



0000094048

ORIGINAL

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
RECEIVED

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

COMMISSIONERS

KRISTIN K. MAYES, Chairman 2009 FEB 24 P 4: 02
GARY PIERCE
PAUL NEWMAN
SANDRA D. KENNEDY
BOB STUMP

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL

FEB 24 2009

DOCKETED BY [Signature]

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF
GLOBAL WATER – SANTA CRUZ WATER
COMPANY FOR THE ESTABLISHMENT OF
JUST AND REASONABLE RATES AND
CHARGES FOR UTILITY SERVICE DESIGNED
TO REALIZE A REASONABLE RATE OF
RETURN ON THE FAIR VALUE OF ITS
PROPERTY THROUGHOUT THE STATE OF
ARIZONA

DOCKET NO. W-03576A-09-0080

MOTION TO CONSOLIDATE

Global Water – Santa Cruz Water Company (“Santa Cruz” or “Applicant”) moves that this docket be consolidated with the five additional rate dockets that were filed on the same day for affiliated companies (collectively, the “Global Utilities”), and together with their unregulated affiliates and parent companies, “Global Water”). Specifically, Applicant moves that the following dockets be consolidated:

Utility	Docket Number
Global Water – Palo Verde Utilities Company	SW-03575A-09-0077
Global Water – Santa Cruz Water Company	W-03576A-09-0080
Valencia Water Company – Town Division	W-01212A-09-0082
Valencia Water Company – Greater Buckeye Division	W-02451A-09-0078
Water Utility of Greater Tonopah	W-02450A-09-0081
Willow Valley Water Co.	W-01732A-09-0079

1 Consolidation is justified for numerous reasons:

2 **Cost Allocation.** The Global Utilities know that the Commission will want to examine
3 the allocation of costs among the Global Utilities, and between the Global Utilities and their
4 unregulated affiliates. In order to address questions raised by the Commission and Staff, Global
5 Water has implemented a new cost allocation method, as further explained in the testimony of
6 Greg Barber, which was filed along with the Rate Application of Palo Verde. This allocation
7 method should be more transparent and easier to audit. However, the Global Utilities expect that
8 the Commission will want to fully examine all aspects of cost allocation. This issue is common
9 for all the Global Utilities. Moreover, this examination will be simpler if all the Global Utilities
10 are before the Commission in the same proceeding.

11 **Other Common Issues.** There are additional common issues that impact each of the
12 Global Utilities. For example, the Global Utilities have submitted joint testimony concerning cost
13 of equity, cost of debt, total water management and cost cutting measures that is applicable to
14 each of the Global Utilities.

15 **Integrated Service.** The Global Utilities strongly believe in the integration of water,
16 wastewater and recycled water services. Integration allows the water utility to promote the use of
17 recycled water, and it also provides increased efficiencies. Because the provision of these three
18 services (water, wastewater and recycled water) is so closely linked, the Global Utilities believe
19 that, when possible, the rates for these three services should be determined in one proceeding.
20 Here, Palo Verde and Santa Cruz provide integrated water, wastewater and recycled water
21 services to the same service areas in Pinal County, Arizona. In addition, Palo Verde and Santa
22 Cruz share certain employees and facilities. Thus, the rate applications of Palo Verde and Santa
23 Cruz should be consolidated.

24 **Rate Consolidation.** In order to limit the rate impact to customers of the smaller utilities,
25 the Global Utilities are proposing to consolidate the rates of the three Global Utilities in the West
26 Valley: Valencia Water Company – Town Division, Valencia Water Company – Greater
27

1 Buckeye Division, and Water Utility of Greater Tonopah. In order to properly evaluate this
2 proposal, it will be necessary to consolidate the rate dockets involving these utilities.

3 **Efficiency.** Processing one combined case should be more efficient than processing six
4 separate rate cases. This should benefit Global, the Commission, Staff, and any intervenors.

5 Accordingly, Applicant requests that the Commission consolidate these six dockets.
6 Counsel for Applicant has conferred with counsel for Staff and Staff has indicated that it does not
7 oppose the proposed consolidation.

8
9 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 24th day of February 2009.

10 ROSHKA DEWULF & PATTEN, PLC

11
12 By Timothy J. Sabo

13 Michael W. Patten
14 Timothy J. Sabo
15 One Arizona Center
16 400 East Van Buren Street, Suite 800
17 Phoenix, Arizona 85004

18 *Attorneys for Global Water –*

19 *Santa Cruz Water Company*

20
21 Original +13 copies of the foregoing
22 filed this 24th day of February 2009, with:

23 Docket Control
24 Arizona Corporation Commission
25 1200 West Washington
26 Phoenix, AZ 85007
27

1 Copies of the foregoing hand-delivered/mailed
2 this 24th day of February 2009, to:

3 Lyn A. Farmer, Esq.
4 Chief Administrative Law Judge
5 Hearing Division
6 Arizona Corporation Commission
7 1200 West Washington
8 Phoenix, AZ 85007

9 Janice Alward, Esq.
10 Chief Counsel, Legal Division
11 Arizona Corporation Commission
12 1200 West Washington
13 Phoenix, AZ 85007

14 Ernest G. Johnson, Esq.
15 Director, Utilities Division
16 Arizona Corporation Commission
17 1200 West Washington
18 Phoenix, AZ 85007

19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
By Rebbie Amarel