

에는 그 가지 않는 것 같은 것 같	zona Corporation Commission DOCKETED
MIKE GLEASON, Chairman WILLIAM A. MUNDELL JEFF HATCH-MILLER KRISTIN K. MAYES GARY PIERCE	
In the matter of:	DOCKET NO. S-20605A-08-0377
Richard Bradford (CRD# 2706290) and Cindy Bradford (a.k.a. Cindy White), husband and wife;	DECISION NO. <u>70545</u> ORDER TO CEASE AND DESIST, ORDER FOR RESTITUTION AND FOR ADMINISTRATIVE PENALTIES
Respondent.	RE: RICHARD BRADFORD

Commission ("Commission") filed a Notice of Opportunity for Hearing Regarding Proposed Order to Cease and Desist, Order for Restitution and For Administrative Penalties, and for Other Affirmative Action ("Notice") against Richard Bradford (CRD# 2706290) and Cindy Bradford (a.k.a. Cindy White), husband and wife.

The Notice was personally served on Respondent Richard Bradford ("BRADFORD" or "Respondent") on July 23, 2008. BRADFORD failed to request an administrative hearing within 10 days after receipt of the Notice, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1972 and A.A.C. Rule R14-4-306. BRADFORD failed to file an Answer within 30 days of service of the Notice, pursuant to A.A.C. Rule R14-4-305.

The Notice was personally served on Cindy Bradford ("C. BRADFORD") on July 31, 2008. C. BRADFORD is not subject to this Order.

1 I. 2 **FINDINGS OF FACT** 1. 3 Richard Bradford ("BRADFORD") is an individual residing in Maricopa County, Arizona. 4 2. 5 BRADFORD may be referred to as "Respondent", as the context so requires. 3. At all times relevant, BRADFORD was acting for his own benefit and for the benefit 6 7 and in furtherance of the marital community. 4 BRADFORD and C. BRADFORD were married for all times relevant. 8 9 BRADFORD and C. BRADFORD entered into a Consent Decree of Dissolution of Marriage on March 3, 2008 in Maricopa County No. FN2007-092470. 10 5. At all times relevant, Respondent was not registered with the Commission as a 11 12 dealer or salesman. BRADFORD (CRD# 2706290) has not been a registered salesman since 2001 and has never been a registered dealer. 13 6. The securities offered and/or sold by BRADFORD are not registered with the 14 15 Commission. 7. At all times relevant, BRADFORD was not licensed as an Investment Adviser or 16 Investment Adviser Representative. 17 8. 18 Potential Investor(s) ("PI") or LLC member(s) may be referred to individually or 19 collectively as "investor(s)," as the context so requires. 9. From on or about March 1, 2006, BRADFORD publicly offered and sold 20 unregistered securities in the form of investment contracts, within or from Arizona. 21 10. 22 From on or about March 1, 2006, BRADFORD solicited PIs, in or from Arizona, to 23 pool money for an investment fund that would invest in stocks and various securities that would be chosen and managed by BRADFORD and the returns would be based on his decisions, 24 25 management, and efforts. The investment fund was commonly referred to by BRADFORD as a 26 "Hedge Fund" called Fishing Partners-Salmon.

111. Profits were to be paid out to each investor proportionate to their percentage of2contribution.

12. BRADFORD represented to at least one investor that:

3

8

9

14

15

16

17

a) He was an experienced and "licensed" securities salesman who was featured
on CNN;

b) He had managed large funds in the past, making minimum returns of 30% or
7 greater annually;

c) The investment provided low risk of devaluation or losses because BRADFORD "never lost money";

10 d) The proposed fund would be highly liquid at all times to allow investors to 11 cash out easily upon request; and

e) That he was currently managing a separate multimillion dollar fund for the
famous billionaire investor T-Boone Pickens.

13. However, none of the representations were in fact true.

14. BRADFORD represented to at least one investor not to worry about losing his principal as BRADFORD was worth millions and could guarantee the funds. However, when losses did arise, BRADFORD did not repay the investor his principal or interest upon request.

18 15. BRADFORD represented to at least one investor that he would guarantee a
19 minimum of 20% annual return; however the investor has not received such a return and his
20 investment has decreased in value.

21 16. Prior to investment, BRADFORD represented to at least one investor that monthly
22 audited statements would be provided to the investor by an accountant. However, all statements
23 submitted to investors after investing were created by BRADFORD in the form of emails and
24 spreadsheet account summaries and are not audited.

25 17. On or about March 7, 2006 the Fishing Partners – Salmon, LLC ("FPS LLC") was
26 formed in the State of Arizona. FPS LLC was formed to allow BRADFORD to manage the

3

investor funds and to provide investors with an interest in the fund. Two investors were listed as members of the FPS LLC at this time.

18. Pursuant to public records of the Commission, at all times relevant BRADFORD was the manager of FPS LLC.

19. On or about March 8, 2006, BRADFORD opened a brokerage account in FPS LLC's name with Scottrade, Inc., ("Scottrade Account"), an online brokerage firm, to deposit the pooled money which enabled BRADFORD to, including but not limited to, trade stocks and options, use margin trading (to use borrowed funds to employ trades or trading strategies), and write authorized checks.

20. On or about March 9, 2006, at least one investor's monies were deposited into the Scottrade Account to allow BRADFORD to manage and trade.

21. BRADFORD was the only individual authorized to transact and access the Scottrade Account for all times relevant.

22. None of the investors managed or participated in the management of the Scottrade Account.

23. A formal FPS LLC Operating Agreement ("Operating Agreement") was drafted to memorialize the legal rights and agreements of BRADFORD, FPS LLC and each investor. Investors would be given "unit certificates" representing a certain number of shares of FPS LLC that corresponded with their respective interest in the fund based on their percentage of contribution.

24. The Operating Agreement stated that FPS LLC was formed for the purpose of operating a financial services company and any other lawful business.

25. All profits would inure to FPS LLC and then be paid out annually or as determined by a majority of members, to investors in proportion to their interest as reflected in the "unit certificate."

Decision No.

26. The Operating Agreement was executed by six (6) total investors (the two original 1 2 March 7, 2006 LLC members plus 4 additional investors), who were combined and all became members of the FPS LLC, which became effective as of May 1, 2006. The investors each received 3 4 a "unit certificate" document evidencing their respective interest based on their percentage of 5 contribution.

27. Pursuant to the Operating Agreement, BRADFORD as Manager, was vested the power to manage and control the business affairs of FPS LLC as evidenced by the "Powers of the Manager(s)" enumerated in the Operating Agreement Section VII, subsection (A) and (B) respectively, including but not limited to, the ability to: 9

a) "To engage in any activity and to execute, perform, and carry out the 10 purchase or sale of investments, execution of trades, contracts or agreements of any kind [...]."; 11

"To sell, exchange, convey, lease, or otherwise dispose of all or part of any b) 12 personal Company property [...]" with approval by at least 60% of members: 13

14 c) "To acquire by purchase, lease, exchange, or otherwise any Company tangible or intangible asset [...]."; 15

"[...], to lend money and receive debt instruments [...] on behalf of the d) 16 17 Company for any approved Company purpose [...]";

18 e) "To employ agents, employees, [and other third parties to carry out the Company business]"; and 19

f)

20

21

22

23

24

6

7

8

"To invest and reinvest, on behalf of the Company, Company funds [...]."

28. In addition, the FPS LLC Operating Agreement Section VII, subsection (F) stated that no individual member shall take part in the control or management of FPS LLC business.

29. BRADFORD executed hundreds of trades within the Scottrade Account on almost a daily basis and made decisions, such as the advisability of investing in the type of and which security. 25

5

26

30. BRADFORD and FPS LLC, through its members, agreed that the compensation for his management and services would equate to (i) a one-time management fee equal to 2% of the capital investment made by the investor and (ii) 20% of all profits made by the "Hedge Fund" to be paid quarterly and no unauthorized withdrawals by BRADFORD were allowed. This was memorialized in the Operating Agreement.

31. All investment services and advice provided by BRADFORD would be paid as part of the above compensation structure as there were no other contracts between the parties.

32. For all times relevant, BRADFORD had custody of the investor's assets as the sole applicant on the FPS Scottrade Account and sole party to transact and manage the pooled investor funds.

33. BRADFORD delivered periodic unaudited statements to investors showing individual balances and year-to-date gains exceeding 30% (and some higher than 100%) to investors; however the balances and year-to-date gains submitted did not reflect the true and actual account balances and gains, which were far below the values represented by BRADFORD and were actually negative.

34. On or about August 15, 2007, based on the statements showing profits and positive returns, at least one investor purchased additional FPS membership interests of \$250,000 ("Additional Investment") and his monies were deposited into the Scottrade Account.

35. Prior to the deposit of the Additional Investment, the Scottrade Account balance was less than \$500.

36. Approximately 7 days following the Additional Investment deposit, BRADFORD wrote a check for \$70,000 from the Scottrade Account to a different investor who had been requesting liquidation.

37. BRADFORD failed to disclose to investors that the funds received would be used to pay other investors.

 1
 38. BRADFORD represented to at least one investor that they could easily liquidate

 2
 upon request.

39. Multiple investors, who requested liquidation were unsuccessful and received nothing. The Scottrade Account held almost all positions on margin and the Scottrade Account balances were far below their reported statement balances provided by BRADFORD to investors.

40. BRADFORD wrote checks and/or withdrew funds from the Scottrade Account over 30 times from the period of March 2006 through November 2007 in violation of the Operating Agreement and without authorization. Some of the checks and/or withdrawals were marked as earned fees or commissions by BRADFORD when in fact no fees or commission were earned pursuant to the Operating Agreement since no net profits were realized. Checks were made out to BRADFORD, who deposited them into his personal banking accounts to pay for credit cards and other miscellaneous purchases.

13 41. BRADFORD raised at least \$1,298,416.36 from at least 6 investors. At least 6
14 investors also paid a one-time management fee to BRADFORD equal to 2% of their principal.
15 These payments were in exchange for an interest in the fund and services provided by
16 BRADFORD.

42. On or about November 30, 2007, by majority approval of the investors/members, BRADFORD was replaced as the Manager of FPS LLC. This amendment was filed with the Commission on February 15, 2008.

## II.

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

43. The Commission has Jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to Article XV of the
Arizona Constitution, the Securities Act and the Investment Management Act.

24 44. The Respondent did not request a hearing pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1972 and A.A.C.
25 R14-4-307.

7

26

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

17

18

19

20

21

45. The Respondent did not answer the Notice pursuant to Pursuant to A.A.C. R14-4-305.

46. Respondent offered or sold securities within or from Arizona, within the meaning of A.R.S. §§ 44-1801(15), 44-1801(21), and 44-1801(26).

47. Respondent violated A.R.S. § 44-1841 by offering or selling securities that were neither registered nor exempt from registration.

48. Respondent violated A.R.S. § 44-1842 by offering or selling securities while neither registered as a dealer or salesman nor exempt from registration.

49. Respondent violated A.R.S. § 44-1991 in connection with the offer or sale of securities within or from Arizona, Respondent directly or indirectly: (i) employed a device, scheme, or artifice to defraud; (ii) made untrue statements of material fact or omitted to state material facts that were necessary in order to make the statements made not misleading in light of the circumstances under which they were made; or (iii) engaged in transactions, practices, or courses of business that operated or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon offerees and investors. Respondent's conduct includes the following:

a) Misrepresented to at least one investor that he was a "licensed" securities salesman when in fact he was not. BRADFORD has not been registered as a salesman since 2001 and has never been a registered dealer;

b) Delivered periodic unaudited statements to investors showing individual balances and year-to-date gains exceeding 30% (and some higher than 100%) to investors; however the balances and year-to-date gains submitted did not reflect the true and actual account balances and gains, which were far below the values represented by BRADFORD and were actually negative;

c) Misrepresented to at least one investor not to worry about losing their principal as he was worth millions and could guarantee the funds. However, when losses did arise, BRADFORD did not repay the investor their principal or interest upon request;

d) Misrepresented that he was a current trader for T. Boone Pickens and 1 managed a multimillion dollar fund when in fact he was not and never had been a trader or fund 2 manager for T. Boone Pickens; 3

Failed to disclose that investor funds received would be used to pay other e) 4 5 investors; and

f) Failed to disclose that FPS Scottrade Account funds would be withdrawn for personal use when no authority or authorization was obtained or given and BRADFORD marked some withdrawals as earned fees or commissions, when in fact no fees or commissions were earned pursuant to the Operating Agreement since no net profits were realized;

50. Respondent violated A.R.S. § 44-3151 by transacting business in Arizona as an investment adviser or investment adviser representative while not licensed or in compliance with Article 4 of the IM Act.

51. Respondent violated A.R.S. § 44-3241 by having engaged in a transaction or transactions within or from Arizona involving the provision of investment advisory services in 14 which BRADFORD are, directly or indirectly: (i) employing a device, scheme, or artifice to 16 defraud; (ii) making untrue statements of material fact or omitting to state material facts that are necessary in order to make the statements made not misleading in light of the circumstances under which they are made; (iii) misrepresenting professional qualifications with the intent that the client 18 19 rely on the misrepresentation; or (iv) engaging in transactions, practices, or courses of business that 20 operate or would operate as a fraud or deceit. Respondent's conduct includes the following:

a) Delivered periodic unaudited statements showing individual balances and year-to-date gains exceeding 30% (and some higher than 100%) to investors; however the balances and year-to-date gains submitted did not reflect the true and actual account balances and gains, which were far below the stated values and were actually negative; and

9

26

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

17

21

22

23

24

b) Misappropriated investment funds for personal use and marked some withdrawals as earned fees or commissions, when in fact no fees or commissions were earned pursuant to the Operating Agreement since no net profits were realized.

52. Respondent's conduct is grounds for a cease and desist order pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2032 and 44-3292.

53. Respondent's conduct is grounds for a restitution order pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 44-2032 and 44-3292.

54. Respondent's conduct is grounds for administrative penalties under A.R.S. §§ 44-2036 and 44-3292.

55. Respondent's conduct binds the marital community pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 25-214 and 25-215.

III.

## ORDER

THEREFORE, on the basis of the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, the Commission finds that the following relief is appropriate, in the public interest, and necessary for the protection of investors:

IT IS ORDERED, pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 44-2032 and 44-3292, that Respondent, his agents, employees, successors and assigns, permanently cease and desist from violating the Securities Act. Respondent shall not sell any securities within or from Arizona without being registered in Arizona as a dealer or salesman, or exempt from such registration. Respondent shall not sell securities within or from Arizona unless the securities are registered in Arizona or exempt from registration. Respondent shall not transact business in Arizona as an investment adviser or an investment adviser representative unless licensed in Arizona or exempt from licensure.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 44-2032 and 44-3292, that Respondent shall pay restitution to investors shown on the records of the Commission in the amount of \$1,298,416.36, plus interest at the rate of 10% per annum from the date of the

investment. Payment shall be made by cashier's check or money order payable to the "State of
Arizona" to be placed in an interest-bearing account maintained and controlled by the
Commission. The Commission shall disburse the funds on a pro rata basis to investors. Any funds
that the Commission is unable to disburse shall be transferred to the general fund of the state of
Arizona. If Respondent does not comply with this order of restitution, any outstanding balance
shall be in default and shall be immediately due and payable.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 44-2036 and 44-3296, that Respondent shall pay administrative penalties in the amount of \$100,000. Payment shall be made in full by cashier's check or money order on the date of this Order, payable to the "State of Arizona." Any amount outstanding shall accrue interest at the rate of 10% per annum from the date of this Order until paid in full.

11

7

8

9

10

11

....

. . . .

. . . .

. . . .

. . . .

....

وأنقرو

. . . .

. . . .

. . . .

. . . .

....

. . . .

ور فر و

. . . .

24

25

Decision No. 70545

1	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if Respondent fails to comply with this Order, the
2	Commission may bring further legal proceedings against the Respondent, including application to
3	the Superior Court for an order of contempt.
4	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Order shall become effective immediately.
5	
6	BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
7	/ Innin MM
8	CHAIRMAN COMMISSIONER
9 9	
10	MALINA ZAL - CLY
	COMMISSIONER COMMISSIONER COMMISSIONER
	0
12	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, BRIAN C. McNEIL, Executive Director of the Arizona
13	Corporation Commission, have hereunto set my
14	hand and caused the official seal of the Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the
15	City of Phoenix, this <u>gen</u> day of October, 2008.
16	
17	$V \sim I$
18	BRANC MONEU
19	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
20	
21	
22	DISSENT
23	
24	DISSENT
25	
26	This document is available in alternative formats by contacting Linda Hogan, Executive Assistant to the Executive Director, voice phone number 602-542-3931, E-mail lhogan@azcc.gov
20	PTH The second se
	12 Decision No. 70545
	[[에이 이들 사이는 이번 행동 - 유민이 가슴 모두 가슴 동안 방법] - 이는 것은 사람은 가슴 가슴 가슴 관람이 있는 것은 것은 것이 가슴 방법을 즐길 때