-2032 and 44-1962, that Respondents, and any of Respondents' agents, employees, successors and assigns, permanently cease and desist from violating the Securities Act.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Respondents and Respondent Spouse comply with the attached Consent to Entry of Order.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 44-2032 and 44-1962, that Respondents, individually, and the marital community of LUNT and Respondent Spouse, jointly and severally shall pay restitution to the Commission in the amount of \$24,363, which amount was calculated pursuant to 14-4-308(C), Ariz. Admin. Code. Payment shall be made in full on the date of this Order.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 44-2032 and 44-1962, that Respondents, individually, and the marital community of LUNT and Respondent Spouse, jointly and severally shall, jointly and severally with any Respondent against whom the Commission

enters an order under Docket No. S-20673A-09-0198, pay restitution to the Commission in the amount of \$23,486. Payment shall be made in full on the date of this Order.

Any amount outstanding shall accrue interest at the rate of 10% per annum from the date of this Order until paid in full. Payment shall be made to the "State of Arizona" to be placed in an interest-bearing account controlled by the Commission. The Commission shall disburse the funds on a pro-rata basis to investors shown on the records of the Commission. Any restitution funds that the Commission cannot disburse because an investor refuses to accept such payment, or any restitution funds that cannot be disbursed to an investor because the investor is deceased and the Commission cannot reasonably identify and locate the deceased investor's spouse or natural children surviving at the time of the distribution, shall be disbursed on a pro-rata basis to the remaining investors shown on the records of the Commission. Any funds that the Commission determines it is unable to or cannot feasibly disburse shall be transferred to the general fund of the state of Arizona.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 44-2036 and 44-1962, that Respondents, individually, and the marital community of LUNT and Respondent Spouse, jointly and severally, shall pay an administrative penalty in the amount of \$20,000. Payment shall be made to the "State of Arizona." Any amount outstanding shall accrue interest at the rate of 10% per annum from the date of this Order until paid in full. The payment obligations for these administrative penalties shall be subordinate to any restitution obligations ordered herein and shall become immediately due and payable only after restitution payments have been paid in full or upon Respondents' or Respondent Spouse's default with respect to Respondents' and Respondent Spouse's restitution obligations.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1962, that the securities salesman registration of LUNT is revoked.

For purposes of this Order, a bankruptcy filing by any of the Respondents or Respondent Spouse shall be an act of default. If any Respondent or Respondent Spouse does not comply with



this Order, any outstanding balance may be deemed in default and shall be immediately due and 1 payable. 2 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, if any Respondent or Respondent Spouse fails to 3 comply with this order, the Commission may bring further legal proceedings, including application 4 to the superior court for an order of contempt. 5 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Order shall become effective immediately. 6 BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 7 8 9 COMMISSIONER 10 11 12 COMMISSIONER COMMISSIÓ: 13 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, MICHAEL P. KEARNS Interim Executive Director of the Arizona Corporation 14 Commission, have hereunto set my hand and caused the 15 official seal of the Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix, this /674 day of 16 17 18 MICHAELP, KEARNS 19 INTERIM EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR 20 21 DISSENT 22 23 DISSENT 24 This document is available in alternative formats by contacting Shaylin A. Bernal, ADA 25 Coordinator, voice phone number 602-542-3931, e-mail sabernal@azcc.gov. (ASL) 26

# 3 4

## 5 6

# 7

8

# 9

### 10 11

- 12
- 13

### 14

## 15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

#### CONSENT TO ENTRY OF ORDER

- 1. Respondents and Respondent Spouse admit the jurisdiction of the Commission over the subject matter of this proceeding. Respondents and Respondent Spouse acknowledge that they have been fully advised of their right to a hearing to present evidence and call witnesses and they knowingly and voluntarily waive any and all rights to a hearing before the Commission and all other rights otherwise available under Article 11 of the Securities Act and Title 14 of the Arizona Administrative Code. Respondents and Respondent Spouse acknowledge that this Order constitutes a valid final order of the Commission.
- 2. Respondents and Respondent Spouse knowingly and voluntarily waive any right under Article 12 of the Securities Act to judicial review by any court by way of suit, appeal, or extraordinary relief resulting from the entry of this Order.
- 3. Respondents and Respondent Spouse acknowledge and agree that this Order is entered into freely and voluntarily and that no promise was made or coercion used to induce such entry.
- 4. Respondents and Respondent Spouse understand and acknowledge that they have a right to seek counsel regarding this Order and that they have had the opportunity to seek counsel prior to signing this Order. Respondents and Respondent Spouse acknowledge and agree that, despite the foregoing, they freely and voluntarily waive any and all right to consult or obtain counsel prior to signing this Order.
- 5. Respondents and Respondent Spouse neither admit nor deny the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law contained in this Order. Respondents and Respondent Spouse agree that they shall not contest the validity of the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law contained in this Order in any present or future administrative proceeding before the Commission or any other state agency concerning the denial or issuance of any license or registration required by the state to engage in the practice of any business or profession.

- 6. By consenting to the entry of this Order, Respondents and Respondent Spouse agree not to take any action or to make, or permit to be made, any public statement denying, directly or indirectly, any Finding of Fact or Conclusion of Law in this Order or creating the impression that this Order is without factual basis. Respondents and Respondent Spouse will undertake steps necessary to assure that all of their agents and employees understand and comply with this agreement.
- 7. While this Order settles this administrative matter between Respondents, Respondent Spouse, and the Commission, Respondents and Respondent Spouse understand that this Order does not preclude the Commission from instituting other administrative or civil proceedings based on violations that are not addressed by this Order.
- 8. Respondents and Respondent Spouse understand that this Order does not preclude the Commission from referring this matter to any governmental agency for administrative, civil, or criminal proceedings that may be related to the matters addressed by this Order.
- 9. Respondents and Respondent Spouse understand that this Order does not preclude any other agency or officer of the state of Arizona or its subdivisions from instituting administrative, civil, or criminal proceedings that may be related to matters addressed by this Order.
- 10. LUNT and DJL agree that they will not apply to the state of Arizona for registration as a securities dealer or salesman or for licensure as an investment adviser or investment adviser representative at any time in the future.
- 11. LUNT and DJL agree that they will not exercise any control over any entity that offers or sells securities or provides investment advisory services within or from Arizona at any time in the future.
- 12. LUNT and DJL agree that they will not sell any securities in or from Arizona without being properly registered in Arizona as a dealer or salesman, or exempt from such registration; LUNT and DJL will not sell any securities in or from Arizona unless the securities are

registered in Arizona or exempt from registration; and, LUNT and DJL will not transact business in Arizona as an investment adviser or an investment adviser representative unless properly licensed in Arizona or exempt from licensure.

- 13. Respondents and Respondent Spouse agree that they will continue to cooperate with the Securities Division by, including but not limited to, providing complete and accurate testimony at any hearing in this matter and cooperating with the state of Arizona in any related investigation or any other matters arising from the activities described in this Order.
- 14. LUNT and Respondent Spouse acknowledge that any restitution or penalties imposed by this Order are obligations of LUNT as well as the marital community.
- 15. Respondents and Respondent Spouse consent to the entry of this Order and agree to be fully bound by its terms and conditions.
- 16. Respondents and Respondent Spouse acknowledge and understand that, if they fail to comply with the provisions of the order and this consent, the Commission may bring further legal proceedings against them, including application to the superior court for an order of contempt.
- 17. Respondents and Respondent Spouse understand that default shall render them liable to the Commission for its costs of collection and interest at the maximum legal rate.
- 18. Respondents and Respondent Spouse agree and understand that, if they fail to make any payment as required in the Order, any outstanding balance shall be in default and shall be immediately due and payable without notice or demand. Respondents and Respondent Spouse agree and understand that acceptance of any partial or late payment by the Commission is not a waiver of default by Commission.

5 || ..

1	19. LUNT represents that he is the manager of DJL and has been authorized by DJL to
2	enter into this Order for and on behalf of it.
3	
4	DUSTIN J. LUNT
5	I Tuf
6	KAMI E LUNT
7	STATE OF ARIZONA )
8	) ss
9	County of Maricopa )
10	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE me this 18 day of 1000, 2009.
11	
12	NOTARY RUBLIC
13	My commission expires:
14	March 15, 2013
15	DJL & ASSOCIATES, L.L.C.
16	CARLOS GODOY By: Dustin J. Lunt Notary Public - Arizona
17	Maricopa County My Comm. Expires Mar 25, 2013  Its: Manager
18	STATE OF ARIZONA )
19	) ss County of Maricopa )
20	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE me this/8 day of, 2009.
21	SOBSERIBED AND SWORT TO BEI ORE the this
22	
23	NOTARY PUBLIC
24	My commission expires:
25	March 25, 2013  CARLOS GODOY  Notary Public - Arizona
<b>2</b> 6	Maricopa County My Comm. Expires Mar 25, 2013
	12