

ORIGINAL

OPEN MEETING



0000090050

412

MEMORANDUM

TO: THE COMMISSION

FROM: Utilities Division

DATE: October 29, 2008

RE: IN THE MATTER OF THE COMMISSION'S FIFTH BIENNIAL TRANSMISSION ASSESSMENT ("BTA"), PURSUANT TO A.R.S. § 40-360.02.G, OF THE ADEQUACY OF EXISTING AND PLANNED TRANSMISSION FACILITIES TO MEET ARIZONA'S ENERGY NEEDS IN A RELIABLE MANNER (DOCKET NO. E-00000D-07-0376)

Arizona Revised Statute ("A.R.S.") § 40-360.02.A states "Every person contemplating construction of any transmission line within the state during any ten year period shall file a ten year plan with the commission on or before January 31 of each year." A.R.S. §40-360.02.E states, "The plans shall be reviewed biennially by the commission and the commission shall issue a written decision regarding the adequacy of the existing and planned transmission facilities in this state to meet the present and future energy needs of this state in a reliable manner."

Staff has completed its fifth biennial assessment of Arizona's existing and planned transmission system. Staff filed its report with Docket Control on October 22, 2008, in compliance with the aforementioned statute. Staff's report addresses the adequacy and reliability of Arizona's existing and planned transmission system and offers conclusions and recommendations for the Commission's consideration and action.

This transmission assessment represents the professional opinion of Commission Staff and its Consultant, K.R. Saline and Associates, PLC. The BTA is not an evaluation of individual transmission provider's facilities or quality of service. This BTA report does not set Commission policy and does not recommend specific action for any individual Arizona transmission provider. It assesses the adequacy of Arizona's transmission system to reliably meet existing and future energy needs of the state. Staff concludes in its report that the utility industry has implemented steps to address the regional transmission planning issues, provide transmission enhancements and additions, develop solutions for transmission import constraints in various load pockets, and address local transmission system inadequacies.

Arizona Commission Commission
DOCKETED

OCT 29 2008

DOCKETED BY

AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL

2008 OCT 29 A 10:11

RECEIVED

THE COMMISSION

October 29, 2008

Page 2

Staff recommends that the Commission adopt Staff's Fifth Biennial Transmission Assessment as set forth in Staff's proposed order.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'EGJ', with a long horizontal line extending to the right.

Ernest G. Johnson

Director

Utilities Division

EGJ:PKB:lh\CH

ORIGINATOR: Prem K. Bahl

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

- MIKE GLEASON
Chairman
- WILLIAM A. MUNDELL
Commissioner
- JEFF HATCH-MILLER
Commissioner
- KRISTIN K. MAYES
Commissioner
- GARY PIERCE
Commissioner

IN THE MATTER OF THE COMMISSION'S
 FIFTH BIENNIAL TRANSMISSION
 ASSESSMENT ("BTA"), PURSUANT TO
 THE ADEQUACY OF EXISTING AND
 PLANNED TRANSMISSION FACILITIES
 TO MEET ARIZONA'S ENERGY NEEDS
 IN A RELIABLE MANNER

DOCKET NO. E-00000D-07-0376
 DECISION NO. _____
ORDER

Open Meeting
 To Be Determined
 Phoenix, Arizona

BY THE COMMISSION:

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. The Utilities Division Staff ("Staff") of the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") and its consultant, K.R. Saline and Associates, PLC ("KRSA"), have completed the fifth biennial assessment of Arizona's existing and planned transmission system. The Fifth Biennial Transmission Assessment, 2008-2017 ("BTA", or "assessment") was filed with the Commission on October 22, 2008. The BTA report was also posted on the Commission website at: <http://www.cc.state.az.us/divisions/utilities/electric/biennial.asp>.
2. The Fifth BTA report represents the professional opinion of Staff and its consultant, KRSA. The BTA is not an evaluation of individual transmission providers' facilities or quality of service. The BTA does not set Commission policy and does not recommend specific action for any individual Arizona transmission provider. It assesses the adequacy of Arizona's transmission system to reliably meet existing and future energy needs of the state.

1 3. Staff held two workshops to gather stakeholders' input. The first workshop was
2 held on May 22-23, 2008 and the second workshop was held on September 18, 2008. The
3 comments and presentations submitted at the workshops, materials filed in the docket and
4 subsequent correspondence have been incorporated into the BTA.

5 4. The ten-year transmission plans and study reports filed by the participants with the
6 Commission are necessary to evaluate the adequacy and reliability of the transmission system.
7 Staff was assisted by KRSA in analyzing the technical reports and documents filed by various
8 organizations. The broad spectrum of information and technical reports assembled and reviewed
9 address transmission assessments from a national, western interconnection, regional, state and
10 local utility perspective.

11 5. The Fifth BTA report addresses the adequacy and reliability of Arizona's existing
12 and planned transmission system and offers conclusions and recommendations for the
13 Commission's consideration and action. Staff concludes in its report that the Arizona utility
14 industry has implemented steps to address the regional transmission planning issues, provide
15 transmission enhancements and additions, develop solutions for transmission import constraints in
16 various load pockets, and address local transmission system inadequacies.

17 These conclusions are based upon the following findings:

- 18 a. The existing and proposed Arizona transmission system meets the load serving
19 requirements of the state in a reliable manner. However, plans for the last five years of
20 the period from 2008- 2017 are less well defined than those in the earlier five years. As
21 such there are system performance issues that occasionally still need attention in the
22 last five years. There is still sufficient time to refine the planned improvements to
23 mitigate those concerns.
- 24 b. The 2008 level of preparedness of the three major utilities in Arizona appears to be
25 high and above the norm. None of the concerns in prior summer preparedness Open
26 Meetings over the past decade were present in 2008. The current electric utility system
27 in Arizona is adequate and, based upon the assumptions contained herein, should meet
28 the energy needs of the State of Arizona in 2008 with reliable service.
- c. The existing and planned transmission systems serving the Phoenix, Santa Cruz
County, Tucson, and Yuma areas are adequate and should reliably meet the local
energy needs of the respective areas through 2017. The adequacy level of the
Mohave County system is unclear due to controverted conclusions reached in multiple
publicly available study reports.

- 1
- 2 d. Santa Cruz County and Cochise County are served by radial transmission lines.
- 3 Growing numbers of customers are, therefore, exposed to extended service
- 4 interruptions following the loss of a single transmission line in these two counties. The
- 5 ability of the two area service providers to restore service to customers within a
- 6 reasonable period of time following a transmission line outage has been a long
- 7 standing concern of the Commission. Transmission improvements that assure
- 8 “continuity of service” for loss of a single transmission line is a public policy that
- 9 should be adopted by the service providers to replace the “restoration of service”
- 10 practice present in these two counties.
- 11
- 12 e. The Fourth BTA documented that N-1 contingency violations occurred for loss of the
- 13 Apache to Butterfield 230 kV line, the Butterfield to San Rafael 230 kV line, or the
- 14 Pantano to Kartchner 115 kV line, as reflected in the Southwest Transmission
- 15 Cooperative (“SWTC”) 2015 planning study. The Commission granted SWTC a time
- 16 extension until January 2008 to resolve these three Cochise County N-1 contingency
- 17 violations and to file expansion plans that resolve the issues as part of its 2008-2017
- 18 ten year plan. SWTC’s ten year plan contains a proposal to resolve the issues. Staff
- 19 concludes this proposed new line is not an adequate transmission solution for the N-1
- 20 contingencies because it perpetuates radial transmission service and “restoration of
- 21 service” practices in Cochise County through at least 2026.
- 22
- 23 f. All Commission required studies have been filed. Arizona Public Service (“APS”) and
- 24 Tucson Electric Power (“TEP”) filed Reliability Must Run (“RMR”) studies. Salt River
- 25 Project (“SRP”) filed Southwest Arizona Transmission Study Group (“SWAT”)
- 26 studies that address N-1-1 contingencies and extreme contingency study requirements.
- 27 SRP filed the Study of Pinal County and the SWAT Renewable Transmission Task
- 28 Force (“Task Force”) Report. APS filed a supplemental SWAT Task Force Report to
- address Staff’s concerns. SWTC and TEP have also responded to the requirement that
- they file transmission plans and analyses addressing specific deficiencies described in
- the Fourth BTA.
- g. In general the RMR studies show that each RMR area will have sufficient maximum
- load serving capability to reliably serve the respective area’s load during the next ten
- year period. The RMR studies also indicate local RMR generation will not be
- dispatched out of merit order for significant hours or yield RMR costs sufficient to
- warrant advancing transmission improvements.
- h. A Ten Year Snap Shot Study, and N-1-1 Study, and Extreme Contingency Study were
- performed by the Central Arizona Transmission System (“CATS”) Extra High Voltage
- (“EHV”) study group. TEP also modeled corridor outages and extreme contingencies
- in its RMR studies. The filed studies were thorough and well documented. The
- studies comport with the study effort outlined by Staff. These studies generally
- indicated that the Arizona utilities’ ten year plans are sufficiently robust to provide
- adequate and reliable service to Arizona customers.
- i. The Task Force Report was filed for this BTA in compliance with the fourth BTA
- Order. (Decision No.69389) A supplement to the original report was filed on August

1 6, 2008, in response to the BTA Workshop I request. The original report, the
2 supplement, and the associated BTA Workshop I presentation document the
3 stakeholder process that the Task Force utilized to assemble an industry perspective
4 regarding the renewable energy development potential in Arizona. The industry's
5 response comports with the Commission's Order in the Fourth BTA.

- 6 j. TEP addressed the Fourth BTA's Finding of Fact 6(d) that the "Tucson area RMR
7 requirements could be eliminated and the load area have open access to lower cost
8 resources from the outside market if incremental upgrades are justified."
- 9 k. SWTC filed a ten year plan with a proposed improvement that resolved contingency
10 violations that were reflected in its 2015 planning study.
- 11 l. Six major EHV transmission projects are proposed and have been addressed in this
12 Fifth BTA. Individually and collectively these projects will improve the opportunity
13 for interstate commerce in power transfers: TransWest Express Project, High Plains
14 Express Project, SunZia Project, Diné Power Authority Project, Palo Verde to Devers
15 No. 2 Project and the Palo Verde to North Gila No. 2 Project.
- 16 m. Ongoing planned upgrades to existing EHV facilities will increase the transmission
17 system capability to support increased interstate power transfers and provide reliable
18 transfers within the state of Arizona.
- 19 n. The Seams Issues Subcommittee ("SIS") report finds no specific seams issues that are
20 created by California's Market Redesign and Technology Upgrade ("MRTU") or
21 existing seams issues that are substantially worsened by MRTU implementation.
22 Seams issues exist today, particularly between organized markets such as the
23 California Independent System Operator ("CAISO") and bilateral physical markets that
24 dominate the Western Interconnection. The SIS will continue to monitor, evaluate and
25 propose solutions to all regional seams issues.
- 26 o. This is the first BTA to address planned transmission facilities resulting from the
27 studies performed by the Southeast Arizona Transmission Study ("SATS")
28 Subcommittee. The transmission facilities studied are reflected in the SWTC and TEP
ten year plans. The planned in-service dates for SWTC and TEP projects contemplated
within the ten year planning cycle should be identified in order for proper modeling in
future power flow base cases.
- p. Planning of local transmission improvements (115 kV through 230 kV) has
traditionally been left to the respective transmission providers. As a result of sub
regional collaboration such as that resulting in SATS, and the mandates of the Federal
Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC") Order 890, new local transmission planning
processes are emerging that are open to stakeholders' participation.
- q. The Colorado River Transmission ("CRT") Subcommittee is engaged in its first formal
study as a SWAT Subcommittee. The study is evaluating the Harcuvar Project
interconnection with the Palo Verde to Devers No. 2 500 kV line. It would be helpful
if CRT also addressed the local High Voltage ("HV") needs of Mohave County and

1 Yuma County in conjunction with the EHV transmission interfaces between Arizona,
2 Nevada, and California.

3 RECOMMENDATIONS

4 Staff recommends that the Commission:

5 1. Continue to support use of:

- 6 a. "Guiding Principles for ACC Staff Determination of Electric System
7 Adequacy and Reliability" to aid Staff in its determination of adequacy and
8 reliability of power plant and transmission line projects,
9 b. North American Electric Reliability Corporation ("NERC") and Western
10 Electricity Coordinating Council ("WECC") criteria and FERC policies
11 regarding the transmission system reliability, and,
12 c. Collaborative study activities between transmission providers and merchant
13 plant developers for the purpose of:
14 i. Ensuring consumer benefits of generation additions and cost effective
15 transmission enhancements and interconnections, and
16 ii. Facilitating restructuring of the electric utility industry to reliably serve
17 Arizona consumers at just and reasonable rates via competitive wholesale
18 markets.

17 2. Accept the results of the following studies provided as a part of the Fifth BTA

18 filings:

- 19 a. Compliance with single contingency criteria overlapped with the bulk power
20 system facilities maintenance (N-1-1) criteria for the first year of the analysis
21 period as required by WECC and NERC.
22 b. Extreme contingency outages studied for Arizona's major generation hubs and
23 major transmission stations and associated risks and consequences documented
24 if mitigating infrastructure improvements are not planned.

24 3. Continue to support the policy that generation interconnections should be granted a
25 Certificate of Environmental Compatibility ("CEC") by the Commission only when they meet
26 regional and national reliability criteria and the requirements of Commission decisions.

27 4. Enter the following orders:

28 ...

- 1 a. Arizona transmission providers shall explore formation of sub regional
2 planning forums that assume the responsibility of addressing the local HV and
3 EHV transmission import and RMR conditions in Mohave County and Yuma
4 County. Such study forums shall be compatible with and supportive of FERC
5 Order 890 local transmission provider planning obligations.
- 6 b. APS shall file a Yuma County RMR study report with the next BTA that
7 continues to incorporate the plans of all entities proposing to interconnect and
8 do business in Yuma County.
- 9 c. UNSE and Mohave Electric Cooperative (“MEC”) shall collaborate with other
10 stakeholders and UNSE shall file a Mohave County RMR study report with the
11 next BTA that includes the impacts, influences, and system performance of all
12 proposed local HV and EHV transmission improvements and potential
13 generation interconnections occurring in the area. MEC shall provide all
14 necessary MEC system data to UNSE to perform the RMR study.
- 15 d. Commission regulated electric utilities shall file the SWAT Renewable
16 Transmission Task Force transmission study report and the WestConnect Long
17 Range Planning Study report within 30 days of their respective completion
18 dates in order to supplement the renewable transmission assessments filed with
19 this BTA.
- 20 e. Commission regulated utilities shall continue to perform RMR studies in
21 accordance with the methodology set forth in Appendix C to this Fifth BTA,
22 and shall file such studies with ten year plans for even numbered years for
23 inclusion in future BTA reports
- 24 f. The SATS long range study is envisioned to be completed in 2008. This study
25 is predominantly shaping the ten year plans filed with the Commission for
26 SWTC and TEP. TEP shall file the SATS long range study on behalf of all
27 SATS participants by January 2009.
- 28 g. TEP and SWTC shall resolve all “to be determined” (“TBD”) in-service dates
for facilities envisioned to be constructed within the next ten years. The ten
year plans filed by TEP and SWTC in January 2009 shall incorporate such
resolved in-service dates. Plans that fall beyond the ten year horizon may be
included in subsequent ten year plan filings but shall be identified as not
occurring within the ten year horizon, if a TBD date designation is used.
- h. UNSE shall perform studies and shall file a report of those studies for the next
BTA that establishes a long range system plan for Santa Cruz County that is
founded on the principle of continuity of service following a transmission line
outage. Elements of that system plan shall be incorporated in the UNSE ten
year plan with a defined in-service date and shall be filed with the Commission
in January 2009.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

- i. APS, SSVEC, and TEP shall perform collaborative studies and shall file a report of those studies for the next BTA that establishes a long range system plan for Cochise County that is founded on the principle of continuity of service following a transmission line outage. SWTC shall participate in the study effort as SSVEC's current sole transmission service provider. Relevant elements of that plan shall be incorporated in each transmission service provider's respective ten year plans with defined in-service dates and shall be filed with the Commission in January 2009.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. According to A.R.S. § 40-360.02.A, "Every person contemplating construction of any transmission line within the state during any ten year period shall file a ten year plan with the Commission on or before January 31 of each year."

2. According to A.R.S. §40-360.02.G, "The plans shall be reviewed biennially by the Commission and the Commission shall issue a written decision regarding the adequacy of the existing and planned transmission facilities in this state to meet the present and future energy needs of this state in a reliable manner."

3. The Commission, having reviewed the Fifth Biennial Transmission Assessment 2008-2017, concludes that the assessment complies with A.R.S. §40-360.02.

ORDER

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that the Fifth Biennial Transmission Assessment 2008 - 2017 is hereby issued as the Commission's biennial assessment in accordance with A.R.S. §40-360.02.G.

...
...
...
...
...
...
...
...
...
...

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Staff recommendations contained herein are hereby adopted by the Commission.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Order shall become effective immediately.

BY THE ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

CHAIRMAN	COMMISSIONER	
COMMISSIONER	COMMISSIONER	COMMISSIONER

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, BRIAN C. McNEIL, Executive Director of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have hereunto, set my hand and caused the official seal of this Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix, this _____ day of _____, 2008.

BRIAN C. McNEIL
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

DISSENT: _____

DISSENT: _____

EGJ:PKB:ihm\CH

1 SERVICE LIST FOR: Fifth Biennial Transmission Assessment
2 DOCKET NO. E-00000D-07-0376

3 Mr. Stephen Ahern
4 Residential Utility Consumer Office
5 1110 West Washington, Ste 220
6 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Mr. David Berry
LAW Fund
P.O. Box 1064
Scottsdale, Arizona 85252-1064

6 Mr. Paul Allen
7 Teco Power Services
8 Panda Gila River
9 P.O. Box 111
10 Tampa, Florida 33601-0111

Mr. Ed Beck
Supervisor
Tucson Electric Power Company
P.O. Box 711
Tucson, Arizona 85702

10 Mr. Ali Amirali
11 Calpine Western Region
12 6700 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 200
13 Pleasanton, California 94566

Mr. Steven C. Begay
General Manager
P.O. Box 3239
Window Rock, Arizona 86515

12 Ms. Patricia L. Arons
13 Manager
14 Southern California Edison
15 P.O. Box 800
16 Rosemead, California 91770

Mr. Patrick Black
Fennemore Craig
3003 North Central Avenue, Suite 2600
Phoenix, Arizona 85012-2913

16 Ms. Arlene C. Arviso
17 Program Manager
18 Dine Power Authority
19 P.O. Box 3239
20 Window Rock, Arizona 86515

Mr. R. Leon Bowler
Manager
Dixie Escalante Rural Electric Association
HC 76, Box 95
Beryl, Utah 84714

19 Mr. Ken Bagley
20 Genesse Consulting Group
21 1830 West Calle Escuda
22 Phoenix, Arizona 85085

Ms. Jana Brandt
Salt River Project
P.O. Box 52025
Phoenix, Arizona 85072-2025

22 Ms. Kelly J. Barr
23 Salt River Project
24 P.O. Box 52025
25 Phoenix, Arizona 85072-2025

Ms. Linda P. Brown
8316 Century Park Court
San Diego, California 92123-1582

25 Ms. Linda R. Beals
26 Arizona State Land Department
27 1616 West Adams
28 Phoenix, AZ 85007

Mr. Robert E. Broz
General Manager
Mohave Electric Cooperative, Inc.
P.O. Box 1045
Bullhead City, Arizona 86430

1	Mr. Thomas H. Campbell Lewis and Roca LLP 40 North Central Avenue Phoenix, Arizona 85004-4429	Ms. Rebecca Eickley City of Scottsdale 7447 East Indian School Road Scottsdale, Arizona 85251
2		
3		
4	Mr. Ron Moulton Western Area Power Administration 615 South 43 rd Street P.O. Box 6457 Phoenix, Arizona 85005-6457	Mr. Jeff Palermo Project Manager KEMA, Inc. 4400 Fair Lakes Court Fairfax, Virginia 22033
5		
6		
7	Mr. Perry Cole Trans Elect NTD 3420 North Hillcrest Butte, Montana 59701	Mr. Mark Etherton PDS Consulting, PLC 5420 South Lakeshore Dr., Suite 104 Tempe, Arizona 85283
8		
9		
10	Mr. Brian Cole Pinnacle West Energy Corporation 400 North Fifth Street Phoenix, Arizona 85004	Mr. Bruce Evans Maricopa County Facilities Management 401 West Jefferson Street Phoenix, Arizona 85003
11		
12		
13	Mr. David Couture Tucson Electric Power Company 220 West Sixth Street P.O. Box 711 Tucson, Arizona 85702-0711	Mr. Bruce Evans Southwest Transmission Cooperative P.O. Box 2195 Benson, Arizona 85602
14		
15		
16	Mr. C. Webb Crockett Fennemore Craig, P.C. 3003 North Central Avenue, Suite 2600 Phoenix, Arizona 85012-2913	Ms. Lori Faeth Natural Res. & Envrn Policy Advisor Governor's Office 1700 West Washington Phoenix, Arizona 85007
17		
18		
19	Mr. Cary B. Deise Arizona Public Service Company 502 South Second Avenue Phoenix, Arizona 85003	Mr. Roger K. Ferland Streich Lang, P.A. Renaissance One Two North Central Avenue Phoenix, Arizona 85004-2391
20		
21		
22	Mr. Randy Dietrich Salt River Project P.O. Box 52025 Phoenix, Arizona 85072-2025	Mr. Michael Fletcher Columbus Electric Cooperative, Inc. P.O. Box 631 Deming, New Mexico 88031
23		
24		
25	Mr. W. R. Dusenbury Reliant Energy – Desert Basin P.O. Box 11185 Casa Grande, Arizona 85230	Mr. Doug Fant Power Up Corporation 80 East Columbus Avenue Phoenix, Arizona 85003
26		
27		
28		

1	Mr. Leonard S. Gold 4645 S. Lakeshore Drive, Suite 16	Mr. Steve Lines General Manager Graham County Electric Cooperative, Inc.
2	Tempe, Arizona 85282	Post Office Drawer B
3	Mr. Gregg A. Houtz Deputy Counsel	Pima, Arizona 85543
4	Arizona Department of Water Resources	Mr. Bob Linnsen
5	3550 North Central Avenue	Arizona Power Authority
6	Phoenix, Arizona 85012	1810 West Adams Phoenix, Arizona 85007
7	Mr. Creden W. Huber General Manager	Mr. Sam Lipman
8	Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Coop., Inc.	Desert Energy
9	P.O. Box 820 Willcox, Arizona 85644	13257 North 94 th Place Scottsdale, Arizona 85260
10	Mr. Gary L. Ijams Power Program Manager	Ms. Nancy Loder
11	Central Arizona Project	New West Energy Corporation
12	P.O. Box 43020 Phoenix, Arizona 85080	P.O. Box 61868 Phoenix, Arizona 85082-1868
13	Mr. Don Kimball General Manager	Mr. Robert S. Lynch Attorney
14	Arizona Electric Power Cooperative, Inc.	340 East Palm Lane Phoenix, Arizona 85004
15	P.O. Box 670 Benson, Arizona 85602-0670	Ms. Angel Mayes Bureau of Land Management Sonoran Desert National Monument
16	Ms. Barbara Klemstine Regulation Manager	21605 North 7 th Street Phoenix AZ 85027
17	Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	Mr. Ken McBiles Manager
18	P.O. Box 53999, Station 9909 Phoenix, Arizona 85072	Ajo Improvement Company Post Office Drawer 9 Ajo, Arizona 85321
19	Mr. Robert Kondziolka Manager	Mr. Jeff McGuire P.O. Box 1046 Sun City, Arizona 85372
20	Salt River Project P.O. Box 52025, MS POB100 Phoenix, Arizona 85072-2025	Mr. Mark McWhirter Director, Energy Office Department of Commerce 3800 North Central, Suite 1200 Phoenix, Arizona 85012
21	Mr. Fred A. Lackey Manager	
22	Continental Divide Electric Coop., Inc.	
23	P.O. Box 1087 Grants, New Mexico 87020	
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1 Mr. Steve R. Mendoza, P.E.
Executive VP and Chief Engineer
2 Western Wind Energy Corporation
6619 North Scottsdale Road
3 Scottsdale, Arizona 85250

4 Mr. Jeff Miller
5 Grid Planning Department
California Independent System Operator
6 151 Blue Ravine Road
7 Folsom, California 95630

8 Mr. Jon Merideth
Sierra Southwest Cooperative Services
9 3900 East Broadway
Tucson, Arizona 85711

10 Mr. Jay I. Moyes
11 Moyes Storey
12 3003 North Central, Suite 1250
Phoenix, Arizona 85012

13 Mr. Douglas C. Nelson
14 7000 North 16th Street
15 Suite 120, PMB 307
Phoenix, Arizona 85020

16 Mr. Frederick Ochsenhirt
17 Dickstein Shapiro Morin & Oshinsky
2101 L Street NW
18 Washington D.C. 20037

19 Mr. Mike Palmer
20 604 Hovland
Bisbee, Arizona 85603

21 Mr. Greg Patterson
22 Competitive Power Alliance
23 916 West Adams, Suite 3
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

24 Mr. Greg Ramon
25 TECO Energy
26 P.O. Box 111
Tampa, Florida 33603

27
28

Mr. Paul Rasmussen
Arizona Dept. of Environmental Quality
1110 West Washington
Phoenix, Arizona 85007-2952

Mr. Charles Reinhold
WestConnect
P.O. Box 88
Council, Idaho 83612

Mr. Wayne Retzlaff
General Manager
Navopache Electric Cooperative, Inc.
P.O. Box 308
Lakeside, Arizona 85929

Mr. Anthony H. Rice, P.E.
MWH Energy & Infrastructure, Inc.
4820 South Mill Avenue, Ste 202
Tempe, Arizona 85282

Ms. Vicki Sandler
Arizona Independent Scheduling Admin.
P.O. Box 6277
Phoenix, Arizona 85009

Mr. W. Patrick Schiffer, Chief Counsel
Arizona Department of Water Resources
500 North Third Street
Phoenix, Arizona 85004

Mr. George M. Seitts
Energy Office Director
1700 West Washington, Suite 220
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Mr. Jack Shilling
General Manager
Duncan Valley Electric Cooperative, Inc.
P.O. Box 440
Duncan, Arizona 85534

Mr. H. Max Shilstone
Duke Energy North America
Arlington Valley Energy
5200 Westheimer Court
Houston, Texas 77056-5310

1 Mr. Chuck Skidmore
City of Scottsdale
2 P.O. Box 4189
3 Scottsdale, Arizona 85261

4 Mr. Dick Silverman
Salt River Project
5 P.O. Box 52025
6 Phoenix, Arizona 85072-2025

7 Mr. John Simpson
P.O. Box 286
8 Houston, Texas 77001

9 Mr. John Lucas
Arizona Public Service Company
10 502 South Second Street
11 Phoenix, Arizona 85003

12 Mr. Michael Sparks
Reliant Energy
13 P.O. Box 286
14 Houston, Texas 77001

15 Mr. Rob Speers
MWH Energy & Infrastructure, Inc.
16 4820 South Mill Ave
17 Tempe, AZ 85282

18 Mr. Bill Sullivan
Martinez and Curtis
19 2712 North 7th Street
20 Phoenix AZ 85006-1090

21 Mr. Kenneth C. Sundlof
Jennings, Strouss & Salmon, PLC
22 Collier Center, 11th Floor
23 201 E Washington St
Phoenix AZ 85004-2385

24 Mr. Dennis True
UniSource Energy Services
25 4255 Stockton Hill Road, Suite 3
26 P.O. Box 3099
27 Kingman AZ 96401
28

Ms. Patricia van Midde
22006 North 55th Street
Phoenix, Arizona 85054

Ms. Jennie Vega
Arizona Public Service Company
P.O. Box 53999
Phoenix, Arizona 85072-3999

Ms. Laurie A. Woodall
K.R. Saline and Associates, PLC
160 North Pasadena, Suite 101
Mesa, Arizona 85201

Mr. Robert Walther
Industrial Power Technologies
2227 Capricorn Way, Suite 101
Santa Rosa, California 95407

Honorable Mike Whalen
Mesa City Council
P.O. Box 1466
Mesa, Arizona 85211

Mr. Tom Wray
Southwestern Power Group
3610 N. 44th St, Suite 250
Phoenix, Arizona 85018

Mr. Pete Wright
Gila Bend Power Partners
5949 Sherry Lane, Suite 1880
Dallas, TX 75225

Mr. Mark Zora
PPL Energy Plus
45 Basin Creek Road
Butte MT 59701

Mr. John Foreman
Office of the Attorney General
1275 West Washington
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Patricia A. Noland
Clerk of the Superior Court
4851 West Gates Pass Road
Tucson, Arizona 85745

1 David L. Eberhart, PE
Thunderbird Consulting Group
2 6801 West Astor
3 Peoria, Arizona 85361

4 Jim Arwood
Arizona Dept of Environmental Quality
5 State Capitol Executive Tower
1700 West Washington St
6 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

7 Mike Biesemeyer
8 Line Siting Committee
3350 N. Arizona Ave, Suite 10
9 Chandler, Arizona 85225

10 Mike Palmer
11 Line Siting Committee
604 Hovland
12 Bisbee, Arizona 85603

13 Barry Wong
14 Line Siting Committee
5025 N. Central Ave, #621
15 Phoenix, Arizona 85012

16 Mr. Ernest G. Johnson
Director, Utilities Division
17 Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 West Washington Street
18 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

19 Ms. Janice M. Alward
20 Chief Counsel, Legal Division
Arizona Corporation Commission
21 1200 West Washington Street
22 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

23
24
25
26
27
28