



0000094120

RECEIVED

ORIGINAL

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

2009 FEB 26 P 4:17

COMMISSIONERS

- KRISTIN K. MAYES - CHAIRMAN
- GARY PIERCE
- PAUL NEWMAN
- SANDRA D. KENNEDY
- BOB STUMP

AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL

IN THE MATTER OF THE FORMAL)	DOCKET NO. E-04204A-08-0589
COMPLAINT OF MARSHALL MAGRUDER)	
FILED WITH THE ARIZONA CORPORATION)	UNS ELECTRIC, INC.'S
COMMISSION ON DECEMBER 5, 2008.)	RESPONSE TO MARSHALL
)	MAGRUDER'S MOTION

UNS Electric, Inc. ("UNS Electric" or the "Company"), through undersigned counsel, hereby responds to Mr. Marshall Magruder's Motion for a Prehearing Conference and Hearing Schedule filed with the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") on February 17, 2009 ("Motion"). UNS Electric states as follows:

I. BACKGROUND.

Mr. Marshall Magruder filed a formal complaint with the Commission on December 5, 2008 ("Complaint") regarding three issues: (i) pole and underground cable replacement projects; (ii) Santa Cruz County scholarships; and (iii) life support. UNS Electric filed its response to that Complaint on December 29, 2008 ("Response").

In its Response, UNS Electric stated that the Complaint was merely a restatement of issues previously raised with and adjudicated by the Commission. In Decision No. 70360 (May 27, 2008), the Commission ordered UNS Electric to, among other things, file a written response to the issues raised by Mr. Magruder concerning pole and underground cable replacements and notification procedures for life support customers, and to meet with Mr. Magruder regarding his

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

FEB 26 2009

DOCKETED BY	<i>MM</i>
-------------	-----------

1 student loan and scholarship concerns. UNS Electric met each of these requirements in a timely
2 manner.¹

3 **II. UNS ELECTRIC HAS COMPLIED WITH DECISION NO. 70360.**

4 At this point in time, Mr. Magruder's Complaint should be addressed in the context of
5 whether UNS Electric complied with the requirements of Decision No. 70360. UNS Electric has
6 complied with all requirements of Decision No. 70360 and, as the Company stated in its
7 Response, no other party in the UNS Electric rate case docket has alleged that UNS Electric has
8 not complied with Decision No. 70360. Based upon the previous Commission Decision
9 adjudicating this matter, and the subsequent compliance filings made by UNS Electric, there is
10 clear and convincing evidence for the Commission to rule that UNS Electric has complied with
11 Decision No. 70360. In the event that the Commission is unwilling to make such a finding at
12 this time, the Commission should stay this matter and consolidate it with UNS Electric's future
13 rate case filing.

14 **III. 2009 UNS ELECTRIC RATE CASE.**

15 UNS Electric anticipates filing a rate case application with the Commission in the second
16 quarter of 2009, only two to four months from now. If the Commission is unwilling to rule that
17 UNS Electric has complied with Decision No. 70360 at this time, then the Commission should
18 consolidate this matter with the future rate case. To hold a formal hearing on these matters just
19 before another rate case is filed is not an efficient or prudent use of Commission, Commission
20 Staff, Company and/or ratepayer resources.

21 **IV. SCHOLARSHIPS.**

22 UNS Electric, City of Nogales and school district officials are continuing to meet on a
23 scholarship program and plan to submit a program for City Council approval in the near future.
24 Once the scholarship program has been fully developed and approved by the parties, UNS
25 Electric will provide Commission Staff with a copy of the Agreement

26 ¹ UNS Electric met the requirements of Decision No. 70360 by: (i) filing its written response on the pole and cable
27 issue on July 28, 2008; (ii) filing its written response regarding life support notification procedures on August 25,
2008; and (iii) meeting with Mr. Magruder regarding loan and scholarship issues on June 20, 2008 and filing its
statement on August 25, 2008.

1 **V. CONCLUSION.**

2 Once again, UNS Electric has fully complied with the requirements of Decision No.
3 70360 regarding pole and cable replacement, scholarship and life support issues. The record
4 supports a finding that UNS Electric has complied with the provisions of Decision No. 70360
5 and therefore, there is no reason, or need, for a hearing on these matters. In the alternative, this
6 matter should be stayed and consolidated with the future UNS Electric rate case.

7 WHEREFORE, for all the foregoing reasons, UNS Electric respectfully requests that the
8 Commission rule that UNS Electric has complied with Decision No. 70360. In the alternative,
9 UNS Electric respectfully requests that the Complaint should be stayed and consolidated with the
10 future UNS Electric rate case.

11
12 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 26th day of February 2009.

13 UNS ELECTRIC, INC.

14 By Michelle Livengood
15 Michelle Livengood
16 UniSource Energy Services
17 One South Church Avenue
18 Tucson, Arizona 85702

19 and

20 Michael W. Patten
21 ROSHKA DEWULF & PATTEN, PLC.
22 One Arizona Center
23 400 East Van Buren Street, Suite 800
24 Phoenix, Arizona 85004

25 Attorneys for UNS Electric, Inc.

26 Original and thirteen copies of the foregoing
27 filed this 26th day of February 2009, with:

28 Docket Control
29 Arizona Corporation Commission
30 1200 West Washington Street
31 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

Copies of the foregoing
mailed this 26th day of February 2009, to:

Marshall Magruder
P. O. Box 1267
Tubac, Arizona 85646

Jane Rodda, Esq.
Administrative Law Judge
Arizona Corporations Commission
400 West Congress Street
Tucson, AZ 85701

Janice Alward, Esq.
Chief Counsel, Legal Division
Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 West Washington
Phoenix, AZ 85007

Ernest Johnson
Director Utilities Division
Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 West Washington
Phoenix, AZ 85007

By Albbie Annual