



0000101120

32

**BEFORE THE ARIZONA POWER PLANT  
AND TRANSMISSION LINE SITING COMMITTEE**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF SALT RIVER PROJECT AGRICULTURAL IMPROVEMENT AND POWER DISTRICT, IN CONFORMANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF ARIZONA REVISED STATUTES, SECTIONS 40-360, et seq., FOR A CERTIFICATE OF ENVIRONMENTAL COMPATIBILITY AUTHORIZING CONSTRUCTION OF A 230 kV DOUBLE-CIRCUIT TRANSMISSION LINE ORIGINATING AT THE PLANNED AND PERMITTED ABEL SUBSTATION, NEAR JUDD AND ATTAWAY ROADS IN PINAL COUNTY, TO THE PLANNED AND PERMITTED RS-17 SUBSTATION, ADJACENT TO THE EXISTING MOODY SUBSTATION, LOCATED NEAR PECOS AND RECKER ROADS, IN THE TOWN OF GILBERT, MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA, AND INCLUDING A NEW 230/69 kV SUBSTATION NEAR THE INTERSECTION OF COMBS AND MERIDIAN ROADS, IN OR ADJACENT TO THE TOWN OF QUEEN CREEK, ARIZONA.

Docket No. L-00000B-09-0311-00148

Case No. 148

**NOTICE OF FILING DIRECT  
TESTIMONY OF CHUCK RUSSELL**

RECEIVED  
2009 JUL 29 P 3:53  
AZ CORP COMMISSION  
DOCKET CONTROL

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Applicant, Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement & Power District is filing the Direct Testimony of Chuck Russell regarding the need and purpose of the project.

DATED this 29<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2009.

JENNINGS, STROUSS & SALMON, P.L.C.

Arizona Corporation Commission  
DOCKETED

JUL 29 2009

DOCKETED BY

By   
Kenneth C. Sundlof, Jr.  
The Collier Center, 11th Floor  
201 East Washington Street  
Phoenix, Arizona 85004-2385

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

AND

Robert Taylor  
Salt River Project Agricultural  
Improvement and Power District  
Regulatory Affairs & Contracts, PAB 221  
P.O. Box 52025  
Phoenix, AZ 85072-2025

Attorneys for Salt River Project  
Agricultural Improvement & Power District

ORIGINAL and 25 copies filed this 29<sup>th</sup>  
day of July, 2009, with:

Docket Control  
ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION  
1200 West Washington Street  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

COPY emailed this 29<sup>th</sup> day of  
July, 2009, to:

All parties of record

By: \_\_\_\_\_

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18

**BEFORE THE ARIZONA POWER PLANT  
AND TRANSMISSION LINE SITING COMMITTEE**

6 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF  
7 SALT RIVER PROJECT AGRICULTURAL  
8 IMPROVEMENT AND POWER DISTRICT, IN  
9 CONFORMANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS  
10 OF ARIZONA REVISED STATUTES, SECTIONS  
11 40-360, et seq., FOR A CERTIFICATE OF  
12 ENVIRONMENTAL COMPATIBILITY  
13 AUTHORIZING CONSTRUCTION OF A 230 kV  
14 DOUBLE-CIRCUIT TRANSMISSION LINE  
15 ORIGINATING AT THE PLANNED AND  
16 PERMITTED ABEL SUBSTATION, NEAR JUDD  
17 AND ATTAWAY ROADS IN PINAL COUNTY, TO  
18 THE PLANNED AND PERMITTED RS-17  
19 SUBSTATION, ADJACENT TO THE EXISTING  
20 MOODY SUBSTATION, LOCATED NEAR  
21 PECOS AND RECKER ROADS, IN THE TOWN  
22 OF GILBERT, MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA,  
23 AND INCLUDING A NEW 230/69 kV  
24 SUBSTATION NEAR THE INTERSECTION OF  
25 COMBS AND MERIDIAN ROADS, IN OR  
26 ADJACENT TO THE TOWN OF QUEEN CREEK,  
27 ARIZONA.

Docket No. L-00000B-09-0311-00148

Case No. 148

19 **DIRECT TESTIMONY OF CHUCK RUSSELL**

20 **ON BEHALF OF**

21  
22 **SALT RIVER PROJECT AGRICULTURAL**  
23  
24 **IMPROVEMENT AND POWER DISTRICT**  
25  
26  
27

1 **Q. Please state your name and your affiliation with Salt River Project.**

2 A. My name is Chuck Russell. I am a Principal Planning Engineer in SRP's  
3 Transmission Planning Department.

4  
5 **Q. What is your role with respect to the Abel Moody transmission  
6 project?**

7 A. The Transmission Planning Department is responsible for determining the  
8 timing and scope of needed system upgrades. It is my department that has  
9 established the need for this project, as I will discuss later in my testimony. I  
10 worked with the Project Manager, Tom Novy, to help him communicate the  
11 purpose of this project and how the project integrates into SRP's system and  
12 future plans.

13  
14 **Q. Mr. Russell, Exhibit SRP-002 is a resume of your experience. Please  
15 describe your experience.**

16 A. I've been with SRP for thirty years. Approximately 27 of those years, I've been  
17 in involved in the planning of SRP's transmission system. For the last eighteen  
18 or so years, I've either supported, participated in, or directed the work  
19 necessary to make applications and receive Certificates of Environmental  
20 Compatibility for transmission projects for the Salt River Project.

21  
22 **Q. Mr. Russell, before I ask you to introduce the Abel Moody project, can  
23 you briefly introduce the applicant, referencing SRP-003 as needed?**

24 A. Salt River Project has a rich history in the Valley. It was formed by the  
25 landowners in the Salt River Valley at the turn of the last century to "reclaim"  
26 the arid desert land that was Phoenix. SRP has grown with Central Arizona.

27

1 From its beginnings SRP has been a major force in the development of Central  
2 Arizona, bringing reliable and low cost water and power to homes and  
3 businesses.

4 Today SRP serves over 930,000 electric customers over its 2,900 square mile  
5 service territory. SRP's service territory is shown in SRP-004. SRP is one of  
6 the largest municipal (which means publically owned) electricity utilities in the  
7 nation. It is SRP's mission to anticipate and meet the needs of its customers,  
8 and this Abel to Moody Transmission project is an example of SRP's proactive  
9 planning.

10  
11 **Q. Mr. Russell, we have marked as Exhibits SRP-137 and SRP-138 two**  
12 **maps, which are reproduced on the front and the back of the**  
13 **placemats that have been provided to each Committee member. Of**  
14 **course we will get into detail later, but can you identify these maps?**

15 A. Yes, these maps show the facilities and alternative routes that are the subject  
16 of this application. Exhibit SRP-137 is a route map which highlights the  
17 jurisdictions within the study area. Exhibit SRP-138 is a route map that is  
18 overlaid on an aerial photo of the area.

19  
20 **Q. Mr. Russell, as background to the discussion of the Able Moody**  
21 **Transmission Project, would you please generally describe the general**  
22 **operation of a transmission system.**

23 A. Yes, SRP-005 is a simplified depiction of the transmission system, but it is  
24 good to generally illustrate how it works. The general purpose of electrical  
25 transmission systems is to get the power and energy generated by generating  
26 stations to the customers. This graphic depicts the system by showing the  
27 generator connected to a 500kV line. In the west, a great number of the

1 generators were developed remotely from the load the generators serve.  
2 500kV lines (as well as a few 345kV lines) bring the output of those remote  
3 stations to the load pockets or utility's service territory. The 500kV lines  
4 connect to stations that transform the voltage from 500kV to 230kV. Then  
5 230kV lines leave the stations to deliver that power to more local stations that  
6 are called "receiving stations" on this graphic. At those stations the voltage is  
7 transformed to 69kV and delivered over the 69kV system or as it is shown on  
8 the graphic, the subtransmission system, to our neighborhood distribution  
9 substations. At the distribution substations, the voltage is transformed to 12kV  
10 and is brought to a smaller transformer either on a pole in your alley, or the  
11 box in your or your neighbor's front yard. It is transformed to 240 volts or 120  
12 volts and brought to your meter for your use.

13  
14 **Q. Can you relate this discussion specifically to SRP, using Exhibit SRP-**  
15 **006 as a guide?**

16 A. If you would refer to Exhibit SRP-006, I'll explain in more detail SRP's existing  
17 system. I'm showing a map of the state of Arizona. The map depicts some of  
18 the extra high voltage transmission lines that serve Arizona. There are 500kV  
19 lines and 345kV lines. Some of these lines are owned by SRP, or SRP has an  
20 interest in the lines to bring power and energy from remote resources into  
21 SRP's load service territory. I'm pointing to the northern edge of Arizona  
22 where the Navajo Generating Station is located outside of Page, Arizona. I'm  
23 now pointing at the eastern edge of Arizona where the Coronado Generating  
24 Station is located near Saint John's, Arizona. I'm now pointing at the Four  
25 Corners area where the San Juan Generating Station and the Four Corners  
26 Generating Station are located. There are others, but those are representative  
27 of remote generating sites. The lines you see on the graphic show the

1 transmission system that was developed to bring the power from those  
2 stations to the valley, or to the load service territories of the other owners.  
3 The map now depicts SRP's load service territory which encompasses most of  
4 the Valley. The next elements shown are the 230kV stations that I mentioned  
5 earlier in the simplified diagram.  
6

7 **Q. Please focus on the SRP electric service area, using Exhibit SRP-007.**

8 A. SRP-007 is a blow up of the portion of the map showing SRP's load service  
9 territory. On this graphic, you are able to see the 230kV system that connects  
10 the stations shown on the previous graphic. I'm also showing what SRP calls  
11 "receiving station areas" on this map. SRP has a defined service territory, and  
12 we have created a "master plan" of these receiving station areas. Some areas  
13 have stations built and operating and some have stations planned and sites  
14 acquired, but not developed. Finally some areas are areas for which we have  
15 plans, but haven't completed the work to identify the stations sites. We have  
16 been building to this master plan, and the RS24 area is a part of that plan.  
17

18 **Q. Please now focus your discussion on the Queen Creek area, using**  
19 **Exhibit SRP-008.**

20 A. SRP-008 is a blow up depicting the area around the RS24 receiving station  
21 area. What I wish to point out here is the location of the receiving station  
22 area, into which we wish to build the station. And the points into the system  
23 we wish to connect with this project. The area shown on this graphic is  
24 currently being served by existing facilities to the west and north. As the load  
25 grows, the capacity of that system is being used by the load development near  
26 that system and we have to build additional facilities to provide for the  
27 existing and developing load in the RS24 area.

1 **Q. Using SRP-008, please introduce the Abel Moody Transmission project**  
2 **to the Committee.**

3 A. As I have discussed SRP has divided its service area into areas that will be  
4 served by a central 230/69kv substation. Most of these areas already have a  
5 230/69kV substation. The area around Queen Creek, which we call RS24,  
6 does not yet have its own substation. Currently, it is served by long 69kV  
7 lines coming from other substations. As growth occurs it is appropriate to  
8 build out the substation for the area.

9 The Abel Moody transmission project will site a new RS24 substation near the  
10 center of the load area. It will connect RS17, a permitted but not constructed  
11 substation with the Abel Substation which has also been permitted but not  
12 built. The RS24 Substation will be served through the 230kV transmission  
13 system, and will step voltages down to 69kV, where they can be distributed  
14 locally. The 230kV link will be provided from two directions, the Able  
15 Substation to the Southeast, and the Schrader and Santan 230kV line from  
16 the Northwest. The project will also accommodate 69kV circuits on the same  
17 structures, where appropriate and needed.

18  
19 **Q. Mr. Russell, the project is called Abel Moody, but you did not mention**  
20 **a Moody substation, can you explain why?**

21 A. The Moody substation is a small neighborhood 69kV substation. We used  
22 Moody in the project description because it is the likely geographical point of  
23 the Santan Schrader interconnection and eventually RS17 substation will be  
24 built adjacent to the Moody substation.

1 **Q. Mr. Russell, SRP is here proposing an expensive project. We will hear**  
2 **cost estimates from \$50 to \$60 million. Can you explain why, in these**  
3 **economic times, SRP is seeking to permit and proposing to build this**  
4 **project?**

5 A. SRP is faced with two potentially conflicting objectives. The first is that SRP  
6 does not want to burden customers with expenses for facilities that are not  
7 needed, yet. This is a very important concept. SRP cannot and will not take  
8 lightly any capital expenditure, but particularly one of this magnitude. But,  
9 SRP has an equally compelling objective to provide reliable electric service to  
10 its customers. We all know that growth has significantly slowed since the time  
11 SRP initiated the sting process. But, growth still occurs, though perhaps on a  
12 longer time line. SRP needs to plan for and be prepared for this inevitable  
13 growth. In the next part of my testimony I will discuss the three drivers for  
14 this project. I emphasize that we will be requesting flexibility on the timing  
15 from this Committee

16  
17 **Q. Mr. Russell, please summarize the purposes of the Abel Moody**  
18 **Transmission Project.**

19 A. This project has three purposes. They are: number one, delivery of power to  
20 customers; number two, increase reliability of the electric system and number  
21 three, to provide access to renewables and other generation resources.

22  
23 **Q. Mr. Russell, I want to discuss each of these three purposes in more**  
24 **detail. Let's start with the first one, delivery of power to customers.**  
25 **Using Exhibit SRP-009, please describe how the Queen Creek area is**  
26 **served now.**

27 A. As I had mentioned earlier, this area is being served by SRP today. The power

1 comes to this area by 69kV lines that bring power from 230kV stations in  
2 other receiving station areas. Please refer to SRP-009 on the screen. I'm  
3 pointing at the Santan station, the Schrader station, and the Dinosaur station.  
4 Now I'm pointing at the Browning station, and the site of the future Abel  
5 station. Currently 69kV lines from these stations run into the RS24 service  
6 area, connecting to 69/12kV substations to provide service to the RS24 area.  
7

8 **Q. Why do you propose to change this?**

9 There are three reasons for this. The first has to do with the length of the  
10 lines. Longer lines have greater exposures to outages. By terminating these  
11 lines into a station situated closer to the load being served, you reduce the  
12 exposure to outages caused by weather, vehicles, and Mylar balloons.

13 The second is to reduce electrical losses in the system and bolster voltages at  
14 the customer's meter. Losses are a function of the length of the line and the  
15 level of current through the line. Long 69kV lines are less reliable, but they are  
16 the most effective way to serve areas with light load (lower current levels). As  
17 the load increases, losses increase and there comes a "tipping point", where it  
18 becomes technically and economically reasonable to add a new 230/69kV  
19 station to the system and terminate the long 69kV lines into that new station.  
20 As you shorten the 69kV lines from the source (the station) to the sink (the  
21 customer) you lessen the losses associated with that delivery. Shorter lines  
22 also result in more stable voltages. Voltages drop (or lessen) the farther you  
23 get from the source. By keeping the lines short, you can keep the voltages  
24 within an acceptable operating range.

25 The third reason is to accommodate load growth by increasing transformer  
26 capacity. As the load grows, and the capacity of those stations nears their  
27 limits, new capacity has to be developed to serve the load in the immediate

1 area. This project is to develop that new station for service to this immediate  
2 area, and the 230kV transmission lines to connect that 230kV station with the  
3 existing system.  
4

5 **Q. Can you show the changes in serving local load by constructing this**  
6 **project, and use Exhibit SRP-010?**

7 A. If you would refer to Exhibit SRP-010, you will see how the station circled in  
8 green will integrate into the existing system, "breaking" the lines by bringing  
9 them into the station. This station feed by the 230kV system not shown on  
10 this map shortens the lines from the source to the sink, and it connects those  
11 areas served by long lines to a much nearer source.  
12

13 **Q. What is the "tipping point"?**

14 A. I will answer this question with the proviso that there are three drivers of this  
15 project, not just serving local load. Exhibit SRP-011 shows projections of load  
16 growth in the Queen Creek area. We show two projections. One was the  
17 projection before the economic slowdown, and the other is the current  
18 forecast. As you can see, while growth has slowed, there is still growth, and  
19 that growth drives the need for this project. While not exact engineering, we  
20 feel that the "tipping point" for the need of a local 230kV station will occur  
21 around the 2016 time frame. This could of course accelerate or slow,  
22 depending on economic conditions and usage patterns.  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

1 **Q. Mr. Russell, please discuss the second purpose, reliability.**

2 A. My prior answer focused on the Queen Creek area. But growth is occurring  
3 throughout the system. So, for example as load grows more stress is placed  
4 on the lines and existing substations in the larger area. So, a second purpose  
5 of this project is to relieve the stress on the system generally.

6 As you can see on SRP-012, the existing, or soon to be existing, system is a  
7 single line from the Abel station up through Browning into SRP's existing  
8 system. If you add this project as seen by the line coming onto the map, you  
9 create a loop from the from the Abel station into SRP's system. With the  
10 addition of this line, you can remove any section of line, or open breakers in  
11 the stations connected by these lines without having to remove customers  
12 from service. The result is that we relieve the stress on existing lines and  
13 substations, and provide greater reliability through redundant paths as you  
14 can see by this graphic.

15  
16 **Q. Mr. Russell, what is the third purpose?**

17 A. The third purpose relates to regional transmission planning and the ability to  
18 bring new resources to load.

19  
20 **Q. Can you describe regional transmission planning?**

21 A. Transmission planning of facilities is not done in a vacuum. The study of  
22 transmission additions to the system whether local or regional is done at  
23 several levels and is subject to certain standards. SRP is a member of several  
24 subregional planning groups as well as Western Electricity Coordinating  
25 Council (WECC). These groups and WECC coordinate development of base  
26 cases that review the impacts of transmission line additions and the  
27 subsequent loss of any of those elements, and in some cases multiple

1 elements. The results of these simulations must adhere to minimum reliability  
2 standards or the utility responsible for that part of the system is subject to  
3 sanctions.

4 In recent cases, the committee has heard reference to the Central Arizona  
5 Transmission System Extra High Voltage (CATS HV) subcommittee and  
6 SouthWest Area Transmission Study Group (SWAT). These are two of the  
7 entities in which SRP participates, as well as WestConnect and the WECC. This  
8 project has been included in the base cases these groups have used to study  
9 the system. Inclusion of this project results in benefits to the local system as  
10 well as demonstration there are no adverse impacts on other parts of the  
11 overall interconnected system.

12  
13 **Q. Mr. Russell, you mentioned bringing new resources to the load. What**  
14 **types of new resources will this system accommodate?**

15 A. Well a robust system will support all types of generation. But, our planners  
16 recognize that much of the new generation will come from renewable sources,  
17 which may be placed in geographically diverse locations. The graphic that  
18 you see on the screen now is SRP-013. It shows the southern half of Arizona  
19 and some of the transmission system there. Also on the map is Palo Verde or  
20 what we describe as the Palo Verde hub. There are a number of transmission  
21 lines that connect Palo Verde to the Valley, as well as to points west such as  
22 southern California and the Yuma area. Because of these strong system ties,  
23 the Palo Verde hub has been identified by a number of energy developers as a  
24 good location for renewable energy projects. Some are proposed to connect to  
25 the switchyards, and many are proposed to connect to the lines out of the  
26 switchyard, but the upshot is that there are plans for a considerable amount of  
27 solar generation in the preliminary stages of development at the Palo Verde

1 area. With the development of the Southeast Valley Project, SRP may access  
2 this energy for delivery to this proposed project for delivery to our customers.  
3 There is also a depiction of the SunZia Southwest Transmission project. This is  
4 a transmission project being developed by a number of parties to access  
5 renewable energy projects proposed for central and southwestern New Mexico,  
6 as well as southeastern Arizona. One proposed termination of the SunZia  
7 project is into the Southeast Valley Project which connects to the Abel station,  
8 potentially connecting SRP's customers to more renewable generation.  
9

10 **Q. How do these proposed new generation sources relate to this Abel**  
11 **Moody project?**

12 A. Abel/Moody provides a subdistribution link to facilitate increased import from  
13 the Southern Arizona system, which I have described.  
14

15 **Q. Mr. Russell, please discuss the sequencing of construction and the**  
16 **expected time frame.**

17 A. SRP-014 through SRP-018 Please direct your attention to the screen where  
18 exhibit SRP-014 is showing. This graphic is a close up of the existing system  
19 near the Moody distribution substation. The current configuration of the 230kV  
20 lines out of Santan to the Schrader substation is a double circuit 230kV  
21 transmission line with the two circuits connected by jumpers. This creates a  
22 single circuit. SRP does this when we know we will need the capacity of two  
23 lines in the future, but only require a single line for the interim. We only have  
24 to have a single termination in the stations to connect the transmission lines.  
25 When we need the capacity, we open the jumpers, and add a new termination  
26 to the two stations at either end of the transmission line.

27 SRP's plans call for the first of the two circuits by 2012. SRP-015 shows what

1 we plan to do with the first circuit. We will remove the jumpers on the existing  
2 Santan to Schrader 230kV line, and connect the new Abel 230kV circuit to one  
3 of those. This will create a new Abel to Schrader 230kV line, as well as retain a  
4 Santan to Schrader 230kV line.

5 SRP-016 shows the configuration in this area when we install the second  
6 230kV circuit on the structures in 2014. In 2012, we left a portion of a circuit  
7 that went from Santan to a point on a structure outside of the Moody  
8 distribution substation. The second 230kV circuit from Abel will connect to that  
9 "abandoned" portion. This will create an Abel to Santan 230kV circuit. So now  
10 we have an Abel to Schrader 230kV circuit and an Abel to Santan 230kV  
11 circuit on this project's structures.

12 The dog leg shown on the graphic in SRP-016 begs the question of why? SRP-  
13 017 and SRP-018 show the reason for that. The future 230kV station that will  
14 be built next to the Moody distribution substation will require 230kV  
15 connections. This dog leg will allow SRP to terminate both the Santan to  
16 Schrader and the Abel to Santan lines into the future station providing  
17 connection to strong sources for that station in the future. SRP doesn't have  
18 an estimated date for the construction of this station, but as the area grows,  
19 and additional capacity is needed to handle the growth at Gateway airport and  
20 the development envisioned at the old GM proving grounds, this station will  
21 move into our construction window.

22 This plan calls for the RS24 station to be constructed for an in service date of  
23 2016. Both lines will ultimately be terminated in the new station. If you'll  
24 direct your attention to the screen, I'll ask for SRP-019 to be shown. This is a  
25 schematic of the RS24 station and what we plan on having in the station in its  
26 initial development.

27 The next exhibit, SRP-020 shows what we envision being the ultimate

1 development, or build out, of the RS24 station. We are thinking there may be  
2 two additional 230kV lines beyond what we are requesting with this  
3 application. If those materialize, we will more than likely be before this  
4 committee again asking for authority to construct those two.

5 This diagram also shows the 69kV lines that we plan to connect to this station.  
6 If you will recall the simplified diagram showing the electric system I showed  
7 earlier (SRP-010), these lines connect RS24 to the neighborhood stations that  
8 eventually tie to homes and businesses.

9 I also have a photograph of another 230/69kV substation. Please show SRP-  
10 023. RS24 will be similar in design to this station.

11  
12 **Q. Mr. Russell, how much time is SRP requesting to build this project?**

13 A. SRP is requesting 12 years. Our current plans are to finish the project before  
14 this time, but load growth is quite difficult to project in the current  
15 environment, and it is possible that the construction may extend out further.  
16 We do not want to build facilities too far in advance of need, as these are costs  
17 that must be then paid by our customers.

18  
19 **Q. Please describe the transmission structures that SRP proposes.**

20 A. If you will direct your attention to the screen again, I'll ask SRP-021 be placed  
21 on the screen. This is a double circuit 230kV pole. It is taken from the  
22 application and shows one of the proposed pole types for the transmission  
23 line. Another example can be seen on SRP-022 which is a depiction of a  
24 230kV double circuit pole with a 69kV underbuild. These are what will be used  
25 generally on this project. Typical double circuit 230kV lines are 115 feet tall.  
26 Double circuit 230kV lines with 69kV underbuild are typically 135 feet tall.  
27 Typical right of way width to accommodate both National Electric Safety Code

1 and SRP's maintenance standards are approximately 100 feet wide. Where we  
2 are forced into narrower rights of way, we can use a different type of pole and  
3 reduce the requirement to 85 feet, at the cost of less flexibility in maintenance  
4 of the transmission line and structure. Typically spans, or the distances  
5 between towers range from 600 to 1,200 feet, depending on the type of  
6 structure and the height of the structure.

7 There are places where these types will be modified in height or span for  
8 particular reasons. Mr. Novy will address those in his testimony.

9  
10 **Q. I have no further questions.**

11  
12 ###