	ORIGINAL	V APPLICATION 000088422
1	BEFORE THE ARIZONA C	REPORTUREDCOMMISSION
2		18 AUG 28 P 2: 15
3		
4	MIKE GLEASON, Chairman WILLIAM A. MUNDELL JEFF HATCH-MILLER KRISTIN K. MAYES	CORP COMMISSION OCKET CONTROL
	GARY PIERCE	
6	In the matter of:	DOCKET NO. S-20616A-08-0449
7 8) GUILLERMO RICARDO DE LA VARA) (a/k/a "WILLIAM DE LA VARA" and "BILL) DE LA VARA"), a married man)	TEMPORARY ORDER TO CEASE AND DESIST AND NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY FOR HEARING
9	doing business as MORTGAGE NOTES, an) Arizona registered trade name and)	
10 11	MORTGAGE NOTES, INC., a dissolved) Arizona corporation;)	Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED
12	MNI PROPERTIES, L.L.C., an Arizona) limited liability company;	AUG 28 2008
13 14	FRLINDA DE LA VARA (a/k/a "ERLINDA)G. LOPEZ"), spouse of GUILLERMO)RICARDO DE LA VARA,	DOCKETED BY
15	Respondents.	
16	NOTICE: THIS ORDER IS EFFE	CTIVE IMMEDIATELY
17	EACH RESPONDENT I	HAS 20 DAYS TO REQUEST A HEARING
18	EACH RESPONDENT	HAS 30 DAYS TO FILE AN ANSWER
19	The Securities Division ("Division") of the Arizona Corporation Commission
20	("Commission") alleges that respondents GU	ILLERMO RICARDO DE LA VARA (a/k/a
21	"WILLIAM DE LA VARA" and "BILL DE	LA VARA"), doing business as "MORTGAGE
22	NOTES," an Arizona registered trade name a	nd as MORTGAGE NOTES, INC., a dissolved
23	Arizona corporation, and MNI PROPERTIES,	L.L.C., an Arizona limited liability company are
24	engaging in or are about to engage in acts and p	practices that constitute violations of A.R.S. § 44-
25	1801, et seq., the Arizona Securities Act ("Secu	urities Act"), and that the public welfare requires
26	immediate action.	

1	I.
2	JURISDICTION
3	1. The Commission has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to Article XV of the
4	Arizona Constitution, and the Securities Act.
5	П.
6	RESPONDENTS
7	2. GUILLERMO RICARDO DE LA VARA (a/k/a "WILLIAM DE LA VARA" and
8	"BILL DE LA VARA") (hereafter, "DE LA VARA") is a married man who at all times relevant
9	resided in Phoenix, Arizona. DE LA VARA does business as "MORTGAGE NOTES," an Arizona
10	registered trade name owned by DE LA VARA, and as MORTGAGE NOTES, INC.
11	3. MORTGAGE NOTES, INC. ("MNI") is a dissolved Arizona corporation with a
12	principal place of business in Phoenix, Arizona. MNI was formed in Arizona on or about
13	September 1990 and was administratively dissolved by the Corporations Division of the
14	Commission on August 1, 2008 for its failure to file its 2008 annual report. From at least 2001 to
15	the present, DE LA VARA transacted business through and has been doing business as MNI as its
16	co-owner, president, chief executive officer and director.
17	4. MNI PROPERTIES, L.L.C. ("MNIP") is an Arizona limited liability company with
18	a principal place of business in Phoenix, Arizona. MNIP was formed by DE LA VARA on January
19	21, 2004. DE LA VARA is the managing member of MNIP.
20	5. ERLINDA DE LA VARA (a/k/a "ERLINDA G. LOPEZ") has been at all times
21	relevant the spouse of DE LA VARA. She is referred to hereafter as "RESPONDENT SPOUSE."
22	RESPONDENT SPOUSE is joined in this action under A.R.S. § 44-2031(C) solely for the purpose
23	of determining the liability of the marital community.
24	6. At all times relevant, DE LA VARA was acting for his own benefit and for the
25	benefit or in furtherance of DE LA VARA and RESPONDENT SPOUSE's marital community.
26	

MNI, MNIP and DE LA VARA are collectively referred to hereafter as 7. 1 "RESPONDENTS" as the context requires. 2 III. 3 FACTS 4 8. From January 2001 to the present, RESPONDENTS have offered and sold securities 5 within and from Arizona in the form of investment contracts and/or notes. ("Lien Investments"). 6 RESPONDENTS have sold approximately \$5,742,967.79 of the Lien Investments to 7 approximately 26 Arizona investors. 8 9. **RESPONDENTS** represent to investors that they are in the business of purchasing 9 seller-held real estate notes and deeds of trust (collectively "deed(s) of trust" as the context 10 11 requires). 10. RESPONDENTS often purchase a deed of trust at a discount, or for less money 12 than the loan balance owed under the deed of trust by the borrower/note maker. 13 11. Depending on their intrinsic profitability, RESPONDENTS sometimes purchase a 14 deed of trust at par (face value), or for the exact loan balance owed under the deed of trust. 15 RESPONDENTS also generate their own deeds of trust to secure bridge and other 12. 16 loans to fund the purchase or improvement of real property. 17 13. The terms of the deeds of trust vary. For example: (a) their interest rates generally 18 range from 8% to 18% per year; (b) their loan terms generally range from 1 to 5 years; and (c) 19 they often include a balloon payment on the expiration of the loan term. The profit potential of 20 holding a deed of trust depends on, without limitation: (a) the creditworthiness of the 21 borrower/note maker; (b) the number, dollar amount and position of liens attached to the related 22 real estate; (c) the fair market value of the real estate; (d) whether the borrower/note maker stays 23 in their home, or sell their home and pay off their loan prior to maturity; and (e) whether 24 **RESPONDENTS** manage the Lien Investments as promised. 25 26

2

1

14. RESPONDENTS re-sell and/or assign the deeds of trust to investors as the Lien Investments. The purchase price of a Lien Investment ranges from \$5,000 to \$250,000.

3 4

5

15. RESPONDENTS represent to investors that the Lien Investments are risk-free and fully secured by real estate that has a fair market value exceeding the balance of the notes/loans secured by the deeds of trust.

6 16. The terms of a Lien Investment often retain those set forth in the original deed of
7 trust acquired or generated by RESPONDENTS. RESPONDENTS sometimes sell an investor a
8 Lien Investment that consists only of a portion of the payments due under a deed of trust.
9 RESPONDENTS occasionally sell a Lien Investment to an investor that includes a lesser interest
10 rate than that set forth under the original deed of trust.

17. RESPONDENTS represent to investors that RESPONDENTS manage the Lien 11 Investments, and: (a) perform any underwriting and/or risk evaluation services associated with a 12 Lien Investment, "in house, with no loan committees with which to contend;" (b) generate and timely 13 record a deed of trust or other documents to legally or adequately secure an investor's Lien 14 Investment; (c) service a note and deed of trust, and collect monthly payments and balloon and/or 15 note payoffs from the borrower/note makers; (d) disburse collected monthly loan payments, and loan 16 payoffs associated with a Lien Investment to an investor; (e) prepare Lien Investment account 17 statements, and forward such statements to investors; (f) research and/or confirm the title of real 18 estate that will secure an investor's Lien Investment; (g) prepare and record a deed of release at the 19 conclusion of an investor's Lien Investment as may be required by law; and/or (h) handle foreclosure 20 or borrower/note maker eviction matters relating to a Lien Investment to repay the investor their 21 principal investment and promised profit. 22

18. Once an investor purchases a Lien Investment and signs any applicable real estate
documents, they have no duties to receive their promised Lien Investment profit and the return of
their principal investment. Lien Investment documents created, signed and recorded by

1RESPONDENTS are acknowledged (notarized) by RESPONDENT SPOUSE under her2alternative name "ERLINDA G. LOPEZ."

3	19.	Unde	r the Lien Investments, RESPONDENTS share profits with their investors,
4	for instance, b	oy: (a)	retaining a lump-sum origination fee from the principal Lien Investment funds
5	and/or borrow	er/note	maker; (b) assigning only a portion of the payments due under a deed of trust to
6	an investor, ar	nd retai	ning the remaining interest and principal payments made by the borrower/note
7	maker; or (c) by retaining interest income representing the difference in the interest rate called for		
8 -	under an original deed of trust and that ultimately assigned/sold to an investor as a Lien Investment.		
9	20.	At all	times relevant, RESPONDENTS:
10		A.	Fail to disclose to certain investors that they are being sold Lien Investments
11			related to real estate that RESPONDENTS do not own or have a legal or
12			equitable interest.
13		B.	Misrepresent to certain investors that RESPONDENTS will collect monthly
14			and loan-payoff payments from borrower/note makers and fail to forward
15			such monies to the investors.
16		C.	Fail to disclose to investors that RESPONDENTS sometimes will fail to
17			record deeds of trust to secure an investor's Lien Investment in the lien
18			position promised by RESPONDENTS (<i>i.e.</i> , 1 st). RESPONDENTS further
19			fail to disclose to certain investors that RESPONDENTS then sell the same
20			Lien Investment (e.g., note and related 1 st position deed of trust) to another
21			investor. RESPONDENTS often fail to provide their investors with
22			recorded documents demonstrating the purported security of their
23			Investments.
24		D.	Fail to disclose to investors that RESPONDENTS will in some cases forge
25			an investor's signature on a real estate document, such as a release of deed
26			

of trust, in part, so RESPONDENTS can sell the same Lien Investment to another investor.

- E. Misrepresent and/or fail to disclose to certain investors the *number* of preexisting liens attached to a piece of real estate. This misrepresentation and/or omission sometimes results in a piece of real estate being subject to 4 or more Lien Investments that are often under-secured.
- F. Misrepresent to certain investors the *dollar amount* of disclosed, existing/prior liens attached to a piece of real estate and/or the *fair market value* of the real estate. This misrepresentation sometimes results in an under-secured Lien Investment.
- G. Fail to disclose to investors that RESPONDENTS will sometimes falsify the legal description of real estate in a deed of trust that purportedly secures an investor's Lien Investment, and then correctly type the legal description of the same real estate in documents associated with a subsequent investor's purchase of the same Lien Investment.

21. In one instance, RESPONDENTS DE LA VARA and MNI acquired 6 properties 16 with loans issued by a mortgage banker, resulting in first position liens on all 6 properties in favor 17 of the mortgage banker. Thereafter, RESPONDENTS DE LA VARA and MNI sold second 18 position Lien Investments on the 6 properties to an existing investor. RESPONDENTS DE LA 19 VARA and MNI then purportedly sold first position Lien Investments on those 6 properties to an 20 Arizona couple (the "Jade Park investors") when, in reality and by default, they actually purchased 21 third position Lien Investments. With the downturn in the Arizona real estate market and related 22 sale costs, the depreciated, current market value of the 6 properties is less than, or approximately 23 equal to the loan balance owed to first position lien holding mortgage banker. Thus, the Jade Park 24 investors' Investments are under-secured and worthless. 25

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

In another case, RESPONDENTS DE LA VARA and MNI sold another Arizona
 couple 29 Lien Investments totaling approximately \$950,000 in which these RESPONDENTS
 engaged in the conduct described above. These investors' Lien Investments are under-secured
 and/or unsecured.

5 23. Contrary to RESPONDENTS' representations, the Lien Investments are not risk-free 6 and secure because, without limitation, they are subject to RESPONDENTS' misrepresentations and 7 non-disclosures noted above, unpredictable civil litigation, bankruptcy proceedings and a material 8 drop in the value of the associated real estate collateral.

9

10

16

24. RESPONDENTS failed to disclose to investors that DE LA VARA and MNI filed two bankruptcies directly related to, and adversely affecting the Lien Investments, to wit:

11A.MNI voluntarily filed a Chapter 11 bankruptcy on June 29, 2007 in the U.S.12Bankruptcy Court, District of Arizona, 2:07-bk-03071-JMM, which has since13been converted to a Chapter 7 bankruptcy (the "MNI Bankruptcy"); and14B.15DE LA VARA voluntarily filed a Chapter 7, no-asset bankruptcy on January1515, 2008 in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court, District of Arizona, 2:08-bk-00381-

SSC (the "DE LA VARA Bankruptcy").

17 || The MNI and DE LA VARA Bankruptcies are pending.

25. On June 9, 2008, the judge in the DE LA VARA Bankruptcy: (a) denied the discharge 18 of his debts in that case under 11 U.S.C. § 727 relating to fraudulent transfers of property pursuant to 19 20 Adversary Complaint No. 2-08-AP-294; and (b) entered an adverse judgment against him in the amount of \$353,913.57. The fraudulent transfers at issue in the DE LA VARA Bankruptcy were 21 made by DE LA VARA and MNI to MNIP and DE LA VARA family members. There is a pending 22 23 investor Adversary Complaint No. 08-00287 in the DE LA VARA Bankruptcy that seeks an order that approximately \$1 million dollars worth of Lien Investments are non-dischargeable under 11 U.S.C. § 24 523(a)(2), (4) & (6) due to RESPONDENT DE LA VARA and MNI's fraud in selling the Lien 25 26 Investments.

1		
1	26.	In one instance, DE LA VARA failed to disclose the existence and nature of the DE
2	LA VARA a	and/or MNI Bankruptcies to an Arizona investor of who purchased a \$14,500 Lien
3	Investment so	old by DE LA VARA and MNIP in August 2008.
4		IV.
5		VIOLATION OF A.R.S. § 44-1841
6		(Offer and Sale of Unregistered Securities)
7	27.	From on or about January 2001 to the present, RESPONDENTS have been offering
8	or selling sec	urities in the form of investment contracts and/or notes, within or from Arizona.
9	28.	The securities referred to above are not registered pursuant to Articles 6 or 7 of the
10	Securities Ac	t.
11	29.	This conduct violates A.R.S. § 44-1841.
12		V.
13		VIOLATION OF A.R.S. § 44-1842
14		(Transactions by Unregistered Dealers or Salesmen)
15	30.	RESPONDENTS are offering or selling securities within or from Arizona while not
16	registered as dealers or salesmen pursuant to Article 9 of the Securities Act.	
17	31.	This conduct violates A.R.S. § 44-1842.
18		VI.
19		VIOLATION OF A.R.S. § 44-1991
20		(Fraud in Connection with the Offer or Sale of Securities)
21	32.	In connection with the offer or sale of securities within or from Arizona,
22	RESPONDE	NTS are, directly or indirectly: (i) employing a device, scheme, or artifice to defraud;
23	(ii) making untrue statements of material fact or omitting to state material facts that are necessary in	
24	order to make the statements made not misleading in light of the circumstances under which they are	
25	made; or (iii	i) engaging in transactions, practices, or courses of business that operate or would
26		

operate as a fraud or deceit upon offerees and investors. RESPONDENTS' conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

A. Misrepresenting to investors that the Lien Investments are risk-free and secure, when they are not secure, under-secured and/or entail lower lien positions than represented by RESPONDENTS, and because they are subject to unpredictable civil litigation, bankruptcy proceedings and a material drop in the value of associated real estate collateral.

B. Misrepresenting to certain investors that RESPONDENTS own or have a legal or equitable interest in real estate that purportedly secures an investor's Lien Investment when, in fact, they do not. This misrepresentation results in the investors' Lien Investment being unsecured.

C. Misrepresenting to certain investors that RESPONDENTS will collect monthly and loan payoff payments from borrower/note makers and forward such monies to investors when they, in fact, do not.

D. Misrepresenting to certain investors that RESPONDENTS will timely record deeds of trust and any related documents to secure an investor's Lien Investment in the lien position promised by RESPONDENTS (i.e., 1st). RESPONDENTS then fail to disclose to investors that they will resell the same Lien Investment position to another investor. This conduct results in the first investor's Lien Investment being under-secured and/or unsecured.

E. Failing to disclose to investors that RESPONDENTS will in some cases forge an investor's signature on a real estate document, such as a release of deed of trust that extinguishes an investor's security interest in their Lien Investment. This non-disclosure allows RESPONDENTS to then re-sell the same Lien Investment to another investor.

- F. Misrepresenting and/or failing to disclose to certain investors the *number* of existing liens attached to a piece of real estate that purportedly will secure an investor's Lien Investment. This misrepresentation often results in a piece of real estate being subject to 4 or more Lien Investments. This misrepresentation has the effect of leaving the investor's Lien Investment under-secured and/or unsecured.
- G. Misrepresenting and/or failing to disclose to certain investors the *dollar amount* of disclosed, existing/prior lien(s) attached to a piece of real estate, and/or the *fair market value* of the real estate, to induce an investor to invest in, for instance, a second position Lien Investment. This misrepresentation has the effect of leaving the Lien Investment under-secured and/or unsecured.
- H. Failing to disclose to investors that RESPONDENTS will in some instances falsify the legal description of real estate in documents associated with an investor's Lien Investment, and then correctly type the legal description of the same real estate in documents associated with a subsequent investor's purchase of the same Lien Investment. This non-disclosure results in the first investor's Lien Investment being unsecured.
- 18I.Failing to disclose to investors that Lien Investment documents created, signed19and recorded by RESPONDENTS, and acknowledged (notarized) by20RESPONDENT SPOUSE under her alternative name "ERLINDA G. LOPEZ,"21result in the Lien Investments being invalid and unsecured, for instance, as to22lien holders/creditors whose real estate documents are timely and properly23acknowledged and recorded.
 - J. Failing to disclose to certain investors the nature and existence of the DE LA VARA and MNI Bankruptcies.
 - 33. This conduct violates A.R.S. § 44-1991.

1	VII.	
2	TEMPORARY ORDER	
3	Cease and Desist from Violating the Securities Act	
4	THEREFORE, based on the above allegations, and because the Commission has determined	
5	that the public welfare requires immediate action,	
6	IT IS ORDERED, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1972(C) and A.A.C. R14-4-307, that	
7	RESPONDENTS, their agents, servants, employees, successors, assigns, and those persons in active	
8	concert or participation with RESPONDENTS CEASE AND DESIST from any violations of the	
9	Securities Act.	
10	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Temporary Order to Cease and Desist shall remain in	
11	effect for 180 days unless sooner vacated, modified, or made permanent by the Commission.	
12	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Order shall be effective immediately.	
13	VIII.	
14	REQUESTED RELIEF	
15	The Division requests that the Commission grant the following relief:	
16	1. Order RESPONDENTS to permanently cease and desist from violating the	
17	Securities Act pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2032;	
18	2. Order RESPONDENTS to take affirmative action to correct the conditions resulting	
19	from RESPONDENTS' acts, practices, or transactions, including a requirement to make restitution	
20	pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2032;	
21	3. Order RESPONDENTS to pay the state of Arizona administrative penalties of up to	
22	five thousand dollars (\$5,000) for each violation of the Securities Act, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2036;	
23	4. Order that the marital community of DE LA VARA and RESPONDENT SPOUSE	
24	are subject to any order of restitution, rescission, administrative penalties, or other appropriate	
25	affirmative action pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 25-215 and 44-2031(C); and	
26	5. Order any other relief that the Commission deems appropriate.	
	11	

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1

IX.

HEARING OPPORTUNITY

Each RESPONDENT, including RESPONDENT SPOUSE may request a hearing pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1972 and A.A.C. Rule 14-4-307. If a RESPONDENT or RESPONDENT SPOUSE requests a hearing, the requesting respondent must also answer this Temporary Order and Notice. A request for hearing must be in writing and received by the Commission within 20 days after service of this Temporary Order and Notice. The requesting respondent must deliver or mail the request for hearing to Docket Control, Arizona Corporation Commission, 1200 West Washington, Phoenix, Arizona 85007. Filing instructions may be obtained from Docket Control by calling (602) 542-3477 or on the Commission's Internet web site at www.azcc.gov/divisions/hearings/docket.asp.

12 If a request for hearing is timely made, the Commission shall schedule a hearing to begin 10 13 to 30 days from the receipt of the request unless otherwise provided by law, stipulated by the parties, 14 or ordered by the Commission. Unless otherwise ordered by the Commission, this Temporary 15 Order shall remain effective from the date a hearing is requested until a decision is entered. 16 After a hearing, the Commission may vacate, modify, or make permanent this Temporary Order, 17 with written findings of fact and conclusions of law. A permanent Order may include ordering 18 restitution, assessing administrative penalties, or other action.

If a request for hearing is not timely made, the Division will request that the Commission
make permanent this Temporary Order, with written findings of fact and conclusions of law, which
may include ordering restitution, assessing administrative penalties, or other relief.

Persons with a disability may request a reasonable accommodation such as a sign language
interpreter, as well as request this document in an alternative format, by contacting Linda Hogan,
ADA Coordinator, voice phone number 602/542-3931, e-mail <u>lhogan@azcc.gov</u>. Requests should
be made as early as possible to allow time to arrange the accommodation.

X.

ANSWER REQUIREMENT

Pursuant to A.A.C. R14-4-305, if a RESPONDENT or RESPONDENT SPOUSE requests a hearing, the requesting respondent must deliver or mail an Answer to this Temporary Order and Notice to Docket Control, Arizona Corporation Commission, 1200 W. Washington, Phoenix, Arizona 85007, within 30 calendar days after the date of service of this Temporary Order and Notice. Filing instructions may be obtained from Docket Control by calling (602) 542-3477 or on the Commission's Internet web site at www.azcc.gov/divisions/hearings/docket.asp.

Additionally, the answering respondent must serve the Answer upon the Division.
Pursuant to A.A.C. R14-4-303, service upon the Division may be made by mailing or by handdelivering a copy of the Answer to the Division at 1300 West Washington, 3rd Floor, Phoenix,
Arizona, 85007, addressed to Mike Dailey.

The Answer shall contain an admission or denial of each allegation in this Temporary
Order and Notice and the original signature of the answering respondent or the respondent's
attorney. A statement of a lack of sufficient knowledge or information shall be considered a denial
of an allegation. An allegation not denied shall be considered admitted.

When the answering respondent intends in good faith to deny only a part or a qualification of an allegation, the respondent shall specify that part or qualification of the allegation and shall admit the remainder. Respondent waives any affirmative defense not raised in the answer.

The officer presiding over the hearing may grant relief from the requirement to file an
Answer for good cause shown.

22 BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION, this $\frac{28}{23}$ day of 23 August, 2008.

25

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

26 || MD

Matthew X Neubert Director of Securities