

COMMISSIONERS
KRISTIN K. MAYES - Chairman
GARY PIERCE
SANDRA D. KENNEDY
PAUL NEWMAN
BOB STUMP



0000096205

RECEIVED

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
2009 APR 29 P 12:32

Direct Line: (602) 542-3625
Fax: (602) 542-3669
E-mail: skennedy@azcc.gov

ORIGINAL


ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL April 28, 2009

Arizona Corporation Commission
DOCKETED

RE: ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY LINE EXTENSION POLICY
DOCKET NO. E-01345A-08-0172

APR 29 2009

Dear Parties to the Docket:




Recently my office has received a number of letters expressing concern regarding the fact that Arizona Public Service Company ("APS") no longer offers any amount of free footage for line extensions. In order to ensure that there is a complete record for my future full consideration of APS's line extension policies in this case, I would like the following information to be provided in this docket:

1. APS and all parties to this docket that have been affected by this line extension policy (i.e., no free footage), please provide details on exactly how this policy has negatively or positively affected you and/or the persons/entities that you represent.
2. APS, Utilities Division Staff ("Staff") and the Residential Utilities Consumers Office ("RUCO"), please explain how the effect of the APS's no-free-footage line extension policy is being taken into consideration in APS's pending rate case.
3. APS, Staff and RUCO, please explain what benefits, if any, APS ratepayers may derive in APS's pending rate case from APS's no-free-footage line extension policy.
4. APS, Staff and RUCO, please explain what detriments, if any, APS ratepayers may see in APS's pending rate case as a result of APS's no-free-footage line extension policy.
5. APS, Staff and RUCO, please explain what benefits, if any, APS ratepayers may derive in the future if APS maintains its current no-free-footage line extension policy.
6. APS, Staff and RUCO, please explain what detriments, if any, APS ratepayers may see in the future if APS maintains its current no-free-footage line extension policy.
7. APS, Staff and RUCO, please explain what benefits and/or detriments, if any, APS ratepayers may see in APS's pending rate case if APS's no-free-footage line extension policy were modified in this case to allow some amount of free footage or monetary allowance. For example purposes, assume a free footage of 750 feet and a monetary allowance of \$5,000.

8. APS, Staff and RUCO, please explain what benefits and/or detriments, if any, APS ratepayers may see in the future if APS's no-free-footage line extension policy were modified in this case to allow some amount of free footage or monetary allowance. Again, for example purposes, assume a free footage of 750 feet and a monetary allowance of \$5,000.

Any party that was not specifically asked to submit a response, may do so if it desires. I would request that all responses to the above be submitted by May 15, 2009.

Sincerely,



Commissioner Sandra D. Kennedy

cc: Docket Control
Chairman Kristin K. Mayes
Commissioner Gary Pierce
Commissioner Paul Newman
Commissioner Bob Stump
Ernest G. Johnson
Janice Alward
Lyn Farmer