

ORIGINAL



0000092276

Sheila Stoeller

From: Tubacknutson@aol.com  
Sent: Wednesday, January 07, 2009 3:57 PM  
To: Gleason-WebEmail; Mayes-WebEmail; Mundell-Web  
Subject: Docket No. W-01303A-08-0227; SW-01303A-08-0227

47  
CO

SUBJECT: The rate increase proposal for water supply to our home in Tubac. How can such a few families pay this increase of 72.7%--add the arsenic treatment at 196% and this adds a huge burden on the people living here.

We therefore ask you not to pass this proposal.

Paul Jennings and Marlene Knutson

2249 Palo Parado Road


---

New year...new news. Be the first to know what is making headlines.

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

JAN - 8 2009

DOCKETED BY 

AZ CORP COMMISSION  
DOCKET CONTROL

2009 JAN - 8 P 3: 01

RECEIVED

## Sheila Stoeller

---

**From:** RTBNMBAZ@aol.com  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 07, 2009 1:11 PM  
**To:** Gleason-WebEmail; Mayes-WebEmail; Mundell-Web; Hatch-WebEmail; Pierce-Web  
**Subject:** re: Docket No. W-01303A-08-0227; *SW-01303A-08-0227*

Dear Arizona Corporation Commissioners:

I am very concerned with Arizona American Water's proposed rate increase to our district in Tubac, which with the arsenic treatment, will amount to \$150 over our monthly invoice each month (based on 15,000 gallons a month usage).

I understand that Arizona American Water must comply with the mandate, but surely there must be a better solution for the rate payers, many of whom simply may not be able to afford these rates even with severe cutback to water usage.

I realize this is a very small district with only 532 customers, which is obviously part of the problem, but there has to be a solution. The mandate needs an exception clause for situations such as this one.

Thank you for your consideration.

Nancy M. Bohman  
P. O. Box 1237  
Tubac, Arizona 85646

---

New year...new news. Be the first to know what is making headlines.