



0000088854

Betty Camargo

**OPEN MEETING AGENDA ITEM**

**From:** Pam Mason [pamldm@hotmail.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, September 22, 2008 3:20 PM  
**To:** Gleason-WebEmail; Hatch-WebEmail; Mundell-Web; Pierce-Web; Mayes-WebEmail  
**Subject:** Tuesday September 23rd, 2008 W-03512A-07-0362 Item 21 Open Meeting Agenda

PO Box 717  
Pine, AZ 85544

09/22/2008

ORIGINAL

AZ CORP COMMISSION  
DOCKET CONTROL

2008 SEP 22 P 4: 08

RECEIVED

ACC Open Meeting Agenda Tuesday September 23, 2008

Item 21. Pine Water Company (W-03512A-07-0362) – Application for Approval to  
(1) Encumber Part of its Plant and System Pursuant to A.R.S. 40-285(A); and  
(2) Issue Evidence of Indebtedness Pursuant to A.R.S. 40-302(A)

Dear Commissioners,

Unfortunately I am unable to attend the hearing tomorrow concerning the above item. I have however, spoken before you on several occasions over the years. This time I had to choose between a root canal and coming before you!! (Tough decision)

It would appear to me that the ACC legal staff is the only party in this discussion without an agenda. It is my understanding that PWCo's financial status is well within the limits typically required by the ACC staff and Commission for the requested debt obligation. Also that the debt is within PWCo's corporate powers, is consistent with sound financial practices and is for a lawful purpose in the public interest.

I really have attended about 95% of PSWID meetings since we got it back from Gila County in 2004. I have heard and personally researched many issues including water storage, water loss, infrastructure, profits from hauling, double taxation, reported conflicts of interest etc. and they are really just diversions from the real issue before the commission.

PWC was ordered by the ACC to locate additional water sources, they entered into the JDWA. I could not agree with your ACC staff more when they say: "The potential public benefits of the K-2 well are significant. Success could mean the end of water hauling charges for PWCo ratepayers. That alone should be sufficient to tip the scale in favor of approving the agreement. The arguments that are being advanced against the agreement are to the benefit of a small group of developers, and against the overwhelming need of the public for more water."

Mr. Pugel, Mr. Krafczyk and their Rim Country Water group intend to show up in force tomorrow. Please be aware there are others that do indeed support the JDWA.. No matter how loud the crowd, it does not change the fact that blocking the K-2 well will hurt the communities.

I humbly urge you to see through the rhetoric and grant the application before you.

Sincerely,  
Pamela Mason

9/22/2008

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

SEP 22 2008

DOCKETED BY