

L-00000D-08-0330-00138



0000094933

ORIGINAL

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
UTILITY COMPLAINT FORM

Investigator: Deb Reagan

Phone: [REDACTED]

Fax: [REDACTED]

Priority: Respond Within Five Days

Opinion No. 2009 77784

Date: 3/25/2009

Complaint Description: 19L misc line siting
N/A Not Applicable

Complaint By: First: PETITION Last: PETITION

Account Name: Dana Pagliarulo

Home: (000) 000-0000

Arizona Corporation Commission

Street: [REDACTED]

Work:

DOCKETED

City: Peoria

CBR:

MAR 26 2009

State: AZ Zip: 85383

is:

Utility Company: Arizona Public Service Company

DOCKETED BY: [Signature]

Division: Electric

Contact Name: For Assignment

Contact Phone: [REDACTED]

Nature of Complaint:

***** L-00000D-08-0330-00138 *****

*** REFERRED FROM CHAIRMAN MAYES' OFFICE AND COPIED TO ALL COMMISSIONERS ***

Received the following same or similar email from 144 residents of Vistancia -

From: Dana Pagliarulo [mailto:[REDACTED]]
Sent: Monday, March 02, 2009 2:01 PM
To: Newman-Web; Pierce-Web; Mayes-WebEmail; Kennedy-Web; Stump-Web
Cc: llundskow@ccmnet.com
Subject: Re: Arizona Corporation Commission March 2, 2009 Meeting Follow-Up
Importance: High

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL
2009 MAR 26 P 3:45
RECEIVED

Commissioners:

I was in attendance this morning at the ACC public hearing regarding the APS power line sites. I was wearing red in opposition of the preferred route as chosen by APS along the CareFree corridor and in favor of the alternate placement to the North along State Route 74. My name is Dana Pagliarulo and I am a Vistancia resident.

I wanted to take a moment outside of the meeting to make sure the voice of the approximate 150 residents that were in attendance wearing red are heard and that the 1000+ emails are counted and read. I am sure you appreciated the fact that we elected to allow one voice speak for all of us, but make no mistake, we all have a lot to say on the subject and would appreciate it if you considered that you heard about 150 people speak, even though only one stood up at the microphone.

Additionally, I would like to comment on some things I heard from those who are in favor of the preferred APS

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
UTILITY COMPLAINT FORM

power line route:

I heard on more than one occasion that it is only 1 developer that represents Vistancia that opposes the preferred power line route and that the speaker was surprised that it takes only 1 developer to convince the Line-Siting Committee to suggest the alternate route. The fact is, Vistancia is represented by a holding company, 10 developers (including Shea, Engle, T.W. Lewis, Ashton Woods and others), a property management company, and approximately 3400 homes currently. In fact, the city of Peoria knows that Vistancia is a such a large constituency of Peoria that in some demographic studies (water for example), the studies are divided into 2 groups, Vistancia...and the rest of Peoria.

One individual spoke on behalf of property owners to the north who own land, but have yet to build real property on the land. That individual explained that many of the landowners felt the primary route was a "done deal" and therefore did not see a need to show up and support their cause. Incidentally, those of us who worked with the Line-Siting Committee to gain their support for the alternate route along State Route 74 were assured by APS that they would concede to the recommendation of the Line-Siting committee. At that point, we all felt that the alternate route was a "done deal", yet we still attended the meeting this morning and showed a strong presence of approximately 150 residents wearing red in favor of the Line-Siting committee decision.

The representative from the Parks and Recreation Department spoke on behalf of Lake Pleasant. I was just at the lake this past weekend. I had an opportunity to visit the Welcome Center. What was most displeasing to me is that at the top of the hill where the Welcome Center is perched, there are radio and cellular transmission towers that clutter the natural beauty of the lake. It's funny to me how money plays a role in their positions. It's okay for the cell towers because Maricopa County receives funds for having the cell towers in their land, but the County will not cash-in with the presence of the power lines.

Another point I would like to make is for you to please place heavy weight on the decision of the Line-Siting Committee. In fact, it would be an injustice to the Committee itself and all those who worked with them for the ACC to dismiss it by acting against its recommendation.

Vistancia is a beautiful place to live. As you have heard before, this master planned community has won many awards. In fact, one award was recognized Nationally, and that was that Vistancia earned the number 1 spot as the best master planned community in the United States. That says something. If you haven't been here, I would strongly recommend the drive to see how beautiful of a place this really is. And I would put it to you this way, Vistancia is to community living as the Mona Lisa is to art. By allowing APS to erect the power lines along their preferred route would put such a blemish on the quality of life here and the value of our homes and this community that it would be synonymous with drawing a mustache with a Sharpie pen on the original Mona Lisa painting.

So I ask you Committee Members of the ACC, please, put down the Sharpie.

Thank you so much for allowing us to be heard.

Dana Pagliarulo

[REDACTED]

Peoria, AZ 85383

End of Complaint

Utilities' Response:

Investigator's Comments and Disposition:

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
UTILITY COMPLAINT FORM

Responded with the following e-mail -

Dear Ms. Pagliarulo,

This is to acknowledge that your e-mail to Chairman Mayes and the Commissioners was received and has been placed on file in Docket Control for this matter.

The Commission appreciates your taking the time to attend the Public Comment Meeting and letting your opinion be known.

Deborah Reagan
Public Utilities Consumer Analyst
Utilities Division
AZ Corporation Commission
End of Comments

Date Completed: 3/25/2009

Opinion No. 2009 - 77784
