

E-01575A-08-0328



0000092371

ORIGINAL

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

UTILITY COMPLAINT FORM

410

Investigator: Richard Martinez

Phone:

Fax:

Priority: Respond Within Five Days

Opinion No. 2009 74465

Date: 1/9/2009

Complaint Description: 08A Rate Case Items - Opposed
N/A Not Applicable

Complaint By: **First:** Jack E. **Last:** Caple

Account Name: Jack E. Caple

Home: (000) 000-0000

Street: email address

Work:

City: Sonoita

CBR:

State: AZ **Zip:** 85637

is: E-Mail

Utility Company: Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative, Inc. Arizona Corporation Commission

Division: Electric

DOCKETED

Contact Name:

Contact Phone:

JAN 13 2009

Nature of Complaint:

(Docket No. E-01575A-08-0328)

DOCKETED BY

REFERRED FROM CHAIRMAN MAYES OFFICE**

Received the following email:

Jack E. Caple

Sonoita, Arizona 85637
January 7th, 2009

RE: SSVEC Expensive New 69KVA Power line to Sonoita Arizona

TO: Kristin K. Mayes -Commissioner

Gary Pierce -Commissioner

Arizona Corporation Commission

RECEIVED
2009 JAN 13 P 3: 56
AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL

As an effected resident of Sonoita Hills, Sonoita Arizona I respectfully request that you ask the commission to appoint a hearing officer to have a public hearing so all sides and points of view can be heard and a recommendation made to the Commissioners for a decision/ recommendation for the most cost effective, environmentally and economic sensitive route for this line.

As a cooperative, SSVEC is bound by the principles in their bylaws to show concern for the community and uphold democratic member control. SSVEC has done neither in pursuing their proposed 69 KV line through our communities.

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
UTILITY COMPLAINT FORM

Respectively yours,

Jack E. Caple Member-Resident

End of Complaint

Utilities' Response:

na

End of Response

Investigator's Comments and Disposition:

I called customer @ 3:16 p.m. and acknowledged that we received his email from Chairman Mayes' office. I told customer that his Opinion would be entered into our database for the record and would be docketed to that the Commissioners would have an opportunity to read his concerns. I mentioned to customer that although his concerns will be docketed that the Commissioners will be unable to address his concerns due to jurisdictional authority but his comments would become a part of SSVEC's current application. Customer was pleasantly surprised to receive a call back from a State Agency.

I e-mailed this OPINION to Carmen Madrid@ the ACC's Phoenix Office to have this docketed towards docket nos. Docket No. E-01575A-08-0328. Also e-mailed copy to CEW and Sheila Stoeller. FILE CLOSED.

End of Comments

Date Completed: 1/9/2009

Opinion No. 2009 - 74465
