| ORIGINAL DEW APP | 000095874 |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | CORORAVIED COMMISSION |
| COMINISSIONERS | 2009 APR 22 P 4: 0ь Arizona Corporation Commission Z CORP COMMISSION DOCKETED DOCKET CONTROL APR 22 2009 DOCKETED BY DOCKETED BY DOCKET NO. S-20672A-09-0192 DOCKETED |
| LAMONT C. PATTERSON II, aka Folo Patterson, a married man, individually and doing business as OLOF Enterprises, an Arizona registered trade name, ELETREA L. PATTERSON, a married woman, |) NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY FOR HEARING REGARDING PROPOSED ORDER TO CEASE AND DESIST, ORDER FOR RESTITUTION, FOR ADMINISTRATIVE PENALTIES, AND FOR OTHER AFFIRMATIVE ACTION |
| OLOF ENTERPRISES, L.L.C, an Arizona limited liability company, Respondents. | |
| NOTICE: EACH RESPONDEN | IT HAS 10 DAYS TO REQUEST A HEARING |
| EACH RESPONDEN | T HAS 30 DAYS TO FILE AN ANSWER |
| The Securities Division ("Division") o | f the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") |
| alleges that respondents LAMONT C. PATTH | ERSON II, aka Folo Patterson, doing business as OLOF |
| Enterprises, an Arizona registered trade | name, ELETREA L. PATTERSON and OLOF |
| ENTERPRISES, L.L.C. an Arizona limited 1 | liability company, have engaged in acts, practices, and |
| transactions that constitute violations of the | Securities Act of Arizona, A.R.S. § 44-1801 et seq. |
| ("Securities Act"). | |
| The Division further alleges that I | LAMONT C. PATTERSON II and ELETREA L. |
| PATTERSON are persons controlling OLOF | ENTERPRISES, L.L.C. within the meaning of A.R.S. |
| § 44-1999, so that they are jointly and severa | Ily liable under A.R.S. § 44-1999 to the same extent as |
| OLOF ENTERPRISES, L.L.C. for violations | of the Securities Act. |
| | |

•

.

÷

| 1 | I. JURISDICTION |
|----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | 1. The Commission has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to Article XV of the |
| 3 | Arizona Constitution and the Securities Act. |
| 4 | II. <u>RESPONDENTS</u> |
| 5 | 2. Respondent LAMONT C. PATTERSON II ("L. PATTERSON") has been, at all |
| 6 | times material hereto, a resident of Arizona. |
| 7 | 3. OLOF Enterprises is an Arizona registered trade name since April 22, 2004. L. |
| | |
| 8 | PATTERSON is the agent/owner of the Arizona registered trade name OLOF Enterprises. |
| 9 | 4. Respondent ELETREA L. PATTERSON ("E. PATTERSON") has been, at all times |
| 10 | material hereto, a resident of Arizona. |
| 11 | 5. L. PATTERSON and E. PATTERSON are husband and wife and, at all times |
| 12 | relevant, have been acting for their own benefit and for the benefit or in furtherance of their marital |
| 13 | community. |
| 14 | 6. OLOF ENTERPRISES, L.L.C. ("OLOF, L.L.C."), an Arizona limited liability |
| 15 | company, was formed on or about November 1, 2007. According to the articles of organization for |
| 16 | OLOF, L.L.C., L. PATTERSON is a member and E. PATTERSON is the statutory agent. |
| 17 | 7. Promotional material and other investment documentation provided to investors |
| 18 | represent L. PATTERSON to be the owner and CEO of OLOF L.L.C. and E. PATTERSON to be |
| 19 | the Chief Financial Officer. At all times relevant, E. PATTERSON was an authorized signor on the |
| 20 | bank accounts of OLOF, L.L.C. In addition, at all times relevant, L. PATTERSON and/or E. |
| 21 | PATTERSON were authorized signors on the bank accounts into which investors' funds were |
| 22 | deposited. |
| 23 | 8. L. PATTERSON and E. PATTERSON communicated regularly with investors |
| 24 | regarding the status of their investments. In addition, E. PATTERSON was responsible for |
| 25 | processing all deposits and disbursements related to investors. |
| 26 | |
| | |

9. L. PATTERSON aka Folo Patterson, individually and doing business as OLOF 1 2 Enterprises, an Arizona registered trade name, E. PATTERSON and OLOF, L.L.C. may be referred to collectively as "Respondents." 3

III. FACTS

10. From on or about September 2006 through April 2008, Respondents offered and sold securities from Arizona in the form of investment contracts and/or commodity investment contracts to over 400 investors nationwide in an amount exceeding \$1,200,000.

11. At all times material hereto, Respondents have not been registered as dealers or securities salesmen. 9

10 12. At all times material hereto, Respondents have conducted business, including the offer and sale of securities, from a home and/or office located in Maricopa County, Arizona. 11

13. 12 Respondents offered to prospective investors an opportunity to invest through 13 Respondents' Financial Freedom Program (FFP), described by Respondents as a unique, once in a lifetime membership opportunity. Respondents alleged that one could only become a member, and 14 thus invest, after being referred by an existing member who would be entitled to receive a referral 15 16 bonus.

14. Respondents described FFP as a 449-member network that was working to achieve the stated goal of helping members to become financially independent.

19 15. According to promotional materials supplied to investors by Respondents, becoming financially independent involved a three-step process. 20

16.

17

18

21

4

5

6

7

8

The first step involved helping investors to become debt free.

17. The second step was to provide investors with an investment portfolio of \$500,000 22 23 to be received by investors in four payments of \$125,000. The funds to establish the \$500,000 investment portfolio for each investor were to be paid from returns earned trading currency on the 24 25 foreign exchange (forex) market by L. PATTERSON with funds deposited by investors.

26

1 18. Respondents informed investors that L. PATTERSON was a retail trader of foreign
 2 currency on the forex market and that L. PATTERSON had been trading on the forex market for
 3 five years.

19. The third step involved providing investors with some investment strategies to invest the \$500,000 to be paid to investors by Respondents.

20. According to promotional materials, these strategies were to be provided by Respondents through workshops, newsletters and Respondents' website.

21. From about September 2006 to in or around November 2007, investors, in connection with their investment, were required to sign a document referred to as a "Contract of Agreement."

22. The Contract of Agreement ("Contract") purports to be an agreement between the investor and L. PATTERSON dba Olof Enterprises or an authorized representative acting on behalf of and through the dba Olof Enterprises.

14 23. The Contract acknowledges receipt of funds from the investor for the purposes of an
15 investment.

16 24. The Contract also sets forth that while in possession of the funds, Olof Enterprises 17 would have sole control over how the funds would be invested and that the return on the initial 18 investment would be two hundred percent (200%), inclusive of principal.

19 25. According to the Contract, the return of the investment will take place within sixty
20 (60) days of the day after funds were received.

26. Investors were provided with a schedule setting forth the increments by which their initial investment would increase. According to the schedule, an investor's initial investment would double every two months. For an investor who chose to reinvest their return, their initial investment plus the amount of their return re-invested would continue to double every two months.

25 27. In addition, the Contract sets forth that Olof Enterprises agrees there will be no
26 percentage taken for the services to be provided.

4

6 7 8

9

10

11

4

5

13

21

22

23

28. Pursuant to the terms of the Contract, at the time due for payment of the return earned on the investment, the investor can choose to reinvest all or part of the amount of the return, but is required to leave the principal amount invested. According to the Contract, Olof Enterprises agrees that in the event the terms of the Contract are not met in full, an additional ten percent (10%) of the unpaid amount will be added to the return every thirty days thereafter until the terms of the Contract are satisfied.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

16

17

18

29. In or around November 2007 at about the time OLOF, L.L.C. was formed, Respondents began requiring new investors as well as early investors who had executed the Contract and who chose to re-invest some or all of their return, to sign a document titled "Member Agreement" in place of the Contract.

Like the Contract, the Member Agreement purports to be an agreement between the 30. 11 investor and L. PATTERSON dba Olof Enterprises or an authorized representative acting on behalf 12 of and through the dba Olof Enterprises. In addition, some Member Agreements are executed 13 between the investor and L. PATTERSON on behalf of OLOF, L.L.C. or an authorized 14 representative acting on behalf of and through OLOF, L.L.C. 15

31. The Member Agreement is similar to the Contract, but characterizes the transaction as a purchase of a membership in a voluntary association as opposed to an investment.

32. For example, where the Contract acknowledges receipt of funds from the investor for the purposes of an "investment" to be made by Olof Enterprises, the Member Agreement 19 acknowledges receipt of funds from the investor for the purposes of "initial capital for association." 20

33. Although the Contract sets forth that while in possession of the funds, Olof 21 Enterprises will have sole control over how the funds will be "invested," the Member Agreement 22 sets forth that while in possession of the capital, Olof Enterprises will have sole control over how 23 the capital is to be "administered." 24

34. The Member Agreement, like the Contract, promises a two hundred percent (200%) 25 return, inclusive of principal, but unlike the Contract, the Member Agreement requires that in order 26

to remain an active member of the voluntary association, a member's funds must remain active for
 the duration of the program.

3 35. Investors' funds were deposited into accounts in the name of E. PATTERSON,
4 OLOF, L.L.C. or into one of two joint accounts held by L. PATTERSON.

36. Respondents failed to use all of the amounts invested by investors to trade currency
on the forex market as represented to investors prior to their investment. Instead, Respondents
utilized other investors' funds to pay returns and repay principal to some investors.

8 37. L. PATTERSON and E. PATTERSON also used investor funds for their own 9 personal use and benefit including making cash withdrawals, payments to medical providers, utility 10 companies, retail stores and payments for child support.

38. L. PATTERSON was not successful in his limited attempts at trading currency on
the forex market with some of the funds deposited with Respondents by investors.

39. As a result, any amounts paid to investors via checks signed by E. PATTERSON
and represented as being for returns were not derived solely from gains achieved through forex
trading.

40. In or around May 2008, L. PATTERSON informed investors via letter that the FFP
program was being discontinued, effective immediately. According to the letter, the reason for the
termination was that:

"There are very specific Securities Laws and Regulations that the OLOF FFP would be out of compliance with if the program continued. Based on the nature of the Financial Freedom Program, it would be impossible to meet those requirements."

19

20

21

41. The letter further set forth that each member would be paid double the amount they
had invested. The payments were scheduled to start May 30, 2008 and continue every two weeks
until all payment were made.

42. Respondents failed to make the payments to investors as outlined in the May 2008
correspondence to investors.

| 1 | 43. | To date, investors have not been paid their promised returns nor received a refund of |
|----|----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | their principa | and amount invested. |
| 3 | | IV. <u>VIOLATION OF A.R.S. § 44-1841</u> |
| 4 | | (Offer or Sale of Unregistered Securities) |
| 5 | 44. | From on or about April 2006, Respondents offered or sold securities in the form of |
| 6 | investment co | ontracts and/or commodity investment contracts, within or from Arizona. |
| 7 | 45. | The securities referred to above were not registered pursuant to Articles 6 or 7 of the |
| 8 | Securities Ac | t. |
| 9 | 46. | This conduct violates A.R.S. § 44-1841. |
| 10 | | V. <u>VIOLATION OF A.R.S. § 44-1842</u> |
| 11 | | (Transactions by Unregistered Dealers or Salesmen) |
| 12 | 47. | Respondents offered or sold securities within or from Arizona while not registered as |
| 13 | dealers or sal | esmen pursuant to Article 9 of the Securities Act. |
| 14 | 48. | This conduct violates A.R.S. § 44-1842. |
| 15 | | VI. <u>VIOLATION OF A.R.S. § 44-1991</u> |
| 16 | | (Fraud in Connection with the Offer or Sale of Securities) |
| 17 | 49. | In connection with the offer or sale of securities within or from Arizona, |
| 18 | Respondents | directly or indirectly: (i) employed a device, scheme, or artifice to defraud; (ii) made |
| 19 | untrue staten | nents of material fact or omitted to state material facts that were necessary in order to |
| 20 | make the sta | atements made not misleading in light of the circumstances under which they were |
| 21 | made; or (ii | i) engaged in transactions, practices, or courses of business that operated or would |
| 22 | operate as a | fraud or deceit upon offerees and investors. Respondents' conduct includes, but is not |
| 23 | limited to, th | e following: |
| 24 | | a) Failing to inform investors that Respondents had not utilized the funds |
| 25 | deposited by | investors for trading in the forex market; |
| 26 | | |

| 1 | b) Failing to inform investors that L. PATTERSON and E. PATTERSON had |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | utilized investor funds for their own personal use and benefit including making cash withdrawals, |
| 3 | payments to medical providers, utility companies, retail stores and payments for child support; |
| 4 | c) Misrepresenting to investors that L. PATTERSON had been successful in the |
| 5 | trading of currency on the forex market with funds deposited with Respondents by investors; |
| 6 | d) Misrepresenting to investors that they would make substantial profits by |
| 7 | investing with Respondents; |
| 8 | e) Failing to inform investors that Respondents had used investors' investment |
| 9 | funds to pay returns and principal to other investors; |
| 10 | 50. This conduct violates A.R.S. § 44-1991. |
| 11 | 51. L. PATTERSON and E. PATTERSON directly or indirectly controlled OLOF, L.LC. |
| 12 | within the meaning of A.R.S. § 44-1999. Therefore, L. PATTERSON and E. PATTERSON are |
| 13 | liable to the same extent as OLOF, L.L.C. for its violations of A.R.S. § 44-1991. |
| 14 | VII. <u>REQUESTED RELIEF</u> |
| 1 | |
| 15 | The Division requests that the Commission grant the following relief: |
| 15 16 | The Division requests that the Commission grant the following relief: Order Respondents to permanently cease and desist from violating the Securities |
| | |
| 16 | 1. Order Respondents to permanently cease and desist from violating the Securities |
| 16 17 | 1. Order Respondents to permanently cease and desist from violating the Securities Act, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2032; |
| 16 17 18 | Order Respondents to permanently cease and desist from violating the Securities Act, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2032; Order Respondents to take affirmative action to correct the conditions resulting from |
| 16 17 18 19 | Order Respondents to permanently cease and desist from violating the Securities Act, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2032; Order Respondents to take affirmative action to correct the conditions resulting from Respondents' acts, practices, or transactions, including a requirement to make restitution pursuant to |
| 16 17 18 19 20 | Order Respondents to permanently cease and desist from violating the Securities Act, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2032; Order Respondents to take affirmative action to correct the conditions resulting from Respondents' acts, practices, or transactions, including a requirement to make restitution pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2032; |
| 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Order Respondents to permanently cease and desist from violating the Securities Act, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2032; Order Respondents to take affirmative action to correct the conditions resulting from Respondents' acts, practices, or transactions, including a requirement to make restitution pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2032; Order Respondents to pay the state of Arizona administrative penalties of up to five |
| 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Order Respondents to permanently cease and desist from violating the Securities Act, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2032; Order Respondents to take affirmative action to correct the conditions resulting from Respondents' acts, practices, or transactions, including a requirement to make restitution pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2032; Order Respondents to pay the state of Arizona administrative penalties of up to five thousand dollars (\$5,000) for each violation of the Securities Act, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2036; |
| 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Order Respondents to permanently cease and desist from violating the Securities Act, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2032; Order Respondents to take affirmative action to correct the conditions resulting from Respondents' acts, practices, or transactions, including a requirement to make restitution pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2032; Order Respondents to pay the state of Arizona administrative penalties of up to five thousand dollars (\$5,000) for each violation of the Securities Act, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2036; Order that the marital community of LAMONT C. PATTERSON II and ELETREA |
| 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | Order Respondents to permanently cease and desist from violating the Securities Act, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2032; Order Respondents to take affirmative action to correct the conditions resulting from Respondents' acts, practices, or transactions, including a requirement to make restitution pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2032; Order Respondents to pay the state of Arizona administrative penalties of up to five thousand dollars (\$5,000) for each violation of the Securities Act, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2036; Order that the marital community of LAMONT C. PATTERSON II and ELETREA L. PATTERSON be subject to any order of restitution, rescission, administrative penalties, or other |

VIII. HEARING OPPORTUNITY

Each respondent may request a hearing pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1972 and A.A.C. R14-4-306. If a Respondent or a Respondent Spouse requests a hearing, the requesting respondent must also answer this Notice. A request for hearing must be in writing and received by the Commission within 10 business days after service of this Notice of Opportunity for Hearing. The requesting respondent must deliver or mail the request to Docket Control, Arizona Corporation Commission, 1200 W. Washington, Phoenix, Arizona 85007. Filing instructions may be obtained from Docket Control by calling (602) 542-3477 or on the Commission's Internet web site at http://www.azcc.gov/divisions/hearings/docket.asp.

If a request for a hearing is timely made, the Commission shall schedule the hearing to begin 20 to 60 days from the receipt of the request unless otherwise provided by law, stipulated by the parties, or ordered by the Commission. If a request for a hearing is not timely made the Commission may, without a hearing, enter an order granting the relief requested by the Division in this Notice of 13 Opportunity for Hearing. 14

Persons with a disability may request a reasonable accommodation such as a sign language interpreter, as well as request this document in an alternative format, by contacting Shaylin A. Bernal, ADA Coordinator, voice phone number 602/542-3931, e-mail sabernal@azcc.gov. Requests should be made as early as possible to allow time to arrange the accommodation. 18

19

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

IX. ANSWER REQUIREMENT

Pursuant to A.A.C. R14-4-305, if a Respondent or a Respondent Spouse requests a hearing, 20 the requesting respondent must deliver or mail an Answer to this Notice of Opportunity for 21 Hearing to Docket Control, Arizona Corporation Commission, 1200 W. Washington, Phoenix, 22 Arizona 85007, within 30 calendar days after the date of service of this Notice. Filing instructions 23 may be obtained from Docket Control by calling (602) 542-3477 or on the Commission's Internet 24 web site at http://www.azcc.gov/divisions/hearings/docket.asp. 25

Additionally, the answering respondent must serve the Answer upon the Division. Pursuant to A.A.C. R14-4-303, service upon the Division may be made by mailing or by handdelivering a copy of the Answer to the Division at 1300 West Washington, 3rd Floor, Phoenix, Arizona, 85007, addressed to William W. Black.

The Answer shall contain an admission or denial of each allegation in this Notice and the original signature of the answering respondent or respondent's attorney. A statement of a lack of sufficient knowledge or information shall be considered a denial of an allegation. An allegation not denied shall be considered admitted.

When the answering respondent intends in good faith to deny only a part or a qualification
of an allegation, the respondent shall specify that part or qualification of the allegation and shall
admit the remainder. Respondent waives any affirmative defense not raised in the Answer.

The officer presiding over the hearing may grant relief from the requirement to file an Answer for good cause shown.

Dated this <u>12</u>⁴⁰ day of April, 2009.

Mark Dinell Assistant Director of Securities