



0000089048

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

COMMISSIONERS

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

SEP 30 2008

MIKE GLEASON - Chairman  
WILLIAM A. MUNDELL  
JEFF HATCH-MILLER  
KRISTIN K. MAYES  
GARY PIERCE

DOCKETED BY

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF  
NORTH MOHAVE VALLEY CORPORATION  
FOR AN EXTENSION OF ITS CERTIFICATE  
OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY TO  
PROVIDE WATER SERVICE IN MOHAVE  
COUNTY, ARIZONA.

DOCKET NO. W-02259A-07-0434

DECISION NO. 70529

OPINION AND ORDER

DATE OF HEARING:

December 13, 2007 (Public Comment)  
January 31, 2008 (Evidentiary Hearing)

PLACE OF HEARING:

Phoenix, Arizona

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:

Yvette B. Kinsey

APPEARANCES:

Mr. Terrence S. Leek, Terrence S. Leek, P.C., on behalf  
of North Mohave Valley Corporation; and

Ms. Robin Mitchell, Staff Attorney, Legal Division, on  
behalf of the Utilities Division of the Arizona  
Corporation Commission.

**BY THE COMMISSION:**

On July 23, 2007, North Mohave Valley Corporation ("NMVC" or "Applicant") filed with the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") an application for an extension of its Certificate of Convenience and Necessity ("CC&N") to provide water service in Mohave County, Arizona.

On August 22, 2007, Staff filed a Letter of Insufficiency in this docket indicating that NMVC had not met the sufficiency requirements as outlined in the Arizona Administrative Code ("A.A.C.").

On August 27, 2007, NMVC filed an amended legal description.

On August 30, 2007, NMVC filed Proof of Publication.

On September 19, 2007, NMVC filed its Response to Staff's Insufficiency Letter.

On October 16, 2007, Staff filed a Sufficiency Letter in this docket, indicating NMVC's application had met the sufficiency requirements set forth in the A.A.C.

1 On October 30, 2007, by Procedural Order, a hearing was scheduled for December 13, 2007,  
2 public notice ordered, and other filing dates established.

3 On October 30, 2007, Staff filed a Motion to extend the deadline from November 16, 2007 to  
4 December 17, 2007, to file a Staff Report. Staff's request for additional time stated Staff needed  
5 more time to evaluate the issues raised in this proceeding.

6 On November 16, 2007, by Procedural Order, Staff's Motion to extend the deadline for filing  
7 its Staff Report was granted and the Procedural Order stated that other procedural deadlines would be  
8 reset in a subsequent Procedural Order.

9 On November 30, 2007, NMVC informed the Hearing Division that Notice of the December  
10 13, 2007 hearing date had been mailed to the property owners in the proposed extension area and  
11 published in a newspaper of general circulation.

12 On November 30, 2007, by Amended Procedural Order, the hearing originally set for  
13 December 13, 2007, was reset to commence on January 31, 2008, and the timeclock was extended.  
14 The December 13, 2007, hearing date was reserved for public comment.

15 On December 5, 2007, NMVC filed proof of publication in accordance with the Amended  
16 Procedural Order dated November 30, 2007. Applicant also certified that a copy of the Public Notice  
17 of Hearing was mailed to each property owner or property owner representative.

18 On December 13, 2007, a public comment session was held. No members of the public  
19 appeared to give comments.

20 On December 17, 2007, Staff filed a Staff Report recommending that the Commission  
21 approve NMVC's application for extension of its current CC&N, subject to certain conditions.

22 On January 31, 2008, the evidentiary portion of the hearing was held. The Applicant  
23 appeared through counsel and presented testimony. Staff appeared through counsel and presented  
24 evidence and testimony at the hearing. No members of the public appeared to give public comment.  
25 At the conclusion of the hearing, the parties were directed to file late-filed exhibits regarding  
26 testimony given during the hearing.

27 On February 5, 2008, by Procedural Order, Staff was ordered to docket a copy of the  
28 Approval of Construction ("AOC") issued by the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality

1 (“ADEQ”) that was previously submitted by NMVC as well as a copy of the Commission Decision  
2 granting NMVC an extension of its CC&N to include Section 33. The Applicant was ordered to file  
3 its most recent Compliance Status Report from ADEQ and documentation from Bullhead City  
4 (“City”) showing the City will provide sewer service.

5 On February 19, 2008, Staff filed a copy of Commission Decision No. 57989 (August 26,  
6 1992), which granted NMVC an extension of its CC&N to include Section 33. Staff stated that a  
7 review of the Company’s compliance history indicated that it is in compliance with Decision No.  
8 57989. Staff also attached the AOC from ADEQ.

9 On March 4, 2008, Staff filed, on behalf of the Applicant, an ADEQ Compliance Status  
10 Report dated June 22, 2004, showing NMVC was in compliance. Staff also docketed on the same  
11 date, a letter from Bullhead City showing the City will provide sewer service in the proposed  
12 extension areas.

13 On May 15, 2008, by Procedural Order, NMVC was directed to file, as a late-filed exhibit, a  
14 sworn and notarized document from the developers detailing the developers’ plans for the proposed  
15 extension area, including a description of the various stages of development, and the timing and size  
16 of each development phase.

17 On June 5, 2008, Staff filed a Notice of Filing which included NMVC’s most recent ADEQ  
18 Drinking Water Compliance Status Report, showing that ADEQ had determined NMVC had major  
19 deficiencies for monitoring and reporting.

20 On June 11, 2008, NMVC filed a letter in response to the May 15, 2008 Procedural Order,  
21 stating that the developers in the proposed extension areas have no plans for development under the  
22 current market conditions and that the State Trust Land Department’s development plans will depend  
23 on market recovery.

24 On June 23, 2008, by Procedural Order, Staff was directed to file a Supplemental Staff Report  
25 addressing NMVC’s non-compliance with ADEQ, and the lack of development timeframes for the  
26 proposed extension area, on or before July 21, 2008. Additionally, NMVC was directed to file a  
27 Response to the Supplemental Staff Report on or before August 1, 2008, and the timeclock was  
28 extended accordingly.

1 On July 17, 2008, NMVC filed a letter in response to the June 23, 2008, Procedural Order,  
2 stating that there are no major deficiencies for its water system and that the ADEQ non-compliance  
3 issues are administrative in nature.

4 On July 21, 2008, Staff filed a Supplemental Staff Report revising its original  
5 recommendation for approval of NMVC's application to recommending either denial of the  
6 application or the issuance of an Order Preliminary.

7 On September 4, 2008, Staff docketed a Notice of Filing containing an ADEQ Compliance  
8 Status Report dated for the same date, showing NMVC's water system has no major deficiencies and  
9 is currently delivering water that meets the water quality standards required by A.A.C.

10 After receipt of the late-filed exhibits, the matter was taken under advisement pending  
11 submission of a Recommended Opinion and Order to the Commission.

12 \* \* \* \* \*

13 Having considered the entire record herein and being fully advised in the premises, the  
14 Commission finds, concludes, and orders that:

15 **FINDINGS OF FACT**

- 16 1. NMVC is a public service corporation, authorized to provide water utility service  
17 within Bullhead City, Arizona.
- 18 2. NMVC is in good standing with the Corporations Division of the Commission.
- 19 3. NMVC currently serves approximately 2,000 customers within its existing six square  
20 miles of Certificated area.
- 21 4. On July 23, 2007, NMVC filed an application with the Commission for an extension  
22 of its water CC&N to serve an additional two-square mile area.
- 23 5. NMVC's application initially requested to extend its CC&N to include parcels in  
24 Section 16, Section 28 and Section 33, in Township 21 North, Range 21 West, in Mohave County,  
25 Arizona.
- 26 6. In reviewing the application, Staff determined that Section 33 had previously been  
27  
28

1 granted to NMVC in Commission Decision No. 57989 (August 26, 1992).<sup>1</sup> Therefore, Section 33  
2 is not the subject of this application.

3         7.         The proposed extension area consists of two parcels located in Sections 16 and 28,  
4 Township 21 North, Range 21 West, in Mohave County, Arizona, and is more fully described in  
5 Exhibit A, attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference.

6         8.         NMVC has received requests for service from two developers in Section 16. The State  
7 Trust Land Department owns Section 28 and has requested to be included in NMVC's proposed  
8 extension area.

9         9.         NMVC's witness testified that Section 28 is planned residential and will be developed  
10 under a "suburban overlay." He testified a suburban overlay means that Bullhead City has required  
11 that the area be a minimum of 160 acres with minimum lot sizes of one acre. (Tr. Pg. 18, lines 12-25)  
12 According to NMVC's witness, Section 28 will include a small amount of commercial property and  
13 some multi-family development, but 70-80 percent will be single family units.  
14 (Tr. Pg. 19, lines 16-25)

15         10.        NMVC's witness stated that Section 16 is planned to be used for low density  
16 residential use, with approximately one home per one and a half acres. (Tr. Pg. 19, lines 1-15)

17         11.        NMVC anticipates it will serve 600 to 700 new customers in the first five years in the  
18 proposed extension area. (Tr. Pg. 9, lines 1-4)

19         12.        Staff initially recommended approval of NMVC's application, subject to certain  
20 conditions.

21         13.        On June 11, 2008, NMVC filed a letter as a late-filed exhibit explaining that the two  
22 developers in Section 16 "have no plans at this time to move forward with development due to the  
23 current market conditions." The letter further stated that "the best guess" for development in Section  
24 16 is early 2009 and that the State Trust Land Department's plans for development for Section 28 will  
25 also "depend on market recovery."

26         14.        On July 21, 2008, Staff filed a Supplemental Staff Report and revised its original  
27

28 

---

<sup>1</sup> Docket No. U-2259-90-239

1 recommendation for approval of the application. Staff now recommends that the Commission either  
 2 deny NMVC's application or issue an Order Preliminary for the CC&N extension area, subject to the  
 3 following conditions:

- 4 1. That NMVC file with the Commission's Docket Control, as a compliance item  
 5 in this docket, a copy of the ADEQ Approval of Construction for the new well  
 to serve the proposed extension area, no later than December 31, 2008.
- 6 2. That NMVC file with Docket Control, as a compliance item in this docket,  
 7 documentation from ADEQ that NMVC is in full compliance with ADEQ and  
 8 is delivering water that meets all safe drinking water standards, no later than  
 December 31, 2008.
- 9 3. That NMVC file with the Commission's Docket Control, the ADEQ ATC for  
 10 the first subdivision in each of the areas owned by the two individuals  
 requesting service as part of this application.
- 11 4. That after NMVC complies with Requirement Nos. 1, 2, and 3, NMVC shall  
 12 make a filing with Docket Control stating it has complied. Within 30 days of  
 13 such filing, Staff shall file a response. The Commission should schedule this  
 14 item for a vote to grant the CC&N as soon as possible after Staff's filing that  
 15 confirms NMVC's compliance with Requirement Nos. 1, 2, and 3. If NMVC  
 does not comply with any one of the requirements listed above within the time  
 specified, the Order Preliminary shall be null and void, and this docket shall be  
 closed.
- 16 5. That once the final CC&N has been granted, NMVC shall file a copy of the  
 17 Arizona Department of Water Resources' ("ADWR") Water Adequacy Report,  
 18 i.e., Letter of Adequate Water Supply, for each subdivision in the requested  
 areas, when received by NMVC, but no later than 30 days after the issuance by  
 ADWR.

### 19 Existing Water System

20 15. NMVC's current water system is comprised of seven drinking water wells, with a  
 21 combined production capacity of 1,098 gallons per minute ("GPM"); seven storage tanks, with a  
 22 combined capacity of 2.5 million gallons; a booster pump station and distribution system serving  
 23 2,000 customers.<sup>2</sup>

24 16. NMVC has experienced an annual growth rate of over 112 connections in the past  
 25 eight years.<sup>3</sup>

26 17. Based on the water usage data submitted by NMVC for the time period of  
 27

28 <sup>2</sup> Engineering Report dated November 8, 2007.

<sup>3</sup> Ibid.

1 June 2006 through June 2007, the daily average consumption in peak months was approximately  
2 605 gallons per day (“GPD”).<sup>4</sup>

3 18. Staff concluded that NMVC’s existing system does not have adequate capacity to  
4 serve its existing customers.

5 19. NMVC’s witness testified that subsequent to filling the application in this matter,  
6 NMVC received the ATC and the AOC for Well No. 10. Well No. 10 will have a 500 GPM  
7 production capacity. Staff concluded that with the addition of Well No. 10, NMVC will have  
8 adequate production capacity to serve both existing customers and those in the proposed extension  
9 area.<sup>5</sup>

10 20. NMVC’s witness testified that Well No. 10 has been constructed and paid for by  
11 NMVC. (Tr. Pg. 27, lines 5-8)

12 21. Staff concluded that NMVC can be reasonably expected to develop the additional  
13 capacity needed to meet future growth.

14 22. NMVC submitted costs of approximately \$300,000 to construct Well No. 10 and 650  
15 feet of 20-inch casing.

16 23. Staff reviewed the costs for the construction of Well No. 10 and concluded the costs  
17 were reasonable and appropriate, but that no “used and useful” determination of the plant was made  
18 and no conclusions should be inferred for future rate making or rate base purposes.

19 24. NMVC’s witness testified that NMVC has received the Approval to Construct  
20 (“ATC”) as well as the AOC issued by the ADEQ for Well No. 10.<sup>6</sup> (Tr. Pg. 10, lines 1-7)

21 25. On February 19, 2008, Staff filed on behalf of NMVC, the AOC issued by ADEQ for  
22 Well No. 10.<sup>7</sup>

23 26. NMVC has satisfied Staff’s requirement that NMVC file a copy of the AOC for  
24 Well No. 10.

25 27. NMVC proposes to extend its water lines to serve Section 16 and Section 28. (Staff’s  
26

27 <sup>4</sup> Engineering Report dated November 8, 2007.

<sup>5</sup> Ibid.

<sup>6</sup> ADEQ issued the ATC for Well No. 10 on October 15, 2007, and the AOC on January 25, 2008.

28 <sup>7</sup> Staff’s Notice of Filing dated February 19, 2008.

1 Engineering Report, Pg. 2)

2 28. NMVC is not located in any Active Management Area ("AMA") and has no  
3 compliance requirements as designated by ADWR.

4 29. The proposed extension area is located within the city limits of Bullhead City. ADWR  
5 has determined that the proposed extension area is within Bullhead City's Designation of Adequate  
6 Water Supply.

7 30. On January 23, 2006, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") reduced the  
8 arsenic maximum containment level ("MCL") from 50 parts per billion ("ppb") to 10 ppb.

9 31. Two of NMVC's existing wells (Well No. 4, DWR #55-0507876 and Well No. 7,  
10 DWR #55-0805519) exceed the new arsenic and fluoride MCLs.<sup>8</sup>

11 32. On July 27, 2005, ADEQ issued an approval for the Applicant's blending plan for  
12 arsenic and fluoride that includes using water from Well No. 10.

13 33. Staff believes the arsenic and fluoride levels in Well No. 10 do not exceed EPA's  
14 MCLs.<sup>9</sup>

15 34. The Utilities Division Compliance Section found no outstanding ACC compliance  
16 issues for NMVC.

17 35. NMVC has an approved curtailment tariff on file with the Commission.

18 36. NMVC has a Franchise from the City of Bullhead to construct, operate and maintain  
19 systems for collection and transmission of water within the city limits.

20 37. NMVC's witness testified that NMVC has a service agreement with the City of  
21 Bullhead whereby the City will provide sewer service in the proposed extension areas.  
22 (Tr. Pg. 11, lines 15-25)

23 38. On March 4, 2008, Staff filed on behalf of NMVC, the letter from Bullhead City  
24 showing that the City will provide sewer service in the proposed extension areas.

25 39. NMVC's witness stated that there are no proposed golf courses or water features in the  
26 requested extension areas. (Tr. Pg. 14, lines 1-3)

27 <sup>8</sup> According to Staff's Engineering Report, Well No. 4 contains 89 ppb for arsenic and 5.5 mg/l for fluoride. Well No. 7  
contains 20 ppb for arsenic and 4.3 mg/l for fluoride. Staff testified that the MCL for fluoride is 4 mg/l.

28 <sup>9</sup> Staff's Engineering Report, Pg. 3.

1 40. NMVC's witness testified that no new facilities will be added to serve the requested  
2 extension areas. (Tr. Pg. 14, lines 4-6)

3 Analysis

4 41. Staff revised its original recommendation for approval of NMVC's application to  
5 recommending either denial of NMVC's application or the issuance of an Order Preliminary prior to  
6 granting the CC&N for the requested extension areas due to NMVC's lack of compliance with ADEQ  
7 requirements and the lack of development timeframes for the proposed extension areas.

8 42. NMVC did not file objections to Staff's revised recommendations or request an  
9 additional hearing on the information contained in Staff's Supplemental Staff Report.

10 43. Pursuant to A.R.S. §40-282 (D), an Order Preliminary may be issued by the  
11 Commission:

12 If a public service corporation desires to exercise a right or privilege under a franchise  
13 or permit which it contemplates securing, but which has not yet been granted to it, the  
14 corporation may apply to the commission for an *order preliminary* to the issue of the  
15 certificate. The Commission may make an order declaring that it will thereafter, upon  
16 application, under rules it prescribes, issue the desired certificate, upon terms and  
17 conditions it designates, after the corporation has obtained the contemplated franchise  
18 or permit or may make an order issuing a certificate on the condition that the  
19 contemplated franchise or permit is obtained and on other terms and conditions it  
20 designates. If the commission makes an order preliminary to the issuance of the  
21 certificate, upon presentation to the commission of evidence that the franchise or  
22 permit has been secured by the corporation, the commission shall issue the certificate.  
23 (emphasis added)

24 44. Further, A.R.S. § 40-282 (C), provides that:

25 the Commission may, after a hearing, issue the certificate or refuse to issue it, or issue  
26 it for the construction of only a proportion of the contemplated street railroad, line,  
27 plant or system, or extension thereof, or for the partial exercise only of the right or  
28 privilege, and may attach to the exercise of rights granted by the certificate terms and  
conditions it deems that the public convenience and necessity require.

45. NMVC was directed, by Procedural Order, to docket as a late-filed exhibit, its most  
recent ADEQ Compliance Report showing the arsenic, fluoride and nitrates levels for its water  
system.<sup>10</sup> Staff docketed on behalf of NMVC, an ADEQ Drinking Water Compliance Status Report

<sup>10</sup> Staff's Engineering Report showed Staff had received an ADEQ Compliance Status Report dated August 2007, on which ADEQ had determined NMVC was delivering water that met water quality standards as determined by the A.A.C.

1 dated February 25, 2008, which showed that NMVC's Water system has major deficiencies for  
2 monitoring and reporting lead and copper samples. The ADEQ report also showed that based on the  
3 lack of reporting, ADEQ was unable to determine if NMVC's water system is currently delivering  
4 water that meets water quality standards as required by the A.A.C.

5 46. NMVC filed a late-filed exhibit addressing the ADEQ Compliance Status Report and  
6 explained that the ADEQ non-compliance items were administrative in nature and that the  
7 deficiencies do not affect the integrity or quality of the water that is being delivered to NMVC  
8 customers. The late-filed exhibit also stated that NMVC missed the deadline for taking the required  
9 lead and copper samples, which resulted in the non-compliance, but that the required samples have  
10 since been taken and submitted to ADEQ for review and the results should be available around the  
11 third week of July. Per ADEQ requirements, NMVC provided notice of the non-compliance to its  
12 customers through publication. NMVC believes the ADEQ compliance issues can be resolved in the  
13 near future.

14 47. In Staff's Supplemental Staff Report, Staff agreed that NMVC should be able to  
15 resolve its ADEQ deficiencies in the near future, but Staff concluded that NMVC is currently not in  
16 full compliance with ADEQ rules.

17 48. On September 4, 2008, Staff docketed an ADEQ Compliance Status Report, dated  
18 September 4, 2008, showing NMVC's water system is in compliance with ADEQ and that ADEQ has  
19 determined NMVC's water system is currently delivering water that meets water quality standards as  
20 required by the A.A.C.

21 49. NMVC is currently in compliance with ADEQ rules and regulations.

22 50. NMVC has received requests for service from two individual developers/landowners  
23 in Section 16 and the State Trust Land Department in Section 28.

24 51. At the time of the hearing, NMVC's witness testified that development in Section 16  
25 was progressing and that the two developers were seeking financing to begin development, and the  
26 developers already had approvals from the City of Bullhead, ADEQ and ADWR. (Tr. Pg. 12, lines  
27 17-23 and Pg. 23, lines 17-25) The witness further testified that he believed the developers in Section  
28 16 were "staged and ready to go." (Tr. Pg. 23, lines 17-25)

1           52. In regards to Section 28, NMVC's witness testified that the State Land was  
2 "negotiating and working with the City to extend [the] roads and land" in the proposed extension area  
3 and that he believed the negotiations would "trigger some interest in development."  
4 (Tr. Pg. 12, lines 24-25 and Pg. 13, lines 4-7)

5           53. NMVC did not present a developer witness at the hearing. At the conclusion of the  
6 hearing, it was determined that additional information was needed on the development timeframes in  
7 the proposed extension areas.

8           54. On May 15, 2008, by Procedural Order, NMVC was directed to file as a late-filed  
9 exhibit, a sworn document from the developers detailing the developers' plans for the proposed  
10 extension areas, including, but not limited to, a status report on where the developers are in meeting  
11 State and County agency requirements, as well as the timeframes for building in the proposed  
12 developments. The late-filed exhibit was also to include a detailed description of the developers'  
13 plans for the various phases of development, and the timing and size of each proposed phase.

14           55. On June 10, 2008, NMVC filed a letter stating NMVC had contacted the property  
15 owners/developers and that they have no plans "to move forward with the development process under  
16 the current market conditions." The letter further stated that the "best guess for Section 16 is early  
17 2009." In regards to Section 28, the letter stated that the State Trust Land Department plans for  
18 development depend on market recovery and that there are no development plans at this time.

19           56. Staff recommends that the Commission either deny NMVC's extension application or  
20 issue an Order Preliminary, subject to various conditions.

21           57. The issuance of an Order Preliminary as stated in A.R.S. §40-282, turns on granting it  
22 if the public service corporation "contemplates securing" a right or privilege under a franchise  
23 or permit.

24           58. Prior Commission decisions have found the issuance of an Order Preliminary  
25 appropriate in circumstances where it lends a degree of certainty in anticipation of future events and  
26 where the circumstances are beyond the Applicant's control.<sup>11</sup> In Commission Decision No. 68498,  
27

28 <sup>11</sup> Commission Decision No. 68498 (February 23, 2006)

1 the Commission found it appropriate to issue an Order Preliminary where pending legal issues were  
2 beyond the Applicants' control, the Order Preliminary created a degree of certainty that the final  
3 CC&N would be issued and offered some certainty that the Applicants' investments in the extension  
4 areas would be protected. Further, the Commission found that there was a public need for service in  
5 the proposed extension areas.

6 59. Here, the current market conditions are beyond NMVC's control; however, NMVC  
7 has no reasonable expectation that it will be able to exercise a right under its Franchise because the  
8 developers in the proposed extension areas have no intent to develop under the current economic  
9 conditions. Further, because it is unclear how long the current market conditions will continue, there  
10 is no existing need for service in the proposed extension areas.

11 60. Therefore, we find that granting an Order Preliminary would not be in the public  
12 interest at this time. We agree with Staff's revised recommendation that NMVC's application should  
13 be denied without prejudice.

14 61. Staff has concluded that NMVC's current water system does not have adequate  
15 capacity to serve its existing customers. Therefore, NMVC should be required to bring Well No. 10  
16 on-line by December 31, 2008, to correct the system's capacity issues and to implement the blending  
17 plan approved by ADEQ to bring the system's arsenic and fluoride levels for Wells No. 4 and No. 7  
18 into compliance with the EPA's MCLs.

19 62. Because an allowance for property tax expense is included in NMVC's rates and  
20 collected from its customers, the Commission seeks assurances from NMVC that any taxes collected  
21 from ratepayers have been remitted to the appropriate taxing authority. It has come to the  
22 Commission's attention that a number of water and wastewater companies have been unwilling or  
23 unable to fulfill their obligation to pay the taxes that were collected from ratepayers, some for as  
24 many as twenty years. It is reasonable, therefore, that as a preventive measure NMVC shall annually  
25 file, as part of its annual report, an affidavit with the Utilities Division attesting that the Company is  
26 current in paying its property taxes in Arizona.

27 ...

28 ...

**CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

1  
2  
3 1. North Mohave Valley Corporation is a public service corporation within the meaning  
4 of Article XV of the Arizona Constitution and A.R.S. § 40-281.

5 2. The Commission has jurisdiction over North Mohave Valley Corporation and the  
6 subject matter of the application.

7 3. Notice of the application was provided in accordance with the law.

8 4. At this time, there is no a public need and necessity for water utility service in the  
9 proposed extension areas.

10 5. North Mohave Valley Corporation is in compliance with Arizona Department of  
11 Environmental Quality requirements and is currently delivering water that meets the standards  
12 required by the A.A.C.

13 6. The application in this matter should be denied without prejudice.

14 **ORDER**

15 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the application of North Mohave Valley Corporation  
16 for an extension of its Certificate of Convenience and Necessity to provide water service to the  
17 extension area described in Exhibit A, attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference, is  
18 hereby denied without prejudice.


19 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that North Mohave Valley Corporation shall bring Well No. 10  
20 on line by December 31, 2008, to correct the system's capacity issues and to implement the blending  
21 plan approved by the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality to bring the system's arsenic  
22 and fluoride levels for Well No. 4 (DWR #55-507876) and Well No. 7 (DWR #55-805519) in  
23 compliance with the Environmental Protection Agency's maximum containment levels.

24 ...  
25 ...  
26 ...  
27 ...  
28 ...

1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that North Mohave Valley Corporation shall annually file as  
2 part of its annual report, an affidavit with the Utilities Division attesting that it is current on paying  
3 its property taxes in Arizona.

4 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately.

5 BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION.

6  
7    
8 CHAIRMAN COMMISSIONER

9  
10    
COMMISSIONER COMMISSIONER COMMISSIONER

11 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, BRIAN C. McNEIL, Executive  
12 Director of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have  
13 hereunto set my hand and caused the official seal of the  
14 Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of  
15 Phoenix, this 30<sup>th</sup> day of Sept., 2008.

16   
17 BRIAN C. McNEIL  
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

18 DISSENT \_\_\_\_\_

19

20 DISSENT \_\_\_\_\_

21 YBK:db

22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 SERVICE LIST FOR: W-02259A-07-0434  
2 DOCKET NO.: NORTH MOHAVE VALLEY CORPORATION

3  
4 John McCormick  
5 Secretary-Treasurer/Manager  
6 NORTH MOHAVE VALLEY CORPORATION  
7 P.O. Box 22495  
8 Bullhead City, Arizona 86439-2495

9 Terrence S. Leek, Esq.  
10 LAW OFFICE OF TERRENCE S. LEEK, P.C.  
11 126 North Marina Street  
12 Prescott, Arizona 86301-3208  
13 Attorney for North Mohave Valley Corporation

14 Janice Alward, Chief Counsel  
15 Legal Division  
16 Arizona Corporation Commission  
17 1200 West Washington Street  
18 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

19 Ernest G. Johnson, Director  
20 Utilities Division  
21 Arizona Corporation Commission  
22 1200 West Washington Street  
23 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**EXHIBIT "A"**

---

Mohave County, Sections 16 and 28, Township 21N, Range 21W.

**EXHIBIT "A"**

**DECISION NO. 70529**