



BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

21  
CD

1  
2 MIKE GLEASON  
Chairman  
3 WILLIAM A. MUNDELL  
Commissioner  
4 JEFF HATCH-MILLER  
Commissioner  
5 KRISTIN K. MAYES  
Commissioner  
6 GARY PIERCE  
Commissioner  
7

Arizona Corporation Commission  
**DOCKETED**  
NOV 19 2008

DOCKETED BY  
*nr*

8 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION )  
9 OF SALT RIVER PROJECT )  
10 AGRICULTURAL IMPROVEMENT AND )  
11 POWER DISTRICT FOR AN ORDER )  
12 AUTHORIZING ITS ISSUANCE OF )  
REVENUE BONDS AND REFUNDING )  
REVENUE BONDS )

DOCKET NO. E-02217A-08-0159  
DECISION NO. 70611  
ORDER

13  
14 Open Meeting  
November 12 and 13, 2008  
15 Phoenix, Arizona

16 **BY THE COMMISSION:**

17 FINDINGS OF FACT

18 1. Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District ("SRP") is an  
19 agricultural improvement district duly organized and existing under Title 48, Chapter 17, Arizona  
20 Revised Statutes. SRP is a political subdivision of the State of Arizona pursuant to Article 13,  
21 Section 7 of the Arizona Constitution.

22 2. SRP is mainly engaged in the purchase and sale of electricity in the Maricopa, Pinal  
23 and Gila Counties, and the generation of electricity in the States of Arizona, New Mexico and  
24 Colorado, primarily for sale in Arizona. SRP provides electric power to approximately 928,000  
25 customers.

26 3. On March 17, 2008, SRP filed an application with the Arizona Corporation  
27 Commission ("Commission") requesting authority to issue \$1.9 billion in revenue bonds and \$2.1  
28 billion in refunding revenue bonds ("Application"). Pursuant to Arizona Revised Statute

1 (“A.R.S.”) §48-2465.B, SRP must secure “an order authorizing the issuance of such bonds in  
2 accordance with those provisions of §40-302 pertaining to the issuance of bonds.”

3 4. SRP published notice of the Application on April 14, 2008, in the *Arizona Republic*.

4 5. SRP has a Capital Improvement Program for the fiscal years 2009 through 2014,  
5 and estimates its capital expenditure requirements for that time period to be approximately \$4.93  
6 billion. Electric generation is the largest category of expenditures and is estimated to require  
7 approximately \$2.69 billion of expenditures. The remaining projected areas of need are  
8 distribution plant, approximately \$1.62 billion; and transmission, approximately \$620 million.

9 6. SRP requests authorization to issue \$1.9 billion in revenue bonds to refund a  
10 portion of SRP’s commercial paper and to finance costs of construction, acquisition and  
11 acquisition of improvements, replacements, additions, extensions and betterments to SRP’s electric  
12 system including, but not limited to the purchase, construction, acquisition and installation of  
13 electric generating facilities, power supplies, transmission lines, distribution lines, substations, and  
14 related facilities, assets (including fuel and fuel related assets), and equipment necessary therefore,  
15 and financing costs related thereto.

16 7. The revenue bonds will be issued for a maximum term of fifty years, and will be  
17 marketed through underwriters or sold in private placements, or at the option of SRP, at a publicly  
18 advertised, competitive sale on the basis of the best bid received. The sale of the revenue bonds  
19 may be in several increments, with the timing, frequency and amount of the sale of each such  
20 increment to be determined by SRP, depending upon construction needs and upon capital market  
21 conditions. The amount, maturity and interest rate of each series will depend upon capital market  
22 conditions and SRP’s bond rating at the time of the transaction.

23 8. SRP’s current bond ratings are AA by Standard and Poor’s and Aa1 by Moody’s  
24 Investors Service.

25 9. Commission’s Staff reviewed the Application and SRP’s 2009-2014 Capital  
26 Improvement Program. Staff concluded that the generation, transmission and distribution projects  
27 included in SRP’s 2009-2014 Capital Improvement Program are appropriate to meet the projected  
28 needs of SRP’s new customers and to ensure system reliability, and that the cost estimates and

1 expenditure levels associated with the Capital Improvement Program appear to be reasonable. The  
2 Staff Engineering Report notes that SRP is requesting approval for only a portion of the total  
3 expenditures for its 2009-2014 Capital Improvement Program, and that SRP plans to finance the  
4 remaining expenditures using internal resources.

5 10. SRP also intends to issue up to \$2,100,000,000 of refunding bonds in order to take  
6 advantage of reduced interest rates to lower its debt service requirements when future market  
7 conditions present opportunities to do so. Since the proceeds of the refunding revenue bonds  
8 would be used to repay existing debt, their issuance will not result in additional outstanding debt.

9 11. The refunding revenue bonds will be issued for a maximum term of fifty years, and  
10 will be marketed through underwriters or sold in private placements, or at the option of SRP, at a  
11 publicly advertised, competitive sale on the basis of the best bid received. The amount, maturity  
12 and interest rate of each series depends upon construction needs, capital market conditions and the  
13 Applicant's bond rating at the time of the transaction.

14 12. The sale of the refunding revenue bonds may be in several increments, with the  
15 timing, frequency and amount of the sale of each such increment to be determined by SRP, based  
16 on a number of criteria, including but not limited to interest rates on outstanding bonds, current  
17 market interest rates, the cost of funding an escrow, call provisions on refunding candidates, and  
18 potential debt savings. SRP's primary decision-making criterion for a refunding is the aggregate  
19 net present value savings that could be realized through the refunding as a percentage of the par  
20 amount of the bonds to be refunded. Historically, SRP has not refunded long-term debt unless the  
21 net present value savings are 7 percent or greater. For short-term debt, the Applicant considers  
22 that savings have to be a minimum of 3 percent.

23 13. As of January 31, 2008, SRP had \$3,801,280,072 outstanding refunding revenue  
24 bonds authorizations.

25 14. The proposed revenue bonds and refunding revenue bonds would be secured by a  
26 pledge of, and a lien on, the revenues of SRP's electric system, after deducting operating expenses.

27 15. Total capitalization for SRP as of April 30, 2008, was \$ 7,689,512,000, with 2.2  
28 percent short-term debt, 47.9 percent long-term debt, and 49.9 percent equity. Under Staff's pro

1 forma analysis including issuance of \$383,350,000 in previously authorized revenue bonds not yet  
2 issued, and the proposed \$1.9 billion in revenue bonds, SRP's total capitalization would be  
3 \$9,972,862,000, consisting of 2.2 percent short-term debt, 59.5 percent long-term debt and 38.3  
4 percent equity.

5 16. Staff typically recommends Company capital structures with a minimum of 30  
6 percent (40 percent for investor owned utilities) equity of total capital (short-term debt plus long-  
7 term debt plus common equity) as appropriate to provide a balance of cost and financial risk for  
8 regulated utilities and ratepayers. Although the SRP's capital structure portrays a diminished  
9 equity position on a pro forma basis, SRP continues to generate additional operating income, and it  
10 would not immediately issue the entire authorized debt. Therefore, SRP's equity position is  
11 expected to remain within the range typically recommended by Staff.

12 17. Based upon SRP's historical financial information for the fiscal year ended  
13 April 30, 2008, Staff performed a pro forma financial analysis to estimate the effect of SRP's  
14 issuance of the proposed \$1.9 billion in revenue bonds and issuance of \$383,350,000, in  
15 previously authorized revenue bonds. Staff's analysis assumes a 5.05 percent annual interest rate  
16 and a 30-year amortization, based on current market conditions as published by the Value Line  
17 Investment Survey, Selection and Opinion, August 22, 2008, page 3985. Staff also performed a  
18 second "stress test" scenario assuming a 6 percent annual interest rate, which is the highest interest  
19 rate paid by SRP in its currently outstanding bonds, and a 10-year amortization.

20 18. For the fiscal year ended April 30, 2008, Staff calculated SRP's current Times  
21 Interest Earned Ratio ("TIER")<sup>1</sup> to be 2.62 and its Debt Service Coverage ("DSC")<sup>2</sup> ratio to be  
22 2.35. Issuance of both the proposed \$1.9 billion in revenue bonds and \$383,350,000, in  
23 previously authorized revenue bonds results in a pro forma 1.36 TIER and 1.52 DSC. The pro  
24

25  
26 <sup>1</sup> The TIER represents the number of times earnings cover interest expense on short-term and long-term debt. A TIER  
greater than 1.0 means that operating income is greater than interest expense. A TIER less than 1.0 is not sustainable  
in the long term but does not mean that debt obligations cannot be met in the short term.

27 <sup>2</sup> The DSC ratio represents the number of times internally generated cash will cover required principal and interest  
28 payments on short-term and long-term debt. A DSC greater than 1.0 indicates that operating cash flow is sufficient to  
cover debt obligations. A DSC less than 1.0 means that debt service obligations cannot be met by cash generated from  
operations and that another source of funds is needed to avoid default.

1 forma DSC results indicate that operating cash flow would be sufficient to cover all obligations.  
2 SRP would also be able to meet all obligations under Staffs "stress test" analysis.

3 19. Based on its analysis, Staff concluded that SRP's proposed issuance of new debt  
4 financing for the purposes stated in the Application is within SRP's organizational powers, is  
5 compatible with the public interest, is consistent with sound financial practices and will not impair  
6 its ability to provide services.

7 20. Staff recommends that the Commission authorize SRP's request to issue an amount  
8 not to exceed \$1.9 billion in revenue bonds and \$2.1 billion in refunding revenue bonds for the  
9 purposes described in the Application.

10 21. Staff further recommends that any unused revenue bond issuance authorization  
11 expire on December 31, 2016.

12 22. Staff further recommends that refunding revenue bond issuance authorizations  
13 granted herein expire, in the ratio of 2.1 refunding bonds to 1.9 revenue bonds, when either  
14 revenue bond issuance authorizations granted herein expire or when revenue bonds issued pursuant  
15 to the authorizations granted herein are subsequently retired.

16 23. Staff further recommends authorizing SRP to engage in any transaction and to  
17 execute any documents necessary to effectuate the authorizations granted.

18 24. Staff further recommends that the Applicant file with Docket Control, as a  
19 compliance item in this docket, within 60 days of the execution of any financing transaction  
20 authorized herein; pertinent documents memorializing the transaction and a written summary  
21 providing an overview of the transaction that includes, but is not limited to, the business rationale  
22 for the transaction, the terms and conditions of the transaction, and a demonstration that the rates  
23 and terms were consistent with those generally available to comparable entities at the time; and for  
24 any refunding transaction that it is economically beneficial.

25 25. Staffs recommendations are reasonable and should be adopted.

#### 26 CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

27 1. The Commission has jurisdiction over the Application pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 40-302  
28 and 48-2465.B.



1 documents memorializing the transaction and a written summary providing an overview of the  
2 transaction that includes, but is not limited to, the business rationale for the transaction, the terms  
3 and conditions of the transaction, and a demonstration that the rates and terms were consistent with  
4 those generally available to comparable entities at the time; and for any refunding transaction that  
5 it is economically beneficial.

6 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately.

7 **BY THE ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION**

9 *Lawrence Williamson*  
10 CHAIRMAN

*for William A. Mundell by Kim Ezzard*  
COMMISSIONER

11  
12  
13 COMMISSIONER

*[Signature]*  
COMMISSIONER

*[Signature]*  
COMMISSIONER

14 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, BRIAN C. McNEIL, Executive  
15 Director of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have  
16 hereunto, set my hand and caused the official seal of this  
17 Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of  
18 Phoenix, this 19<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2008.

19  
20 *[Signature]*  
21 BRIAN C. McNEIL  
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

22 DISSENT: \_\_\_\_\_

23  
24 DISSENT: \_\_\_\_\_

25 EGJ:PMC:lhmkOT  
26  
27  
28

1 SERVICE LIST FOR: Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District  
2 DOCKET NO.: E-02217A-08-0159

3 Ms. Kelly J. Barr  
4 Manager, Regulatory Affairs & Contracts  
5 Salt River Project, PAB 207  
6 Post Office Box 52025  
7 Phoenix, Arizona 85072-2025

8 Mr. W. Gary Hull  
9 Managing Attorney, SRP Legal Services  
10 Salt River Project, PAB 207  
11 Post Office Box 52025  
12 Phoenix, Arizona 85072-2025

13 Mr. Ernest G. Johnson  
14 Director, Utilities Division  
15 Arizona Corporation Commission  
16 1200 West Washington  
17 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

18 Ms. Janice M. Alward  
19 Chief Counsel, Legal Division  
20 Arizona Corporation Commission  
21 1200 West Washington Street  
22 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28