• <u>v</u>					
	ORIGINAL 0000095881				
1	BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION				
2	<u>COMMISSIONERS</u>	2009 APR 24 P 3:08			
3	KRISTIN K. MAYES, Chairman	AZ CORP COMMISSION			
4	GARY PIERCE PAUL NEWMAN	DOCKET CONTROL			
5	SANDRA D. KENNEDY BOB STUMP				
6		)			
7	In the matter of:	) DOCKET NO. S-20673A-09-0198			
8	THOMAS S. BLACKWELL (CRD # 4370822) and KIMBERLY BLACKWELL,	) NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY FOR HEARING ) REGARDING PROPOSED ORDER TO			
9	husband and wife,	) CEASE AND DESIST, FOR RESTITUTION, ) OF REVOCATION, FOR ADMINISTRATIVE			
10	TEAM HEAT, LLC, a terminated Arizona limited liability company,	) PENALTIES, AND FOR OTHER ) AFFIRMATIVE ACTION			
11	Respondents.				
12					
13	NOTICE: EACH RESPONDENT HAS 10 DAYS TO REQUEST A HEARING				
14	EACH RESPONDENT HAS 30 DAYS TO FILE AN ANSWER				
15	The Securities Division ("Division") of the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission")				
16	alleges that respondents THOMAS S. BLACKWELL and TEAM HEAT, LLC have engaged in acts,				
17	practices, and transactions that constitute violations of the Securities Act of Arizona, A.R.S. § 44-1801				
18	et seq. ("Securities Act") and that THOMAS S. BLACKWELL controlled TEAM HEAT, LLC				
19	within the meaning of A.R.S. § 44-1999, such that he is jointly and severally liable under A.R.S. § 44-				
20	1999 to the same extent as TEAM HEAT, LLC for violations of the Securities Act				
21	I.				
22	JURISDICTION				
23	1. The Commission has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to Article XV of the				
24 25	Arizona Constitution and the Securities Act.	Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED			
25		APR 2 4 2009			
20		DOCKETED BY			

•	•	Docket No. S-20673A-09-0198	
	1	П.	
	2	RESPONDENTS	
	3	2. THOMAS S. BLACKWELL ("BLACKWELL") is an individual who, at all times	
	4	relevant, resided in Maricopa County, Arizona. BLACKWELL is the manager of TEAM HEAT,	
	5	LLC.	
	6	3. KIMBERLY BLACKWELL has been at all relevant times the spouse of	
	7	BLACKWELL and may be referred to as "Respondent Spouse." Respondent Spouse is joined in this	
	8	8 action under A.R S. §44-2031(C) solely for purposes of determining the liability of the mari	
	9	community.	
	10	4. At all relevant times, BLACKWELL acted for his own benefit and for the benefit or in	
11 furtherance of the marital community.		furtherance of the marital community.	
	12	5. TEAM HEAT, LLC ("TEAM HEAT") is a terminated, Arizona limited liability	
	13	company with a last known principal place of business in Maricopa County, Arizona.	
	14	6. BLACKWELL and TEAM HEAT may be referred to collectively as	
	15	"Respondents."	
	16	III.	
	17	FACTS	
	18	7. At all relevant times, BLACKWELL was a registered securities salesman affiliated	
	19	with World Group Securities, Inc. ("WGS"), an Arizona registered securities dealer.	
	20	BLACKWELL resigned from WGS in February 2008.	
	21	8. At all relevant times, TEAM HEAT was not registered as a securities dealer.	
	22	9. From on or about August 2007 to January 2008 in Maricopa County, Arizona,	
	23	Respondents offered and sold to 11 investors \$1,429,000 of investment contracts issued by TEAM	
	24	HEAT with the title Rate of Return Agreement. Respondents have repaid to investors amounts	
	25	totaling \$683,365.	
	26		

10. The offers and sales of the Rate of Return Agreements were not recorded on the records of WGS. Investments associated with TEAM HEAT were not authorized investment 2 products of WGS and BLACKWELL was not authorized by WGS to sell them. 3

4 5

6

7

8

1

٩.

11. The Rate of Return Agreements state as follows: "Investors are to provide funds and TEAM HEAT is to provide the rate of return on the funds. A rate of return is projected to be 5% if a monthly withdrawal is taken. A return on investment is projected to be 6% monthly or 101% annually if funds are left to compound without any withdrawals. Return is...based on past performance."

12. TEAM HEAT had no past performance generating returns on investments. TEAM 9 HEAT was formed by BLACKWELL for tax purposes, self-employment status, tax deductions, 10 and to pay his bills (e.g., office rent, assistant, office supplies). Prior to the offers and sales of the 11 Rate of Return Agreements, the business activity of TEAM HEAT did not include investments. 12

Respondents deposited all of the investors' money into one of Respondents' bank 13. 13 accounts for investment by Respondents and Respondents expected to keep as their compensation 14 the difference between what the investments would actually yield and the return to be paid to 15 investors pursuant to the Rate of Return Agreements. 16

BLACKWELL represented that he and TEAM HEAT would put the investors' 17 14. money into "investments that he has looked at," investments chosen by Respondents, not the 18 investors, including a highly profitable venture that funded television advertisements for a variety 19 of products (the "TV AD Venture"). In August 2007, BLACKWELL viewed the TV AD 20 Venture's website that claimed investors would earn annual returns between 4,800 and 12,000 21 percent. 22

23 15. In September 2007, BLACKWELL learned that the TV AD Venture's operations were transitioning offshore. In early November 2007, the TV AD Venture stopped making 24 payments to its investors and BLACKWELL was unable to obtain a refund of principal from the 25 operators of the TV AD Venture. In late December 2007, BLACKWELL learned that the 26

Securities and Exchange Commission had filed an enforcement action against the principals of the
 TV AD Venture for fraud, alleging that the TV AD Venture really had no business operations and
 that it was simply a Ponzi scheme. Respondents did not disclose to the investors any of the
 foregoing information.

16. Although Respondents received some payments from the operators of the TV AD Venture, much of the investors' money was lost.

7 17. In January 2008, Respondents tried to recoup the investors' losses by causing some
8 of the investors' money to be used in foreign currency trading. After just a few days of trading,
9 Respondents lost additional amounts and ceased further use of the investors' money.

18. In early February 2008, BLACKWELL told the investors simply that the TV AD Venture lost money and that "it didn't work out like we hoped," so Respondents refunded to the investors what money of theirs that Respondents had left at the time.

19.

23.

5

6

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

25

26

Respondents have repaid in full some, but not all, of the investors.

Respondents spent \$122,466 of the investors' money on personal and business
expenses, including \$5,400 in automobile lease payments.

### IV.

## VIOLATION OF A.R.S. § 44-1841

## (Offer or Sale of Unregistered Securities)

21. From on or about August 2007 to January 2008, Respondents offered or sold securities in the form of investment contracts within or from Arizona.

21 22. The securities referred to above were not registered pursuant to Articles 6 or 7 of the
22 Securities Act.

This conduct violates A.R.S. § 44-1841.

		Docket No. S-20673A-	09-0198		
		V.			
<b>VIOLATION OF A.R.S. § 44-1842</b>					
		(Transactions by Unregistered Dealers or Salesmen)			
24	. TEA	M HEAT offered or sold securities within or from Arizona while not reg	istered		
as a dealer	r pursuant	to Article 9 of the Securities Act.			
25	. This	conduct violates A.R.S. § 44-1842.			
		VI.			
		VIOLATION OF A.R.S. § 44-1991			
	(	Fraud in Connection with the Offer or Sale of Securities)			
26	. In c	onnection with the offer or sale of securities within or from A	rizona,		
Respondents directly or indirectly: (i) employed a device, scheme, or artifice to defraud; (ii) made					
untrue statements of material fact or omitted to state material facts that were necessary in order to			rder to		
make the statements made not misleading in light of the circumstances under which they were			y were		
made; or (iii) engaged in transactions, practices, or courses of business that operated or would					
operate as a fraud or deceit upon offerees and investors. Respondents' conduct includes, but is not					
limited to,	the follow	ving:			
	a)	Misrepresenting that the return to have been paid to the investors would	ld have		
been based	d on past p	performance;			
	b)	Failing to disclose information that contradicted the representation t	hat the		
TV AD Venture was highly profitable;					
	c)	Failing to adequately disclose that some investors would be repaid	in full		
before other investors and that Respondents would decide which investors that would be; and,					
	d)	Failing to disclose that Respondents would spend \$122,466 of the inv	vestors'		
money on personal and business expenses, including \$5,400 in automobile lease payments, before			before		
all of the investors have been repaid.					
27. This conduct violates A.R.S. § 44-1991.					
		5			

•

 $^{\circ}\mathbf{v}$ 

1	28. BLACKWELL directly or indirectly controlled TEAM HEAT as its managing	
2	member. Therefore, BLACKWELL is jointly and severally liable under A.R.S. § 44-1999 to the	
3	same extent as TEAM HEAT for its violations of A.R.S. § 44-1991.	
4	VII.	
5	REMEDIES PURSUANT TO A.R.S. § 44-1962	
6	(Revocation of Registration of Salesman; Restitution, Penalties, or other Affirmative Action)	
7	29. BLACKWELL'S conduct is grounds to revoke his registration as a securities	
8	salesman with the Commission pursuant to:	
9	a) A.R.S. § 44-1962(A)(2) for violating A.R.S. §§ 44-1841 and 44-1991; and,	
10	b) A.R.S. § 44-1962(A)(10) for engaging in dishonest or unethical practices as	
11	defined by A.A.C. R14-4-130(A)(17) (effecting securities transactions that were not recorded on the	
12	records of the dealer with whom he was registered at the time of the transactions).	
13	30. BLACKWELL'S conduct is grounds to assess restitution, penalties, and/or take	
14	appropriate affirmative action pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1962. Specifically, BLACKWELL engaged	
15	in dishonest or unethical practices as defined by A.A.C. R14-4-130(A)(17) (effecting securities	
16	transactions that were not recorded on the records of the dealer with whom he was registered at	
17	the time of the transactions).	
18	VIII.	
19	REQUESTED RELIEF	
20	The Division requests that the Commission grant the following relief:	
21	1. Order Respondents to permanently cease and desist from violating the Securities	
22	Act, pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 44-2032;	
23	2. Order Respondents to take affirmative action to correct the conditions resulting from	
24	Respondents' acts, practices, or transactions, including a requirement to make restitution pursuant to	
25	A.R.S. §§ 44-2032;	
26		

.

÷

3. Order Respondents to pay the state of Arizona administrative penalties of up to five 1 thousand dollars (\$5,000) for each violation of the Securities Act, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2036; 2

4. Order BLACKWELL to pay the state of Arizona administrative penalties, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1962;

5. Order the revocation of BLACKWELL'S registration as a securities salesman pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1962;

6. Order that the marital community of BLACKWELL and Respondent Spouse be subject to any order of restitution, rescission, administrative penalties, or other appropriate affirmative action pursuant to A.R.S. § 25-215; and,

Order any other relief that the Commission deems appropriate.

#### IX.

## **HEARING OPPORTUNITY**

Each respondent, including Respondent Spouse, may request a hearing pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1972 and A.A.C. R14-4-306. If a Respondent or a Respondent Spouse requests a hearing, 14 the requesting respondent must also answer this Notice. A request for hearing must be in writing 15 and received by the Commission within 10 business days after service of this Notice of Opportunity 16 for Hearing. The requesting respondent must deliver or mail the request to Docket Control, Arizona 17 Corporation Commission, 1200 W. Washington, Phoenix, Arizona 85007. Filing instructions may 18 be obtained from Docket Control by calling (602) 542-3477 or on the Commission's Internet web 19 site at http://www.azcc.gov/divisions/hearings/docket.asp. 20

If a request for a hearing is timely made, the Commission shall schedule the hearing to begin 20 to 60 days from the receipt of the request unless otherwise provided by law, stipulated by the parties, or ordered by the Commission. If a request for a hearing is not timely made the Commission may, without a hearing, enter an order granting the relief requested by the Division in this Notice of Opportunity for Hearing.

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

21

22

23

24

25

26

7.

3

Persons with a disability may request a reasonable accommodation such as a sign language 1 interpreter, as well as request this document in an alternative format, by contacting Shaylin A. 2 Bernal, ADA Coordinator, voice phone number 602/542-3931, e-mail sabernal@azcc.gov. 3 Requests should be made as early as possible to allow time to arrange the accommodation. 4

# X.

# **ANSWER REQUIREMENT**

Pursuant to A.A.C. R14-4-305, if a Respondent or a Respondent Spouse requests a hearing, the requesting respondent must deliver or mail an Answer to this Notice of Opportunity for Hearing to Docket Control, Arizona Corporation Commission, 1200 W. Washington, Phoenix, Arizona 85007, within 30 calendar days after the date of service of this Notice. Filing instructions may be obtained from Docket Control by calling (602) 542-3477 or on the Commission's Internet web site at http://www.azcc.gov/divisions/hearings/docket.asp. 12

Additionally, the answering respondent must serve the Answer upon the Division. 13 Pursuant to A.A.C. R14-4-303, service upon the Division may be made by mailing or by hand-14 delivering a copy of the Answer to the Division at 1300 West Washington, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, Phoenix, 15 Arizona, 85007, addressed to Aaron S. Ludwig.

The Answer shall contain an admission or denial of each allegation in this Notice and the original signature of the answering respondent or respondent's attorney. A statement of a lack of sufficient knowledge or information shall be considered a denial of an allegation. An allegation not denied shall be considered admitted.

When the answering respondent intends in good faith to deny only a part or a qualification of an allegation, the respondent shall specify that part or qualification of the allegation and shall admit the remainder. Respondent waives any affirmative defense not raised in the Answer.

24

25

26

9 10 11

5

6

7

1	The officer presiding over the hearing	ng may grant relief from the requirement to file an
2	Answer for good cause shown.	
3	Dated this $\underline{24}$ day of April 2009.	
4		X AN A L.A
5		-wyw-
6		Matthew J. Neubert Director of Securities
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
		9

هي جي ه