


1 requested to add an additional party as well as facts so that they are included in the proceedings
2 before the Commission. This would permit a more comprehensive adjudication of the proceedings.

3 Moreover, the Notice, being a matter of public record, will also put the community at large on
4 notice as to the person involved in the type of conduct in which the Respondents have allegedly
5 engaged; and serve to bring additional victims forward, if any. In this regard, amending the order will
6 permit the Commission to fulfill its mandate not only to investigate, but also enforce violations of the
7 Arizona Securities Act.

8 For the foregoing reasons, the Division requests that the Administrative Law Judge grant
9 this motion and permit filing of the attached First Amended Notice.

10 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 15th day of July, 2009

11
12 By: 

13 Aikaterine Vervilos
14 Staff Attorney, Securities Division of the
15 Arizona Corporation Commission
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

1 ORIGINAL AND THIRTEEN (13) COPIES of the foregoing
2 filed this 15th day of July, 2009, with

3 Docket Control
4 Arizona Corporation Commission
5 1200 West Washington
6 Phoenix, AZ 85007

7 COPY of the foregoing hand-delivered this
8 15th day of July, 2009, to:

9 Mr. Marc Stern
10 Administrative Law Judge
11 Arizona Corporation Commission/Hearing Division
12 1200 West Washington
13 Phoenix, AZ 85007

14 COPY of the foregoing sent via mail
15 this 15th day of July, 2009, to:

16 Jeffrey Proper, Esq.
17 10645 Tatum Blvd., Suite C200-652
18 Phoenix, AZ 85028

19 *Vernon S. S. S.*
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

Exhibit A

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

COMMISSIONERS

KRISTIN K. MAYES, Chairman
GARY PIERCE
PAUL NEWMAN
SANDRA D. KENNEDY
BOB STUMP

In the matter of:)	DOCKET NO. S-20648A-09-0010
ENERGETICS, INC., a Nevada corporation)	FIRST AMENDED NOTICE OF
STEVEN P. GIUFFRIDA and MICHELLE)	OPPORTUNITY FOR HEARING
GIUFFRIDA, husband and wife;)	REGARDING PROPOSED ORDER TO
RODNEY PETERSON and JANE DOE)	CEASE AND DESIST, ORDER FOR
PETERSON, husband and wife;)	RESTITUTION, ORDER FOR
Respondents.)	ADMINISTRATIVE PENALTIES AND
)	FOR OTHER AFFIRMATIVE ACTION

NOTICE: EACH RESPONDENT HAS 10 DAYS TO REQUEST A HEARING
EACH RESPONDENT HAS 30 DAYS TO FILE AN ANSWER

The Securities Division (“Division”) of the Arizona Corporation Commission (“Commission”) alleges that Respondents ENERGETICS, INC., a Nevada Corporation, STEVEN P. GIUFFRIDA and RODNEY PETERSON have engaged in acts, practices, and transactions that constitute violations of the Securities Act of Arizona, A.R.S. § 44-1801 et seq. (“Securities Act”).

I.
JURISDICTION

1. The Commission has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to Article XV of the Arizona Constitution and the Securities Act.

....
....
....

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

II.
RESPONDENTS

2. ENERGETICS, INC. (“ENERGETICS”) is a Nevada corporation with a principal place of business in Scottsdale, Arizona.¹ ENERGETICS was incorporated on or about June 28, 2006.

3. STEVEN P. GIUFFRIDA (“GIUFFRIDA”) is an individual residing in Maricopa County, Arizona.

4. According to Nevada Secretary of State public records, GIUFFRIDA is listed as the Secretary, Treasurer and Director of ENERGETICS. GIUFFRIDA became president of ENERGETICS in October 2008.

5. MICHELLE GIUFFRIDA has been at all relevant times the spouse of GIUFFRIDA and may be referred to as “Respondent Spouse.” Respondent Spouse is joined in this action under A.R.S. § 44-2031(C) solely for purposes of determining the liability of the marital community.

6. At all times relevant, GIUFFRIDA was acting for GIUFFRIDA’s own benefit and for the benefit or in furtherance of GIUFFRIDA and MICHELLE GIUFFRIDA’s marital community.

7. At all relevant times, RODNEY PETERSON (“PETERSON”) is an individual residing in Arizona.

8. Pursuant to records of the Nevada Secretary of State, PETERSON is listed as President and Director of ENERGETICS. PETERSON stepped down as President in October 2008.

9. JANE DOE PETERSON has been at all relevant times the spouse of PETERSON and may be referred to as “Respondent Spouse.” Respondent Spouse is joined in this action under A.R.S. § 44-2031(C) solely for purposes of determining the liability of the marital community.

¹ ENERGETICS as referenced in this Notice is a different entity than an entity known as Energetics, Inc. that is incorporated with the Commission and located in Phoenix.

1 20. To date, at least two investors' promissory notes are due and payable. Neither one
2 has received their principal and interest from ENERGETICS.

3 21. PETERSON resigned as president in October 2008 and GIUFFRIDA replaced him
4 as president.

5 22. On or about October 24, 2008, GIUFFRIDA and ENERGETICS advertised on the
6 Internet website known as Craig's List/Phoenix ("Craig's List") for investors as follows:

7 GREAT INVESTMENT
8 OPPORTUNITY*QUICK PAYBACK+OIL
9 ROYALTY INTEREST (oil city)

10 Energetics Inc. offers a 1 year note at 11% along with the assignment of a long term
11 royalty interest. The note will be collateralized by a ucc filing on a 370 acre oil
lease along with existing surface equipment. This lease has a proven 50+ year
history with a recent geology report confirming 900,000 barrels of oil reserves.

- 12 -1 year note 11% preferred. (Interest guaranteed/regardless of early payback)
- 13 -UCC filed in lenders name on lease and existing surface equipment
- 14 -Royalty interest on lease projected to yield an incentive bonus of an additional 15-
35% (based on oil prices) for the lifetime of the wells which can be 50+ years.
- 15 -\$75,000 Maximum.....\$25,000 minimum.

16 23. The Craig's List ad also includes a contact name, an Arizona contact phone number
17 of 480-609-2110, and the website address of ENERGETICS, www.energetics-inc.com. The
ENERGETICS website includes the same phone number that is in the ad as a contact number.

18 24. On or around October 27, 2008 in response to the Craig's List ad, at least one
19 potential Arizona investor ("PAI") contacted ENERGETICS via email. GIUFFRIDA responded to
20 the PAI's email and requested to speak to the PAI before providing more information.

21 25. On or around November 17, 2008, GIUFFRIDA represented to at least one PAI that
22 the promissory note would return 11 percent for one year plus a royalty interest.

23 26. GIUFFRIDA represented to at least one PAI that he and ENERGETICS were seeking
24 funds for two investments.

1 27. GIUFFRIDA represented to at least one PAI that there was an opportunity to invest in
2 an oil lease in Texas. GIUFFRIDA represented the Respondents were seeking to raise \$250,000 for
3 the "Texas Lease" which had "projected annual returns of 75% at today's prices."

4 28. GIUFFRIDA represented to at least one PAI that there was an investment in oil in
5 Caddo Parish, Louisiana and the returns were conservative but had "nice tax incentives."

6 29. On or about November 20, 2008, GUIFFRIDA and ENERGETICS forwarded
7 solicitation materials to at least one PAI after speaking with the PAI. The solicitation materials
8 included information about the investments, as well as a promissory note and a royalty agreement.

9 30. The solicitation materials stated the returns of the investment for the acquisition and
10 development of oil wells in East Texas, with an investment of \$250,000. The annual rate of return
11 would be from 75.15% to 145.66% from five wells each producing four barrels of oil per day
12 depending on an oil price of \$60, \$80, and \$100 per barrel.

13 31. The solicitation materials also stated the returns of the investment for a second
14 investment in Caddo Parish, Louisiana, with an investment of \$56,000. The after tax annual rate of
15 returns were listed as between 34.35% to 279.74%, depending on the price of oil and the number of
16 barrels of oil produced. The price of oil was listed at \$60, \$80 and \$100 per barrel. The three
17 wells were each projected to produce between 10, 20 or 50 barrels of oil per day.

18 32. The solicitation materials also included a statement that an independent geology
19 report stated that there are 900,000 plus barrels of oil reserves at the Caddo Parish, Louisiana site.

20 33. The solicitation materials also represented that the investments would be secured by
21 a UCC-1 filing.

22 34. According to the solicitation materials, an investment could be consummated by
23 filling out a standard form promissory note and royalty agreement.

24 35. The solicitation materials represent that the investment is highly speculative with a
25 high degree of risk, however, Respondents' website represents that risk is minimized by escalating
26 oil prices and tax advantages that remain regardless of the success of drilling.

V.

VIOLATION OF A.R.S. § 44-1842

(Transactions by Unregistered Dealers or Salesmen)

43. Respondents have been offering or selling securities in the form of promissory notes and royalty agreements within or from Arizona while not registered as dealers or salesmen pursuant to Article 9 of the Securities Act.

44. This conduct violates A.R.S. § 44-1842.

VI.

VIOLATION OF A.R.S. § 44-1991

(Fraud in Connection with the Offer or Sale of Securities)

45. In connection with the offer or sale of securities within or from Arizona, Respondents are, directly or indirectly: (i) employing a device, scheme, or artifice to defraud; (ii) making untrue statements of material fact or omitting to state material facts that are necessary in order to make the statements made not misleading in light of the circumstances under which they are made; or (iii) engaging in transactions, practices, or courses of business that operate or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon offerees and investors. Respondents' conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

a) Respondents failed to disclose to at least one PAI and one investor that other factors, including but not limited to, actual oil production, ability to pay for the lease, economic, public policy, costs of production, environmental issues, and weather disruptions, may influence the investment returns;

b) Respondents failed to provide to at least one PAI and one investor sufficient financial information about ENERGETICS so as to allow the PAI and investor to determine whether ENERGETICS had an ability to operate as a going concern; and

1 may be obtained from Docket Control by calling (602) 542-3477 or on the Commission's Internet
2 web site at <http://www.azcc.gov/divisions/hearings/docket.asp>.

3 Additionally, the answering respondent must serve the Answer upon the Division.
4 Pursuant to A.A.C. R14-4-303, service upon the Division may be made by mailing or by hand-
5 delivering a copy of the Answer to the Division at 1300 West Washington, 3rd Floor, Phoenix,
6 Arizona, 85007, addressed to Aikaterine Vervilos.

7 The Answer shall contain an admission or denial of each allegation in this Notice and the
8 original signature of the answering respondent or respondent's attorney. A statement of a lack of
9 sufficient knowledge or information shall be considered a denial of an allegation. An allegation
10 not denied shall be considered admitted.

11 When the answering respondent intends in good faith to deny only a part or a qualification
12 of an allegation, the respondent shall specify that part or qualification of the allegation and shall
13 admit the remainder. Respondent waives any affirmative defense not raised in the Answer.

14 The officer presiding over the hearing may grant relief from the requirement to file an
15 Answer for good cause shown.

16 Dated this 15 day of July, 2009.

17
18 

19 _____
20 Matthew J. Neupert
21 Director of Securities

22 (AV)
23
24
25
26