



0000100632

2505

ORIGINAL

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

Arizona Corporation Commission

RECEIVED

WILLIAM A. MUNDELL
CHAIRMAN

DOCKETED

AR
2002 MAY -2 P 4: 34

JIM IRVIN
COMMISSIONER

MAY 02 2002

AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCUMENT CONTROL

MARC SPITZER
COMMISSIONER

DOCKETED BY

ma

IN THE MATTER OF THE GENERIC
PROCEEDINGS CONCERNING ELECTRIC
RESTRUCTURING ISSUES.

DOCKET NO. E-00000A-02-0051

IN THE MATTER OF ARIZONA PUBLIC
SERVICE COMPANY'S REQUEST FOR
VARIANCE OF CERTAIN REQUIREMENTS OF
A.A.C. R14-2-1606.

DOCKET NO. E-01345A-01-0822

IN THE MATTER OF THE GENERIC
PROCEEDING CONCERNING THE ARIZONA
INDEPENDENT SCHEDULING
ADMINISTRATOR.

DOCKET NO. E-00000A-01-0630

IN THE MATTER OF TUCSON ELECTRIC
POWER COMPANY'S APPLICATION FOR A
VARIANCE OF CERTAIN ELECTRIC
COMPETITION RULES COMPLIANCE DATES.

DOCKET NO. E-01933A-02-0069

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF
TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY FOR
APPROVAL OF ITS STRANDED COST
RECOVERY.

DOCKET NO. E-01933A-98-0471

PROCEDURAL ORDER

BY THE COMMISSION:

On April 25, 2002, during the Arizona Corporation Commission's ("Commission") Special Open Meeting, the Commissioners stayed Arizona Public Service's (APS") variance hearing which was scheduled to begin on April 29, 2002.

At the April 29, 2002 Procedural Conference in the generic docket, Southwestern Power Group II, LLC et al. and Sempra Energy Resources inquired as to the effect of the stay on the existing procedural deadlines in Tucson Electric Power Company's ("TEP") variance request proceeding. TEP stated at the Procedural Conference that it would docket a filing indicating TEP's position on a stay of its variance request.

1 On April 30, 2002, TEP filed its Position Statement. TEP stated that it does not object to the
2 issuance of a stay to its variance request in accordance with the terms of the Commission's April 25,
3 2002 stay, and that it would prefer that the Commission rule on the threshold issues no later than
4 August 1, 2002.

5 In a separate Procedural Order issued in these dockets today, the Commission has established
6 a procedural schedule and timeframes for the parties to address the issues identified during the
7 Special Open Meeting. Although the Commission's Special Open Meeting addressed the issues in
8 the context of the APS variance docket, many of the same issues also affect TEP. Accordingly, as
9 this is a generic docket proceeding, the parties should address their testimony and evidence to
10 encompass TEP as well.

12 On March 14, 2002, TEP, the Residential Utility Consumer Office, Arizonans for Electric
13 Choice and Competition, and the Arizona Community Action Association filed in these dockets a
14 stipulated agreement on the issues raised in TEP's January 28, 2002 motion requesting an amendment
15 of its market generation credit calculation ("TEP MGC Motion"). That agreement was filed in the
16 form of a new Motion for Clarification of Settlement Agreement ("TEP MGC Motion II"). The
17 parties should file any testimony relating to the TEP MGC Motion II in their testimony for the Track
18 B issues identified in the separate Procedural Order issued in these dockets today.

20 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the procedural deadlines set forth in the March 14, 2002
21 Procedural Order in TEP's variance request docket are hereby vacated, except that public comment
22 will be taken on **June 10, 2002 at 10:00 a.m.**, or as soon thereafter as is practical, at the
23 Commission's offices, 1200 West Washington Street, Phoenix, Arizona 85007.

25 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties shall file testimony concerning TEP according
26 to the procedural schedule set in the separate Procedural Order issued in these dockets today.

1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the TEP MGC Motion II is included in Track B,
2 Competitive Solicitations, as identified in the separate Procedural Order issued in these dockets
3 today.

4 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Ex Parte Rule (A.A.C. R14-3-113-Unauthorized
5 Communications) applies to this proceeding and shall remain in effect until the Commission's
6 Decision in this matter is final and non-appealable.

7 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the time periods specified herein shall not be extended
8 pursuant to Rule 6(a) or (e) of the Rules of Civil Procedure.

9 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Presiding Officer may rescind, alter, amend, or waive
10 any portion of this Procedural Order either by subsequent Procedural Order or by ruling at hearing.

11 DATED this 2d day of May, 2002.

12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

TEENA WOLFE
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

18 Copies of the foregoing mailed/delivered
19 this 2d day of May, 2002 to:

20 Service list for E-00000A-02-0051
21 (If you need a copy of the service list, please e-
22 mail me @ dperson@cc.state.az.us)

22 Christopher Kempley, Chief Counsel
23 ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
24 1200 W. Washington Street
25 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

24 Ernest G. Johnson, Utilities Division
25 ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
26 1200 West Washington Street
27 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

26 ARIZONA REPORTING SERVICE, INC.
27 2627 N. Third Street, Suite Three
28 Phoenix, Arizona 85004-1104

By: 
Debbi Person
Secretary to Teena Wolfe