

ORIGINAL



0000091085

RECEIVED

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF SALT RIVER PROJECT, OR THEIR ASSIGNEE (S), IN CONFORMANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS THE ARIZONA REVISED STATUTES 40-360.03 AND 40-360.06 FOR A CERTIFICATE OF ENVIRONMENTAL COMPATIBILITY AUTHORIZING THE CONSTRUCTION OF NATURAL GAS-FIRED, COMBINED CYCLE GENERATING FACILITIES AND ASSOCIATED INTRAPLANT TRANSMISSION LINES, SWITCHYARD IN GILBERT, ARIZONA LOCATED NEAR AND WEST OF THE INTERSECTION OF VAL VISTA DRIVE AND WARNER ROAD

CASE NO. 105

DOCKET NUMBER L00000B-00-0105

2001 FEB 26 P 12: 41

REQUEST FOR OPPOSING OPINIONS FROM CERTAIN MEMBERS OF THE POWER PLANT AND LINE SITING COMMITTEE'S FEBRUARY 12, 2001 VOTE

AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCUMENT CONTROL

Intervenors, Cathy Lopez, Mark Sequeria, Mark Kwiat, Cathy Latona, Saretta Parrault, Michael Apregis, Marshal Green, and Christopher Labbon hereby request the Arizona Corporation Commission to obtain written opinions from the Power Plant and Transmission Line Siting Committee Members Mark McWhirter and George Campbell relating to this note held on February 12, 2001.

DOCKETED

FEB 26 2001

I. GROUNDS FOR REQUEST

DOCKETED BY [Signature]

The Power Plant and Line Siting Committee (hereinafter called "The Committee") held a public vote on February 12, 2001 regarding the expansion of the Santan Power Plant. Intevenors believe it is important not only for the Arizona Corporation Commission to hear the reasoning behind the opposing votes, but it is also very important for the community to understand the reasoning behind these votes. It is noteworthy that the opposing votes may assist in shedding light upon the reasons why the applicant should not have received a green light for a certificate of environmental compatibility. For example, Mr. McWhirter is a statutory member representing the Director of Energy Office - Department of Commerce.

Mr. Whirter's opinion is key in these proceedings as it relates to alternatives, transmission and generation for the State of Arizona.

Intervenors believe Mr. Campbell's opinion is key as it relates to the "public voice" of this community. Intevenors believe that in absence of Mr. Campbell's opinion the public voice of the community was not represented on the Committee.

The intervenors believe that The Committee failed to consider each and every factor as a basis for its action with respect to the **suitability** of this plant as specifically set forth in A.R.S. 40-360.06 (A) 1-9, (B)(C) and (D) and request an order directing written opinions from the above-referenced Committee Members.

In the event, the Arizona Corporation Commission decides not to request the written opinions from the Committee Members referenced above, the intervenors request findings of fact regarding said decision. Intervenors would also request orders from the Arizona Corporation Commission for the appearance and participation in any and all further public hearings in this matter for the above-referenced Committee Members including the Committee Members who abstained from the February 12, 2001 vote

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 26 day of February 2001.





///
///
///

Original and copies filed with the
Arizona Corporation Commission
this 24 day of February, 2001 with a copy to:

Kenneth C. Sundlof, Esq.
JENNINGS, STROUSS & SALMON, PLC
One Renaissance Square
Two North Central Avenue
Phoenix, Arizona 85004-2393

By 