

E.01575A.08.0328



0000092277

ORIGINAL

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISS

UTILITY COMPLAINT FORM RECEIVED

Investigator: Reg Lopez

Phone: [REDACTED] JAN - 8 P 3-2 Fax: [REDACTED]

Priority: Respond Within Five Days

AZ CORP COMMISSION

DOCKET CONTROL

Opinion No. 2009 - 74406

Date: 1/7/2009

Complaint Description: 08A Rate Case Items - Opposed
N/A Not Applicable

Complaint By: First: Anna Last: Ostholthoff

Account Name: Anna Ostholthoff Home: [REDACTED]

Street: [REDACTED] Work: [REDACTED]

City: Sonoita CBR: [REDACTED]

State: AZ Zip: [REDACTED] is: [REDACTED]

Utility Company: Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative, Inc.

Division: Electric

Contact Name: [REDACTED] Contact Phone: [REDACTED]

Nature of Complaint:

*****CHAIRMAN MAYES
REFERRAL*****

Arizona Corporation Commission
DOCKETED

JAN - 8 2009

Received the following e-mail:

-----Original Message-----
From: Anna Ostholthoff [mailto:a [REDACTED]]
Sent: Tuesday, January 06, 2009 2:03 PM
To: Mayes-WebEmail
Subject: SSVEC's 69kV line

DOCKETED BY [Signature]

Dear Commissioner Mayes,

I am writing to request that you appoint a hearing officer so that there can be a public hearing regarding the proposed SSVEC 69KV line through our communities. It is imperative that all points of view and information be heard to ascertain the necessity and environmental impact of this line.

SSVEC is a cooperative and so must be willing to listen to its members and accept democratic member control.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Anna Ostholthoff
[REDACTED]
Sonoita, AZ [REDACTED]
End of Complaint

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
UTILITY COMPLAINT FORM

Utilities' Response:

Investigator's Comments and Disposition:

I called the customer and advised I was calling on behalf of Chairman Mayes. I advised the customer the ACC normally does not address issues like this separately, though the ACC appreciated her taking the time to express her opinion. I advised her comments would be noted as a permanent record on a related matter between SSVEC and APS but NOT related to this matter in hopes that this issue will also be addressed. I then provided the year and matter number. I further explained that the ACC addresses 115 kv or higher via the Line Siting Committee, but that her comments would be noted on the referenced matter. I advised this matter was to be scheduled for Open Meeting January 13-14th, 2009, and explained how she could access the ACC's "Listen Line". Customer appreciated call back and very impressed for such an expeditious reply. I asked if she could please advise her neighboring residents that that the ACC is not required to approve or disapprove lines of this size. She agreed. She added she had already made SSVEC of her opposition to the 69 kv Line.

I e-mailed this OPINION to Lupe Ortiz @ the ACC's Phoenix Office to have this docketed towards docket nos. Docket No. E-01575A-08-0328. Also e-mailed copy to CEW and Sheila Stoeller. Copied CEW and Sheila Stoeller. File closed.

End of Comments

Date Completed: 1/7/2009

Opinion No. 2009 - 74406
