

ORIGINAL



0000090553

14

RECEIVED

2008 NOV -4 P 3:55

AZ CORP COMMISSION  
OF COUNSEL TO  
MUNGER CHADWICK, P.L.C.

LAWRENCE V. ROBERTSON, JR.  
ATTORNEY AT LAW

P. O. Box 1448  
TUBAC, ARIZONA 85646

(520) 398-0411  
FAX: (520) 398-0412  
EMAIL: TUBACLAWYER@AOL.COM

ADMITTED TO PRACTICE IN:  
ARIZONA, COLORADO, MONTANA,  
NEVADA, TEXAS, WYOMING,  
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

November 3, 2008

Docket Control  
Arizona Corporation Commission  
1200 West Washington  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Re: Arizona Public Service Company  
Docket No. L-00000D-08-0330-00138  
Siting Case No. 138

To Whom It May Concern:

Enclosed for filing in the above-referenced proceeding are the "original" and twenty-five (25) copies of Exhibit DV-1 and Exhibit DV-2 on behalf of Diamond Ventures, Inc.

Also enclosed are two (2) additional copies of the exhibits. I would appreciate it if you would "filed" stamp the same and return them to me in the enclosed stamped and addressed envelope.

Thank you for your assistance. Please advise me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Angela R. Trujillo  
Secretary  
Lawrence V. Robertson, Jr.

Arizona Corporation Commission  
DOCKETED

NOV -4 2008

DOCKETED BY  
NR

RECEIVED

2008 NOV -4 P 3:55

AZ STATE COMMISSION  
DOCKET CONTROL

# Exhibit DV-1

Arizona Public Service Company  
Docket No. L-00000D-08-0330-00138

20, 100 - 1

**APPENDIX A - ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE**

Project Name	In-Service Date	Planning Stage	Length (miles)	Project Participants	Estimated Cost
Milligan loop-in of Saguaro-Casa Grande 230KV line	2009	Planned	0	APS	\$25,000,000
Palo Verde Hub - Sun Valley 500KV line (Case No. 128)	2009	Planned	45	APS, SRP, CAWCD	\$115,000,000
Sugarloaf loop-in of Coronado-Cholla 500KV line	2009	Planned	0	APS	\$55,000,000
Sun Valley - TS1 230KV line (Case No. 127)	2009	Planned	15	APS	\$58,000,000
VV 01 loop-in of Navajo-Westwing 500KV line	2009	Planned	0	APS	\$52,000,000
Flagstaff 345KV Interconnection	2010	Planned	0	APS	\$28,000,000
Palm Valley - TS2 - TS1 230KV line	2010	Planned	12	APS	\$40,000,000
SE10 loop-in of Saguaro-Casa Grande 230KV line	2010	Planned	0	APS	\$10,000,000
TS9-Pinnacle Peak 500KV line. (Case No. 131)	2010	Planned	26	APS, SRP	\$263,000,000
TS9-Raceway-Avery-TS6-Pinnacle Peak 230KV line	2010	Planned	27	APS	\$263,000,000
Desert Basin - Pinal South 230KV line	2011	Planned	21	SRP, APS	\$48,000,000
Jopba loop-in of TS4-Panda 230KV line	2011	Planned	0	APS	\$16,000,000
Mazatzal loop-in of Cholla-Pinnacle Peak 345KV	2011	Planned	0	APS	\$22,000,000
Sundance - Pinal South 230KV line.	2011	Planned	5	APS, ED2	\$42,000,000
North Gila - TS8 230KV line	2012	Planned	15	APS	\$51,000,000
Palo Verde Hub - North Gila 500KV #2 line	2012	Planned	117	APS, SRP, IID, WMIDD	\$547,000,000
Sun Valley - TS9 500KV line (Case No. 132)	2012	Planned	TBD	APS, SRP, CAWCD	\$118,000,000
TransWest Express project	2015	Conceptual	1000	APS, National Grid, WJA	TBD
Sun Valley - TS11 - Buckeye 230KV line	TBD	Conceptual	TBD	APS	TBD
Westwing - El Sol 230KV line	TBD	Planned	11	APS	TBD
Westwing - Raceway 230KV line	TBD	Planned	7	APS	TBD
Cholla - Phoenix 500KV line	TBD	Conceptual	TBD	APS	TBD
Palo Verde - Saguaro 500KV line	TBD	Conceptual	130	N/A	TBD
Sun Valley - TS10 - TS11 230KV line	TBD	Conceptual	TBD	APS	TBD
Sun Valley - TS9 230KV line (Case No. 133)	TBD	Conceptual	TBD	APS	\$185,000,000
Yucca - TS8 230KV line	TBD	Conceptual	TBD	APS	TBD
North Gila - Yucca 230 KV line	TBD	Conceptual	TBD	APS	TBD
<b>Utility Totals</b>			<b>1431</b>		<b>\$1,938,000,000</b>

**Table 1 - Summary of Arizona Public Service Projects**

# **Exhibit DV-2**

Arizona Public Service Company  
Docket No. L-00000D-08-0330-00138



PINNACLE WEST  
CAPITAL CORPORATION  
LAW DEPARTMENT

Thomas L. Mumaw  
Senior Attorney  
(602) 250-2052  
Direct Line

October 14, 2008

Hon. Lyn Farmer  
Chief Administrative Law Judge  
Hearing Division  
ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION  
1200 West Washington Street  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Re: Docket No. E-01345A-08-0172 (APS Interim Rate Request)  
APS Late-Filed Exhibit 23

Dear Judge Farmer:

As stated in my letter to you dated September 26, 2008, this is the second part of Arizona Public Service Company's ("APS" or "Company") response to the outstanding requests for certain information in the above proceeding. Per your instructions, this letter and its attachments have been designated as APS Exhibit 23.

Attachment 1 to this letter provides a more detailed breakdown of reduction in the anticipated capital expenditures ("CAPX") for the years 2009-2011. It does so by first beginning with the CAPX forecast presented in Exhibit DEB-3, which is an attachment to Donald E. Brandt's Direct Testimony in the pending general rate case, but with 2011 added using the same assumptions that had been used for the years 2009 and 2010 in Exhibit DEB-3.<sup>1</sup> The net changes to the CAPX forecast as of October 2008 are set forth separately. As you can see, anticipated CAPX reductions in distribution, transmission and general plant actually exceed \$500 million<sup>2</sup> APS has provided the CAPX forecast changes in the same format and to the same level of granularity as in Exhibit DEB-3 for ease of

---

<sup>1</sup> The rate case testimony attachment had not addressed 2011 because it was 2010 that formed the basis for the Company's proposed attrition adjustment. However, to start everyone off on the same page with an "apples to apples" comparison; APS added what would have been the 2011 forecast using the same assumptions as for 2009 and 2010 in DEB-3.

<sup>2</sup> As the Commission is aware, Palo Verde is operating under a separate Performance Improvement Plan and is not included in the general Company efficiency/cost reduction program that will produce the reduction in future CAPX. Therefore, and although Palo Verde CAPX may change for reasons unrelated to the more general CAPX reduction program, it is held constant at DEB-3 levels for purposes of this analysis.

APS • APS Energy Services • SunCor • El Dorado

Law Department, 400 North Fifth Street, Mail Station 8695, Phoenix, AZ 85004-3992  
Phone: (602) 250-2052 • Facsimile (602) 250-3393  
E-mail: Thomas.Mumaw@pinnaclewest.com

Hon. Lyn Farmer  
Chief Administrative Law Judge  
October 14, 2008  
Page 2 of 5

comparison. This means, for example, that while the major transmission projects that will be delayed are specifically identified to the extent they were in the prior CAPX forecast, smaller projects (and even larger projects that were not included in the earlier 2008 DEB-3 forecast) are shown collectively at line 22. Please also note that these represent preliminary estimates that may change materially, either up or down, depending on future events and more specifically, depending on the needs of our customers.

Although not specifically requested, APS believes there was some confusion during the recent hearing over the CAPX forecast submitted in August of 2007 and that subsequent CAPX forecast attached to Mr. Brandt's general rate case testimony. *See Brandt Testimony at 602:7 – 603:10.* A great deal, if not all, of the differences between the two forecasts is a result of the differing vintages of the forecasts. Although provided in August of 2007, what was then requested was a breakdown of the capital items identified back in late 2006 as Exhibit 27 in the Company's last general rate case. As can be seen on Attachment 2, the actual vintage of the forecast that resulted in both Exhibit 27 and the August 2007 filing was August of 2006 – some 21 or 22 months earlier than the forecast used for DEB-3 (rather than the six or seven months referenced at the time of the hearing) and well prior to the Company's announcement of \$200 million CAPX reductions in late 2007 and early 2008 (which, of course, have recently been significantly expanded). Attachment 2 provides a reconciliation between the two vintages of CAPX forecast. APS would add that although the actual time between the two forecasts is considerably longer than what may have been thought during the recent interim rate hearing, even if there had been "only" a six month difference, it is still very possible that a CAPX forecast could materially change in such a relatively short period of time.

APS believes this letter has been responsive to the issues discussed above and would request admission of the letter and its attachments as APS Exhibit 23 in accordance with the procedure outlined by your honor on September 19.

Sincerely,



Thomas L. Mumaw

Attorney for Arizona Public Service Company

TLM/Attachments

Hon. Lyn Farmer  
Chief Administrative Law Judge  
October 14, 2008  
Page 3 of 5

Original and 13 copies of the foregoing filed  
this 14th day of October 2008 with:

Docket Control  
ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION  
1200 West Washington Street  
Phoenix, AZ 85007

and

Copies of the foregoing emailed or mailed  
this 14th day of October 2008 to:

Ernest G. Johnson  
Director, Utilities Division  
Arizona Corporation Commission  
1200 West Washington Street  
Phoenix, AZ 85007  
[ejohnson@cc.state.az.us](mailto:ejohnson@cc.state.az.us)

Maureen Scott  
Legal Division  
Arizona Corporation Commission  
1200 West Washington Street  
Phoenix, AZ 85007  
[mscott@azcc.gov](mailto:mscott@azcc.gov)

Janet Wagner  
Legal Division  
Arizona Corporation Commission  
1200 West Washington Street  
Phoenix, AZ 85007  
[jwagner@azcc.gov](mailto:jwagner@azcc.gov)

Terri Ford  
Utilities Division  
Arizona Corporation Commission  
1200 West Washington Street  
Phoenix, AZ 85007  
[tford@azcc.gov](mailto:tford@azcc.gov)

Barbara Keene  
Utilities Division  
Arizona Corporation Commission  
1200 West Washington Street  
Phoenix, AZ 85007  
[bKeene@cc.state.az.us](mailto:bKeene@cc.state.az.us)

Daniel Pozefsky  
Chief Counsel  
RUCO  
1110 West Washington, Suite 220  
Phoenix, AZ 85007  
[dpozefsky@azruco.com](mailto:dpozefsky@azruco.com)

William A. Rigsby  
RUCO  
1110 West Washington, Suite 220  
Phoenix, AZ 85007  
[brigsby@azruco.gov](mailto:brigsby@azruco.gov)

Tina Gamble  
RUCO  
1110 West Washington, Suite 220  
Phoenix, AZ 85007  
[egamble@azruco.gov](mailto:egamble@azruco.gov)

C. Webb Crockett  
Fennemore Craig  
3003 North Central, Suite 2600  
Phoenix, AZ 85012-2913  
[wcrockett@fclaw.com](mailto:wcrockett@fclaw.com)

Hon. Lyn Farmer  
Chief Administrative Law Judge  
October 14, 2008  
Page 4 of 5

Kevin Higgins  
Energy Strategies, LLC  
215 South State Street, Suite 200  
Salt Lake City, UT 84111  
[khiggins@energystrat.com](mailto:khiggins@energystrat.com)

Michael L. Kurtz  
Boehm, Kurt & Lowry  
36 East Seventh Street, Suite 2110  
Cincinnati, OH 45202  
[mkurtz@BKLawfirm.com](mailto:mkurtz@BKLawfirm.com)

Kurt J. Boehm  
Boehm, Kurt & Lowry  
36 East Seventh Street, Suite 2110  
Cincinnati, OH 45202  
[kboehm@BKLawfirm.com](mailto:kboehm@BKLawfirm.com)

The Kroger Company  
Dennis George  
Attn: Corporate Energy Manager (G09)  
1014 Vine Street  
Cincinnati, OH 45202  
[dgeorge@kroger.com](mailto:dgeorge@kroger.com)

Stephen J. Baron  
J. Kennedy & Associates  
570 Colonial Park Drive  
Suite 305  
Roswell, GA 30075  
[sbaron@jkenn.com](mailto:sbaron@jkenn.com)

Theodore Roberts  
Sempra Energy Law Department  
101 Ash Street, H Q 13D  
San Diego, CA 92101-3017  
[TRoberts@sempra.com](mailto:TRoberts@sempra.com)

Lawrence V. Robertson, Jr.  
2247 E. Frontage Road  
Tubac, AZ 85646  
[tubaclawyer@aol.com](mailto:tubaclawyer@aol.com)

Michael A. Curtis  
501 East Thomas Road  
Phoenix, AZ 85012  
[mcurtis401@aol.com](mailto:mcurtis401@aol.com)

William P. Sullivan  
501 East Thomas Road  
Phoenix, AZ 85012  
[wsullivan@cgsuslaw.com](mailto:wsullivan@cgsuslaw.com)

Larry K. Udall  
501 East Thomas Road  
Phoenix, AZ 85012  
[ludall@cgsuslaw.com](mailto:ludall@cgsuslaw.com)

Michael Grant  
Gallagher & Kennedy, P.A.  
2575 East Camelback Road  
Phoenix, AZ 85016  
[MMG@gknet.com](mailto:MMG@gknet.com)

Gary Yaquinto  
Arizona Investment Council  
2100 North Central, Suite 210  
Phoenix, AZ 85004  
[gyaquinto@arizonaic.org](mailto:gyaquinto@arizonaic.org)

David Berry  
Western Resource Advocates  
P.O. Box 1064  
Scottsdale, AZ 85252-1064  
[azbluhill@aol.com](mailto:azbluhill@aol.com)

Tim Hogan  
Arizona Center for Law in the Public Interest  
202 East McDowell Road  
Suite 153  
Phoenix, AZ 85004  
[thogan@aclpi.org](mailto:thogan@aclpi.org)

Jeff Schlegel  
SWEEP Arizona Representative  
1167 W. Samalayuca Dr.  
Tucson, AZ 85704-3224  
[schlegelj@aol.com](mailto:schlegelj@aol.com)

Jay I. Moyes  
MOYES, SELLERS, & SIMS  
1850 North Central Avenue, Suite 1100  
Phoenix, AZ 85004  
[jimoyes@lawms.com](mailto:jimoyes@lawms.com)

Hon. Lyn Farmer  
Chief Administrative Law Judge  
October 14, 2008  
Page 5 of 5

Karen Nally  
MOYES, SELLERS, & SIMS  
1850 North Central Avenue, Suite 1100  
Phoenix, AZ 85004  
[kenally@lawms.com](mailto:kenally@lawms.com)

Jeffrey J. Woner  
K.R. Saline & Assoc., PLC  
160 N. Pasadena, Suite 101  
Mesa, AZ 85201  
[jjw@krsaline.com](mailto:jjw@krsaline.com)

Scott Canty  
General Counsel the Hopi Tribe  
P.O. Box 123  
Kykotsmovi, AZ 86039  
[Scanty0856@aol.com](mailto:Scanty0856@aol.com)

Cynthia Zwick  
1940 E. Luke Ave  
Phoenix, AZ 85016  
[czwick@azcaa.org](mailto:czwick@azcaa.org)

# Attachment 1

Arizona Public Service Company  
 Cost Efficiency Program Impact on Construction Expenditures Projection 2009 - 2011  
 \$Millions

**Attachment 1**  
 Page 1 of 3

line	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>3 - yr Total</u>
1	1,085	993	964	3,042
<b>Changes as a result of the Cost Efficiency Program:</b>				
<b>Production</b>				
<b>Nuclear (APS Share)</b>				
2	-	-	-	-
3	-	-	-	-
4	-	-	-	-
5	-	-	-	-
6	-	-	-	-
7	-	-	-	-
8	-	-	-	-
<b>Fossil (APS Share)</b>				
9	(24)	(47)	18	(53)
10	1	-	19	20
11	1	-	-	1
12	(9)	(24)	(8)	(41)
13	(2)	1	3	2
14	(29)	25	(1)	(5)
15	(3)	(14)	7	(10)
16	(65)	(59)	38	(86)
17	(65)	(59)	38	(86)

Note: This forecast is included in the Direct Testimony of Donald E. Brandt (Docket No. E-01345A-08-0172) as Attachment DEB-3. The forecast provided here differs from that provided in Attachment DEB-3 only in terms of years shown. Attachment DEB-3 shows the Company's forecast for 2008-2010 as of June 2008, consistent with the Company's asking in that case. The projections shown here are for years 2009-2011, to give the background necessary to show the impact of the recent Cost Efficiency Program (which will be seen in that timeframe) on the Company's overall forecast at the time of the rate case.

Arizona Public Service Company  
 Cost Efficiency Program Impact on Construction Expenditures Projection 2009 - 2011  
 \$Millions

line	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>3 - yr Total</u>
<b>Transmission &amp; Distribution</b>				
<b><u>Transmission</u></b>				
Selected Major Transmission Projects				
18	(47)	(30)	(86)	(163)
	Palo Verde - TS5 - TS9 TS5 to be located northwest of White Tanks; TS9 to be located near existing Raceway substation			
19	(10)	(3)	4	(9)
	TS5 - TS1 - Palm Valley TS1 to be located southwest of 195th Av & Deer Valley			
20	46	(18)	-	28
	TS9 - Pinnacle Peak 500kV			
21	(30)	(37)	22	(45)
	Palo Verde - North Gila 500kV			
22	(28)	(104)	(115)	(247)
	All Other Transmission Infrastructure Additions & Upgrades - includes Line & Substation additions & upgrades for 69kV and above voltage not listed above			
23	7	(3)	-	4
	Transmission Reliability Projects - includes Breaker, Capacitor, and Reactor projects, and other major reliability projects			
24	-	-	-	-
	Transmission relocations & emergency projects			
25	(62)	(195)	(175)	(432)
	<b>Total Transmission</b>			
 <b><u>Distribution</u></b>				
26	(29)	(39)	(28)	(96)
	Distribution Infrastructure projects - includes line & substations additions & upgrades			
27	-	2	(4)	(2)
	Distribution Reliability Projects - includes projects for substation, overhead, and underground equipment			
28	13	15	10	38
	Other Distribution Projects - Safety, Relocation / Conversion, Emergency, and other projects			
29	(16)	(22)	(22)	(60)
	<b>Subtotal, Distribution excluding Customer Construction</b>			
<b><u>New Customer Construction (excl Schedule 3 CIAC)</u></b>				
30	19	28	20	67
	Meters (primarily AMI project)			
31	(6)	(14)	(23)	(43)
	Transformers			
32	(19)	(32)	(91)	(142)
	Service & Line Extensions			
33	1	1	-	2
	Street Light / Dusk-to-Dawn			
34	(5)	(17)	(94)	(116)
	<b>Total New Customer Construction excl Schedule 3 CIAC</b>			
35	(21)	(39)	(116)	(176)
	<b>Total Distribution excluding Schedule 3 CIAC</b>			
36	(83)	(234)	(291)	(608)
	<b>Total Transmission &amp; Distribution</b>			

Arizona Public Service Company  
 Cost Efficiency Program Impact on Construction Expenditures Projection 2009 - 2011  
 \$Millions

line		<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>3 - yr Total</u>
	<b>General Plant</b>				
37	Customer Service information systems	6	7	7	20
38	Distribution operations & work-management systems	23	9	8	40
39	All Other Info Sys Projects - includes infrastructure additions, equipment replacement, and all other Generation, T&D, and Shared Services systems & telecom	3	1	12	16
40	Deer Valley Operations Hub	(63)	(14)	86	9
41	Facilities - includes new service centers, upgrades of existing facilities, and replacements of mechanical equipment, plumbing, etc. at APS facilities.	(44)	(45)	(27)	(116)
42	Other General Plant	2	-	4	6
43	<b>Total General Plant</b>	<b>(73)</b>	<b>(42)</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>(25)</b>
44	<b>Total Change excluding Schedule 3 CIAC</b>	<b>(221)</b>	<b>(335)</b>	<b>(163)</b>	<b>(719)</b>
45	Schedule 3 CIAC	30	50	116	196
46	<b>Total Change including Schedule 3 CIAC</b>	<b>(191)</b>	<b>(285)</b>	<b>(47)</b>	<b>(523)</b>
47	<b>Construction Expenditure Projection as of October 2008</b>	<b>894</b>	<b>708</b>	<b>917</b>	<b>2,519</b>

# Attachment 2

APS Construction Expenditure Projections  
2005 Rate Case Forecast vs 2008 Rate Case Forecast  
\$Millions

line	2005 Rate Case Forecast <sup>A</sup>				2008 Rate Case Forecast <sup>B</sup>				Change				Notes
	(1) 2008	(2) 2009	(3) 2010	(4) 3-Yr Total	(5) 2008	(6) 2009	(7) 2010	(8) 3-Yr Total	(9)-(11) 2008 2009 2010	(12)-(13) 2009 2010	(14) Total		
1	41	59	55	155	93	117	110	320	52	58	55	165	C
2	42	39	21	102	55	78	79	212	13	39	58	110	D
3	179	191	138	508	231	190	181	602	52	(1)	43	94	E
4	222	283	182	687	208	317	288	813	(14)	34	105	126	F
5	307	317	326	950	299	248	260	807	(8)	(59)	(66)	(143)	G
6	133	134	123	390	162	194	154	510	29	60	31	120	H
7	924	1,023	845	2,792	1,048	1,144	1,072	3,264	124	121	227	472	
8					(25)	(59)	(79)	(163)	(25)	(59)	(79)	(163)	
9	<b>924</b>	<b>1,023</b>	<b>845</b>	<b>2,792</b>	<b>1,023</b>	<b>1,085</b>	<b>993</b>	<b>3,101</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>148</b>	<b>309</b>	

A The forecast provided in the 2005 Rate Case (Docket Nos. E-01345A-05-0816, E-01345A-05-0826 and E-01345A-05-0827) as Exhibit 27 was based upon August 26, 2006 projections. This forecast information was subsequently provided in the Financing Hearing (Docket No. E-01345A-06-0779), as Exhibit 4.

B The forecast provided in the 2008 Rate Case (Docket No. E-01345A-08-0172) as Attachment DEB-3 to Donald E. Brandt General Rate Case Testimony, was based on June 2008 projections.

C Changes principally due to increases in the cost of nuclear fuel.

D Changes principally due to changes in timing & scope of various plant improvement projects

E Changes principally due to changes in timing & scope of various plant improvement projects and increases to material prices

F Changes principally due to changes in timing & scope of various transmission projects and increases to material prices and right-of-way costs

G Changes principally due to recognized slower customer growth and efficiency initiative reductions, partially offset by changes in timing & scope of various distribution projects and increases in material prices

H Changes principally due to the addition of the Deer Valley Operations hub and changes in timing & scope of various facilities and information systems projects