



0000085180

RECEIVED REHEARING JUN 13 2000

ORIGINAL

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

MAY 24 P 3:58

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

MAY 24 2000

CARL J. KUNASEK  
CHAIRMAN  
JIM IRVIN  
COMMISSIONER  
WILLIAM A. MUNDELL  
COMMISSIONER

AZ CORP COMMISSION  
DOCUMENT CONTROL

DOCKETED BY

IN THE MATTER OF THE GENERIC  
INVESTIGATION OF THE  
DEVELOPMENT OF A RENEWABLE  
PORTFOLIO STANDARD AS A  
POTENTIAL PART OF THE RETAIL  
ELECTRIC COMPETITION RULES.

Docket No. E-00000A-99-0205

**RUCO'S APPLICATION FOR REHEARING OF DECISION NO. 62506**

Pursuant to A.R.S. § 40-253, the Residential Utility Consumer Office ("RUCO") requests that the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") rehear the matters decided in Decision No. 62506, docketed May 4, 2000. Decision No. 62506 approved an Environmental Portfolio Standard ("EPS") establishing a mandatory portfolio requirement. RUCO requests that the Commission reconsider its decision approving the mandatory portfolio requirements for the various reasons set forth below.

**I. Background**

On April 8, 1999, Commissioner Carl J. Kunasek filed a copy of a new proposed rule entitled Solar and Environmentally-Friendly Portfolio Standard ("EFPS"). Its purpose was to expand and redefine the previous Solar Portfolio Standard (R14-2-1609).

On April 23, 1999, the Commission in Decision No. 61634, amended the Electric Competition Rules to eliminate the Solar Portfolio Standard (R14-2-1609).

1 The Commission's Utilities Division ("Staff") filed a list of recommended questions and  
2 requested interested parties to file comments by May 21, 1999. Pursuant to a procedural  
3 order of June 16, 1999, a full public hearing was commenced on September 16, 1999. The  
4 hearing was adjourned pending the submission of briefs. Briefs were submitted and the  
5 Commission, in Decision No. 62506, approved an EPS which among other things, set  
6 mandatory environmental standards and penalties for non-compliance.

7  
8 **II. The Commission exceeded its authority in adopting the Environmental Portfolio  
Standard.**

9 The authority of the Commission to prescribe "just and reasonable rates and charges to  
10 be made and collected by public service corporations within the state..." is derived from Article  
11 15, Section 3 of the Constitution of Arizona<sup>1</sup>. The courts in Arizona have repeatedly held that  
12 the power to make rules, regulations and orders by which a corporation shall be governed  
13 necessarily vests in the Commission by virtue of the Constitutional provisions. See Williams v.  
14 Pipe Trades Industry Program of Arizona, 100 Ariz. 14, 17, 409 P2d 720, 723 (1966).

15 The EPS requires Affected Utilities and Electric Service Providers ("ESPs") to derive a  
16 percentage of the energy they sell from environmentally friendly renewable resources. The  
17 percentage established by the Commission increases yearly over a six-year period and  
18 remains at a fixed percentage for the following six years. The EPS further breaks down in  
19 percentages the yearly makeup of the types of renewable resources the Utility Distribution  
20 Companies and ESPs are permitted to use to meet their respective portfolio percentages. For  
21

22  
23 <sup>1</sup> To the extent the Environmental Portfolio Standard requires Affected Utilities and Electric Service Providers to  
24 incur expenses and recoup costs, it can be argued that there is a nexus to ratemaking. However, such a stretch  
is implausible and offends the principles of ratemaking established by statute and case law and put into place for  
the protection of the ratepayer as well as the utility.

1 those utilities that are unable to comply with its requirements, the EPS establishes a penalty  
2 that may be imposed by the Commission.

3 By mandating environmental standards, the Commission has determined that the  
4 utilities must invest in a particular type of generation technology. Such decisions should be left  
5 to management's discretion, to be evaluated by the Commission when a company seeks to  
6 include the generation cost in rates. There are no statutory or constitutional provisions that  
7 allow the Commission to substitute its judgement for management on management related  
8 issues. In fact, this separation of powers between management and the Commission is firmly  
9 entrenched in case law.

10 "It must never be forgotten that, while the state may regulate with a  
11 view to enforcing reasonable rates and charges, it is not the owner  
12 of the property of public utility companies, and is not clothed with  
13 the general power of management incident to ownership." State of  
14 Missouri ex rel. Southwestern Bell Telephone Co. v. Public Service  
15 Commission of Missouri, 262 U.S. 276, 289, 43 S.Ct. 544, 547, 67  
16 L.Ed. 981, 31 A.L.R. 807.

17 Southern Pacific Company v. Arizona Corporation Commission, 98 Ariz. 339, 343, 404 P.2d  
18 692, 696 (1965).

19 Should the regulators be allowed to substitute their judgment for management's, the  
20 shareholders as well as the public will lose confidence in management. In adopting the EPS,  
21 the Commission substitutes its judgement for management's on managerial decisions. This  
22 clearly falls outside the scope of the Commission's authority.

23 **III. The establishment of the Solar Electric Fund is not within the Commission's**  
24 **constitutional and/or statutory authority.**

The EPS establishes a Solar Electric Fund ("SEF") comprised of the proceeds from the  
penalties collected by the ESPs and Affected Utilities who are unable to meet the EPS'

1 requirements. The proceeds are to be used in the following calendar year by public entities to  
2 purchase solar generators or solar electricity.

3 The Commission's authority to impose penalties on public service corporations who  
4 violate Commission orders derives from Article 15, Section 16 of the Constitution of Arizona.  
5 However, the establishment of funds for penalties collected is a prerogative of the legislature.  
6 For example, the legislature enacted A.R.S. § 40-443 which establishes the Pipeline Safety  
7 revolving fund which consists of penalties collected from public service corporations who  
8 violate Article 10 of ARS Section 40.

9 Nowhere does the legislature delegate its authority to the Commission to establish a  
10 fund for the collection and direction of EPS penalties. Except for its broad, constitutionally  
11 vested powers over rates and charges of public service corporations, Ethington v. Wright, 66  
12 Ariz. 382, 189 P.2d 209 (1948), the Commission's regulatory jurisdiction is derived from  
13 legislative authorization. Williams v. Pipe Trades Industry Programs of Arizona, 100 Ariz. 14,  
14 409 P.2d 720 1966; Corporation Commission v. Pacific Greyhound Lines, 54 Ariz. 159, 94  
15 P.2d 443 (1939), Op. Att'y Gen. I 79-099 (April 9, 1979).

16 Absent designation by statute, penalty proceeds are to be paid into the state treasury  
17 and credited to the general fund (ARS §§ 35-141, 35-142). The SEF is not a statutorily-  
18 created fund, and therefore proceeds of any penalty assessed by the Commission cannot be  
19 deposited into it.

20 Likewise, the EPS directs the use of funds without considering the state procurement  
21 laws. ARS § 41-2501 et seq. specifically sets forth the terms and conditions for what a state  
22 agency may contract for or purchase on its own behalf with state funds. ARS § 41-2511 vests  
23 the authority to promulgate such regulations governing procurement issues with the Director of  
24

1 Administration. Under ARS § 41-2512 the Director has the power to delegate his or her  
2 authority. The EPS sidesteps the procurement statute, and authorizes the Director of the  
3 Utilities Division to select an administrator to select projects to be financed by the Fund.  
4 Neither the legislature, nor the Director of Administration, has delegated the Commission with  
5 state procurement authority.

6 The Commission's authority is also limited in the amount of penalty it can impose.  
7 Article 15, Section 16 of the Arizona Constitution and ARS § 40-425(A) limit the penalty to not  
8 less than one hundred nor more than five thousand dollars for each offense. The EPS sets the  
9 penalty at thirty cents per kWh. The Commission is without authority to impose a penalty that  
10 falls outside the constitutional limits.

11 The establishment of penalties which exceed the amount set by the Constitution and the  
12 establishment of the Solar Electric Fund are nothing more than powers of the legislature to tax  
13 and appropriate revenues, which the legislature derives from the Constitution. (See AEPCO's  
14 Post Hearing Memorandum.)

15  
16 **IV. Conclusion**

17 For the foregoing reasons, RUCO requests that the Commission grant rehearing of  
18 Decision No. 62506 and establish an Environmental Portfolio Standard based on the voluntary  
19 implementation of environmental programs.

20 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 24<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2000.

21  
22   
23 Daniel W. Pozefsky  
24 Staff Attorney, RUCO

1 AN ORIGINAL AND TEN COPIES  
2 of the foregoing filed this 24<sup>th</sup> day  
3 of May, 2000 with:

3 Docket Control  
4 Arizona Corporation Commission  
5 1200 West Washington  
6 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

5 COPIES of the foregoing hand delivered/  
6 Mailed this 24<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2000 to:

7 Jerry Rudibaugh, Chief Hearing Officer  
8 Hearing Division  
9 Arizona Corporation Commission  
10 1200 W. Washington  
11 Phoenix, AZ 85007

10 Deborah Scott, Director  
11 Utilities Division  
12 Arizona Corporation Commission  
13 1200 W. Washington  
14 Phoenix, AZ 85007

13 Lyn Farmer, Chief Counsel  
14 Legal Division  
15 Arizona Corporation Commission  
16 1200 W. Washington  
17 Phoenix, AZ 85007

16 Michael Grant  
17 Gallagher & Kennedy, P.A.  
18 2600 North Central Avenue  
19 Phoenix, AZ 85004-3020  
20 Attorneys for Arizona Electric Power Cooperative

19 Thomas Mumaw  
20 Snell & Wilmer  
21 One Arizona Center  
22 Phoenix, AZ 85004-0001

21 Michael Curtis, Esq.  
22 Martinez & Curtis, P.C.  
23 2712 North 7<sup>th</sup> Street  
24 Phoenix, AZ 85006-1003

- 1 Rick Gilliam  
LAW Fund
- 2 2260 Baseline Road, Suite 200  
Boulder, CO 80302
- 3
- 4 David Deibel, Esq.  
City Attorney's Office  
P.O. Box 27210
- 5 Tucson, AZ 85726-7210
- 6 Karen Aaron, Esq.  
Fennemore Craig
- 7 3003 North Central Avenue, Suite 2600  
Phoenix, AZ 85012-2913
- 8
- 9 Douglas Nelson, Esq.  
7000 North 16<sup>th</sup> Street, #120-307  
Phoenix, AZ 85020
- 10
- 11 Kenneth Sundlof, Jr. Esq.  
Jennings, Strouss & Salmon, P.L.C.  
Two North Central Avenue
- 12 Phoenix, AZ 85004-2393
- 13 Charles Miessner, Esq.  
3030 North Central Avenue, Suite 401
- 14 Phoenix, AZ 85012
- 15 Jan Miller  
Salt River Project
- 16 1600 North Priest Drive  
Tempe, AZ 85281
- 17
- 18 Raymond Heyman  
Roshka Heyman & DeWulf, PLC  
Two Arizona Center
- 19 400 N. 5<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 1000  
Phoenix, AZ 85004
- 20
- 21 Lee Tanner  
Electrisol Ltd  
1215 E. Harmont Drive
- 22 Phoenix, AZ 85020
- 23
- 24

- 1 Dale Rogers  
Rocketdyne Divisions
- 2 Boeing North America  
P.O. Box 7922, MS FA-66
- 3 Canoga Park, CA 91309-7922
  
- 4 Steve Chalmers  
Powermark Corporation
- 5 4044 E. Whitton  
Phoenix, AZ 85018
  
- 6 Michael Neary
- 7 Ariseia  
2034 N. 13<sup>th</sup> Street
- 8 Phoenix, AZ 85001
  
- 9 Vincent Hunt  
City of Tucson
- 10 4004 S. Park Avenue, Bldg. 2  
Tucson, AZ 85714
  
- 11 Michelle Hart
- 12 Photocomm, Inc.  
7681 E. Gray Road
- 13 Scottsdale, AZ 85260  
Harry Braun, III
- 14 Stirling Energy Systems  
6245 N. 24<sup>th</sup> Parkway, Suite 209
- 15 Phoenix, AZ 85016
  
- 16 Robert Walker  
Entech, Inc.
- 17 1077 Chisolm Trail  
Keller, TX 76248
  
- 18 Moneer Azzam
- 19 Ase Americas  
4 Suburban Park Drive
- 20 Billerica, ME 01821
  
- 21 Ray Dracker  
Bechtel Corporation
- 22 P.O. Box 193965  
San Francisco, CA 94119
  
- 23
  
- 24

- 1 Barry Butler  
Science Applications Int'l Corp
- 2 10260 Campus Point Drive, MS-C2  
San Diego, CA 92121
- 3
- 4 Robert Annan  
6605 E. Evening Glow Drive  
Scottsdale, AZ 85262
- 5
- 6 Lawrence Slominski  
United Solar Systems Corp  
9235 Brown Deer Road
- 7 San Diego, CA 92121
- 8 Sam Swanson  
3 Baycrest Drive
- 9 South Burlington, VT 05403-7758
- 10 Vahan Barboushian  
Amonix, Inc.
- 11 3425 Fujita St.  
Torrance, CA 90505
- 12
- 13 Jeffrey Golden  
Amoco/Enron Solar Power Dev.  
P.O. Box 1188
- 14 Houston, TX 75221-1188
- 15 Dan Greenberg  
Ascension Technology
- 16 235 Bear Hill Road  
Waltham, ME 02154
- 17
- 18 Kathy Kelly  
Corp. for Solar Technology  
6863 W. Charleston
- 19 Las Vegas, NV 89117
- 20 Rick Mack  
Tucson Electric Power Co.
- 21 P.O. Box 711  
Tucson, AZ 85702-0711
- 22
- 23 Solar Energy Industries Association  
122 C Street, N.W., 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Washington, D.C. 20001-2109
- 24

- 1 Howard Wenger  
Pacific Energy Group  
2 32 Valla Court  
Walnut Creek, CA 94546  
3
- 4 Jim Combs  
Conservation Energy Systems  
40 W. Baseline #112  
5 Mesa, AZ 85210
- 6 James Caldwell, Jr.  
CEERT  
7 P.O. Box 26  
Tracy's Landing, MD 20779  
8
- 9 Herb Hayden  
Arizona Public Service  
P.O. Box 53999, Mail Stn. 9110  
10 Phoenix, AZ 85072-3999
- 11 Eric Wills  
Daggett Leasing Corporation  
12 20668 Paseo De Le Cumbre  
Yorba Linda, CA 92287  
13
- 14 Alphonse Bellac  
York Research Corporation  
6 Ladyslipper Lane  
15 Old Lyme, CT 06371
- 16 Jane Weissman  
PV4U  
17 15 Hayden Street  
Boston, MA 02131-4013  
18
- 19 David Berry  
Resource Management Int'l, Inc.  
302 N. First Avenue, Suite 810  
20 Phoenix, AZ 85003
- 21 Barry Goldwater, Jr.  
Ariselia  
22 3104 E. Camelback Rd, Suite 274  
Phoenix, AZ 85016  
23  
24

- 1 Frank Brandt  
1270 E. Appalachian Rd
- 2 Flagstaff, AZ 86004
- 3 Christy Herig  
1617 Cole Blvd
- 4 Golden, CO 80401
- 5 Mark Randall  
Daystar Consulting, L.L.C.
- 6 P.O. Box 761  
Clarksdale, AZ 86324
- 7 Jane Winiecki  
8 Yavapai-Apache Nation  
Economic Development Authority
- 9 P.O. Box 1188  
Camp Verde, AZ 86322
- 10 Phyllis Bigpond  
11 Inter Tribal Council of Arizona  
2214 N. Centrl Avenue, Suite 100
- 12 Phoenix, AZ 85004
- 13 Robert Jackson  
Colorado River Indian Tribes
- 14 Route 1, Box 23-B  
Parker, AZ 85334
- 15 Steven Brown  
16 Yavapai Tribe  
530 E. Merritt
- 17 Prescott, AZ 86301
- 18 Rory Majenty  
Ft. McDowell Mohave Apache
- 19 Indian Community  
P.O. Box 17779
- 20 Fountain Hills, AZ 85269
- 21 Rick Tewa  
Office of Economic Development
- 22 The Hopi Tribe  
P.O. Box 123
- 23 Kykotsmovi, AZ 86039
- 24

- 1 Deddie Tew  
Native Sune
- 2 P.O. Box 660  
Kykotsmovi, AZ 86039
- 3
- 4 Cameron Danies  
Hualapai Tribe  
P.O. Box 179
- 5 Peach Springs, AZ 86434
- 6 Jimmy Daniels  
Navajo Tribal Utility Authority
- 7 P.O. Box 170  
Ft. Defiance, AZ 86504
- 8
- 9 Leonard Gold  
398 S. Mill Avenue, Suite 306  
Tempe, AZ 85281
- 10
- 11 Steve Secrest  
Golden Genesis Company  
P.O. Box 14230
- 12 Scottsdale, AZ 85267
- 13 Jeff Schlegel  
1167 W. Samalayuca Drive
- 14 Tucson, AZ 85704-3224
- 15 Clyde Hostetter  
3055-190 N. Red Mountain
- 16 Mesa, AZ 85207
- 17 ACAA  
2627 N. Third St., Suite 2
- 18 Phoenix, AZ 85004
- 19 Peter Glaser  
Doherty, Rumble & Butler
- 20 1401 New York Avenue, N.W., Suite 1400  
Washington, DC 20005
- 21
- 22 David Calley  
Southwest Windpower, Inc.  
2131 N. First St.
- 23 Flagstaff, AZ 86004
- 24

- 1 Kenneth Saline  
160 N. Pasadena, Suite 101  
2 Mesa, AZ 85201-6764
- 3 Tom Lepley  
Phasor Energy Co.  
4 4202 E. Evans Dr.  
Phoenix, AZ 85032
- 5 Mike Patterson  
6 Route 1, Box Swansea  
Lone Pine, CA 93545
- 7 Derrick Rebello  
8 Quantum Consulting  
2030 Addison St.  
9 Berkeley, Ca 94704
- 10 Bryan Scott Canada  
620 E. Broadway Lane  
11 Tempe, AZ 85282
- 12 C. Webb Crockett  
Fennemore Craig  
13 3033 N. Central Avenue, Suite 2600  
Phoenix, AZ 85012-2913
- 14 Chris Sherring  
15 PVI  
171 Commercial St.  
16 Sunnyvale, CA 94086
- 17 Chris King  
Utility Com, Inc.  
18 828 San Pablo Ave.  
Albany, CA 94706
- 19 Donald Aitken  
20 Union of Concerned Scientists  
2397 Shattuck Ave, Suite 203  
21 Berkeley, CA 94704

22

23

Cheryl Anaulob

24