

ORIGINAL
OPEN MEETING



0000085051

MEMORANDUM

RECEIVED

410

2008 MAY 20 A 11: 43

TO: THE COMMISSION

FROM: Utilities Division

AZ PUBLIC COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL

DATE: May 20, 2008

RE: UNS ELECTRIC, INC.'S – APPLICATION FOR APPROVAL OF ITS PROPOSED DEMAND-SIDE MANAGEMENT PORTFOLIO FOR 2008-2012 - RESIDENTIAL HVAC RETROFIT PROGRAM (DOCKET NO. E-04204A-07-0365)

On June 13, 2007, UNS Electric, Inc. (“UNS Electric”) filed an application for approval of its proposed Demand-Side Management (“DSM”) Program Portfolio. On November 14, 2007, UNS Electric filed a revised Portfolio Plan, modifying the delivery mechanism and the measurement/evaluation plans, for some programs.

The UNS Electric DSM Portfolio consists of seven proposed programs. The UNS Electric Residential HVAC Retrofit program (one of the seven proposed programs) being reviewed herein is summarized below.

Residential HVAC Retrofit

The UNS Electric Residential HVAC Retrofit (“Residential HVAC”) program would promote the installation of high-efficiency air conditioning and heat pump systems in existing UNS Electric-area homes. The program would be newly-implemented, and would provide incentives directly to homeowners.

Program Description

Goals. The primary objective of the program is to promote the purchase of high-efficiency heating, ventilation and air conditioning (“HVAC”) equipment exceeding the SEER 13 federal minimum within the UNS Electric service area.

Eligibility. The program is available to UNS Electric residential customers with central air conditioning and heat pump systems. To be eligible, UNS Electric customers must be homeowners and replace their existing air conditioning equipment with equipment that is 14 SEER or above.

Incentives. Incentives to homeowners under the Residential HVAC Retrofit program are listed in the table below.

Arizona Corporation Commission
DOCKETED

MAY 20 2008

DOCKETED BY	ne
-------------	----

Measure/Qualifying Criteria	Proposed Air Conditioner/Heat Pump Incentive	Incremental cost per ton (AC units)	Incentives as % of Incremental Cost (AC units)	Incremental cost per ton (Heat Pump units)	Incentives as % of Incremental Cost (Heat Pumps)
14 SEER	\$50/ton	\$67	75%	\$88	51%
15 SEER	\$75/ton	\$135	55%	\$195	38%
16 SEER and above	\$100/ton	\$202 (for 16 SEER)	50%	\$293 (for 16 SEER)	34%
		\$269 (for 17 SEER)	37%	\$391 (for 17 SEER)	26%
		\$337 (for 18 SEER)	30%	\$483 (for 18 SEER)	20%

In addition to incentives to homeowners, marketing costs include \$25 per unit payments to contractors, to encourage program promotion and offset the cost of detailed reporting requirements.

Proper installation of new air conditioners and heat pumps is important to realizing energy savings from the more efficient equipment. An air conditioner that is not sized and installed correctly can have its efficiency reduced by as much as 30 percent.¹ Staff recommends that rebate requirements include documentation verifying that a proper sizing calculation has been done and that this documentation include the actual calculations. Staff also recommends that UNS Electric review the energy savings from the program in order to determine whether a contractor qualification and incentive component, similar to that in place for the Arizona Public Service (“APS”) Residential HVAC DSM program, would help to ensure cost-effective energy savings.²

Staff recommends that incentives be capped at a maximum of \$250 per unit for 14 and 15 SEER measures, and at \$400 per unit for 16 SEER units and above (should these become cost-effective). This incentive structure ensures that incentives are equitably distributed and available to more potential participants. Staff also recommends that incentives should be capped at 75 percent of the incremental cost. These caps are based on those set for the APS Residential HVAC program.

Staff also recommends that, in calculating the incremental cost, any applicable current federal tax credit for higher efficiency HVAC equipment should be taken into account. In

¹ It is common to install oversized air conditioning, because oversized units cool space more rapidly. Oversized units consume more energy and run for shorter cycles, making them less energy efficient.

² The APS Residential HVAC program provides a \$100 incentive to homeowners for installations performed by APS Qualified Contractors and which meet Energy Star Quality standards.

keeping with the APS program practice, the amount of any federal tax credit should be subtracted from the incremental cost of the equipment.³

Delivery Strategy

UNS Electric would market the program. (See the Marketing section, below, for more detail.)

UNS Electric would also work with an Implementation Contractor ("IC") on systems for collecting the data needed for program management and evaluation. The IC would also be responsible for the following duties:

- reviewing applications from customers to ensure that program criteria are met;
- issuing approvals, or letters indicating that an application has been declined, or that corrective action is required;
- conducting installation inspections;
- updating the tracking system; and
- issuing payment once installations are deemed acceptable.

The evaluation, monitoring and verification ("EM&V") of program measures would be done by a third party contractor. The EM&V contractor would both confirm energy savings and perform on-site inspections, in addition to those performed by the IC.

As part of the delivery process, Staff recommends that either UNS Electric or its IC track the availability of federal tax credits for residential air conditioners and heat pumps, and that the program provide assistance to participants, to make them aware of applicable tax credits and to assist them with the process of applying for federal tax credits.

Marketing

The advertising campaign would stress that high-efficiency HVAC systems would reduce customer energy bills, provide equal or better comfort, and benefit the environment. The program would be marketed through the following ways:

³ As an example, if a standard unit costs \$4,000 and a high-efficiency unit costs \$4,500, then the incremental cost equals \$500; a \$300 federal tax credit would be subtracted from the \$500 incremental cost, leaving a \$200 incremental cost. Based on a \$200 incremental cost, the Residential HVAC incentive could be no more than \$150 per unit.

- UNS Electric promotional events;
- bill stuffers targeted to UNS Electric residential customers;
- the UNS Electric website, which would promote the benefits of purchasing high-efficiency HVAC equipment;
- advertising the program in major newspapers and other print media;
- contractors and contractor incentives (see the *Incentives* section, above);
- educational brochures and program promotional materials; and
- UNS Electric customer care centers.

Projected Participation

UNS Electric projects participation of 746 units during the first year of the program. Of that number, the Company projects installation of 521 air conditioners and 225 heat pumps.

Year	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Projected installations	746	768	791	815	840

Monitoring and Evaluation

Monitoring and evaluation of the program would be done primarily by the IC and would include the following activities:

- **Database management** – managing the tracking database and providing information for the semi-annual DSM reports to be filed with the Arizona Corporation Commission.
- **Integrated implementation data collection** – collecting data necessary to calculate values and yield more accurate evaluations through, for example, customer applications, field verifications and contractor invoices. The type of data collected would include the quantity, capacity, efficiency, and operating parameters for pre-existing and installed measures.
- **Field verification** – verifying the installation of a sample of measures.
- **Tracking of savings using deemed savings values** – tracking savings from completed installations. Measurement of savings from retrofit measures would

include pre- and post-project billing comparisons. Other means of evaluation would also be employed, including on-site inspection of equipment, data logging of equipment performance, and due diligence review of engineering calculations and documentation.

The third party EM&V contractor would use the database to evaluate energy savings arising from installed measures. The EM&V contractor's review of program design assumptions would begin soon after rollout and continue throughout the life of the program. This approach would provide UNS Electric with ongoing feedback on progress and enable management to adjust or correct the program to be more effective and more cost beneficial.

Staff recommends that actual energy savings be determined for both measures at each SEER level. Following these determinations, Staff recommends that UNS Electric modify those measures or SEER levels which do not provide sufficient energy savings to be cost-effective and eliminate those measures that cannot be modified in a manner that would produce cost-effective energy savings.

Program Budget

The proposed budget for the Residential HVAC Retrofit program is shown in the table below:

Managerial and Clerical	\$22,140
Travel and Direct Expenses	\$3,240
Overhead	\$1,620
Total Administrative Cost	\$27,000
Internal Marketing Expense	\$12,000
Subcontracted Marketing Expense	\$12,000
Total Marketing Cost	\$24,000
Support Activity Labor	\$45,600
Hardware and Materials	\$12,000
Rebate Processing and Inspection	\$9,600
Total Direct Implementation (minus incentives)	\$67,200
Financial Incentives	\$172,800
Total Incentives	\$172,800
EM&V/Research Activity	\$8,100
EM&V Overhead	\$900
Total EM&V	\$9,000
Total Program Budget	\$300,000

2008-2012 Program Budget

Year	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Total Budget	\$300,000	\$309,000	\$318,270	\$327,818	\$337,653
Incentives	\$172,800	\$177,984	\$183,324	\$188,823	\$194,488
Administrative Costs	\$127,200	\$131,016	\$134,946	\$138,995	\$143,165
Incentives as % of Budget	57.6%	57.6%	57.6%	57.6%	57.6%

Cost-Benefit Analysis

Staff's analysis indicates that the UNS Electric program has a cost-benefit ratio of 1.38 for the 14 and 15 SEER air conditioning and heat pump measures. Due to comparatively high incremental costs, the air conditioning and heat pump measures are not cost-effective at 16 and above SEER levels at this time. If incremental costs decrease sufficiently to make higher SEER levels cost-effective, the Company may file for Commission approval to add these SEER levels to the program.

Staff recommends that, at this time, incentives be provided for only 14 and 15 SEER level equipment.

Projected kWh Savings

The program's lifetime kWh savings are projected at 49,555,580 kWh, or 49,556 MWh. Given a \$300,000 budget, each dollar spent would save 165 kWh. Staff emphasizes that for significant savings to be realized and for the program to remain cost-effective, residential HVAC units must be right-sized and installed properly.

Projected Environmental Savings

Projected environmental savings for the UNS Electric Residential HVAC program are listed below.

Projected Lifetime Environment Savings

Type of Savings	Estimated Savings	Measured in:
CO2	80,577,373	Lbs.
SOx	38,654	Lbs.
NOx	124,881	Lbs.

Reporting Requirements

Pursuant to Decision No. 58984, UNS Electric has been filing semi-annual reports on its existing DSM programs. Staff recommends that, if approved, the Residential HVAC program be included in this report.

Staff recommends that, at a minimum, reporting for the Residential HVAC program should include (i) the number of participants; (ii) the number and type of measures installed; (iii) the average cost of the installed measures; (iv) descriptions of program marketing; (v) copies of new or revised marketing materials; (vi) estimated cost savings to participants; (vii) energy savings as determined by the monitoring and evaluation process; (viii) the total amount of the program budget spent during the previous six months, the previous year and since the inception of the program; (ix) any significant impacts on program cost-effectiveness; (x) environmental savings, and (xi) descriptions of any problems and proposed solutions, including movements of funding from one program to another.

Summary of Staff Recommendations

- Staff recommends that the UNS Electric Residential HVAC program be approved.
- As part of the delivery process, Staff recommends that either UNS Electric or its IC track the availability of federal tax credits for residential air conditioners and heat pumps, and that the program provide assistance to participants, to make them aware of applicable tax credits and to assist them with the process of applying for federal tax credits.
- Staff also recommends that incentives should be capped at 75 percent of the incremental cost.
- Staff also recommends that, in calculating the incremental cost, any applicable current federal tax credit for higher efficiency HVAC equipment should be taken into account. In keeping with the APS program practice, the amount of any federal tax credit should be subtracted from the incremental cost of the equipment.
- Staff recommends that, at this time, incentives be provided for only 14 and 15 SEER level equipment.
- Staff also recommends that incentives be capped at a maximum of \$250 per unit for 14 and 15 SEER measures.
- Staff also recommends that UNS Electric review the energy savings from the program in order to determine whether a contractor qualification and incentive component, similar to that in place for the APS Residential HVAC DSM program, would help to ensure cost-effective energy savings.
- Staff recommends that rebate requirements include documentation verifying that a proper sizing calculation has been done, and that this documentation include the actual calculations.
- Staff recommends that, if approved, the Residential HVAC program be included in UNS Electric's semi-annual DSM reports filed with the Commission.

- Once actual energy savings have been determined, Staff recommends that UNS Electric modify those measures which do not provide sufficient energy savings to be cost-effective and eliminate those measures that cannot be modified in a manner that would produce cost-effective energy savings.
- Staff recommends that, at a minimum, reporting for the Residential HVAC program should include (i) the number of participants; (ii) the number and type of measures installed; (iii) the average cost of the installed measures; (iv) descriptions of program marketing; (v) copies of new or revised marketing materials; (vi) estimated cost savings to participants; (vii) energy savings as determined by the monitoring and evaluation process; (viii) the total amount of the program budget spent during the previous six months, the previous year and since the inception of the program; (ix) any significant impacts on program cost-effectiveness; (x) environmental savings, and (xi) descriptions of any problems and proposed solutions, including movements of funding from one program to another.



Ernest G. Johnson
Director
Utilities Division

EGJ:JKM:lhm\KT

ORIGINATOR: Julie McNeely-Kirwan

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

MIKE GLEASON
Chairman
WILLIAM A. MUNDELL
Commissioner
JEFF HATCH-MILLER
Commissioner
KRISTEN K. MAYES
Commissioner
GARY PIERCE
Commissioner

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION)
OF UNS ELECTRIC , INC. FOR)
APPROVAL OF ITS RESIDENTIAL HVAC)
RETROFIT DEMAND-SIDE)
MANAGEMENT PROGRAM)

DOCKET NO. E-04204A-07-0365
DECISION NO. _____
ORDER

Open Meeting
June 3 and 4, 2008
Phoenix, Arizona

BY THE COMMISSION:

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. UNS Electric, Inc., ("UNS Electric") is engaged in providing electric power within portions of Arizona, pursuant to authority granted by the Arizona Corporation Commission.
2. On June 13, 2007, UNS Electric filed an application for approval of its proposed Demand-Side Management ("DSM") Program Portfolio. On November 14, 2007, UNS Electric filed a revised Portfolio Plan, modifying the delivery mechanism and the measurement/evaluation plans, for some programs.
3. The UNS Electric DSM Portfolio consists of seven proposed programs. The UNS Electric Residential HVAC Retrofit program (one of the seven proposed programs) being reviewed herein is summarized below.
4. Residential HVAC Retrofit
The UNS Electric Residential HVAC Retrofit ("Residential HVAC") program would promote the installation of high-efficiency air conditioning and heat pump systems in existing

1 UNS Electric-area homes. The program would be newly-implemented, and would provide
2 incentives directly to homeowners.

3 5. Program Description

4 6. Goals. The primary objective of the program is to promote the purchase of high-
5 efficiency heating, ventilation and air conditioning ("HVAC") equipment exceeding the SEER 13
6 federal minimum within the UNS Electric service area.

7 7. Eligibility. The program is available to UNS Electric residential customers with
8 central air conditioning and heat pump systems. To be eligible, UNS Electric customers must be
9 homeowners and replace their existing air conditioning equipment with equipment that is 14 SEER
10 or above.

11 8. Incentives. Incentives to homeowners under the Residential HVAC Retrofit
12 program are listed in the table below.

Measure/Qualifying Criteria	Proposed Air Conditioner/Heat Pump Incentive	Incremental cost per ton (AC units)	Incentives as % of Incremental Cost (AC units)	Incremental cost per ton (Heat Pump units)	Incentives as % of Incremental Cost (Heat Pumps)
14 SEER	\$50/ton	\$67	75%	\$88	51%
15 SEER	\$75/ton	\$135	55%	\$195	38%
16 SEER and above	\$100/ton	\$202 (for 16 SEER)	50%	\$293(for 16 SEER)	34%
		\$269 (for 17 SEER)	37%	\$391(for 17 SEER)	26%
		\$337 (for 18 SEER)	30%	\$483(for 18 SEER)	20%

13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20 9. In addition to incentives to homeowners, marketing costs include \$25 per unit
21 payments to contractors, to encourage program promotion and offset the cost of detailed reporting
22 requirements.

23 10. Proper installation of new air conditioners and heat pumps is important to realizing
24 energy savings from the more efficient equipment. An air conditioner that is not sized and
25 installed correctly can have its efficiency reduced by as much as 30 percent.¹ Staff has
26 recommended that rebate requirements include documentation verifying that a proper sizing

27
28 ¹ It is common to install oversized air conditioning, because oversized units cool space more rapidly. Oversized units consume more energy and run for shorter cycles, making them less energy efficient.

1 calculation has been done and that this documentation include the actual calculations. Staff has
2 also recommended that UNS Electric review the energy savings from the program in order to
3 determine whether a contractor qualification and incentive component, similar to that in place for
4 the Arizona Public Service ("APS") Residential HVAC DSM program, would help to ensure cost-
5 effective energy savings.²

6 11. Staff has also recommended that incentives be capped at a maximum of \$250 per
7 unit for 14 and 15 SEER measures, and at \$400 per unit for 16 SEER measures and above (should
8 these become cost-effective). This incentive structure ensures that incentives are equitably
9 distributed and available to more potential participants. Staff has also recommended that incentives
10 should be capped at 75 percent of the incremental cost. These caps are based on those set for the
11 APS Residential HVAC program.

12 12. Staff has also recommended that in calculating the incremental cost, any applicable
13 current federal tax credit for higher efficiency HVAC equipment should be taken into account. In
14 keeping with the APS program practice, the amount of any federal tax credit should be subtracted
15 from the incremental cost of the equipment.³

16 13. Delivery Strategy

17 14. UNS Electric would market the program. (See the Marketing section, below, for
18 more detail.)

19 15. UNS Electric would also work with an Implementation Contractor ("IC") on
20 systems for collecting the data needed for program management and evaluation. The IC would
21 also be responsible for the following duties:

- 22 • reviewing applications from customers to ensure that program criteria are met;
- 23 • issuing approvals, or letters indicating that an application has been declined, or that
24 corrective action is required;

25 _____
26 ² The APS Residential HVAC program provides a \$100 incentive to homeowners for installations performed by APS
Qualified Contractors and which meet Energy Star Quality standards.

27 ³ As an example, if a standard unit costs \$4,000 and a high-efficiency unit costs \$4,500, then the incremental cost
28 equals \$500; a \$300 federal tax credit would be subtracted from the \$500 incremental cost, leaving a \$200 incremental
cost. Based on a \$200 incremental cost, the Residential HVAC incentive could be no more than \$150 per unit.

- 1 • conducting installation inspections;
- 2 • updating the tracking system; and
- 3 • issuing payment once installations are deemed acceptable.

4

5 16. The evaluation, monitoring and verification (“EM&V”) of program measures would
6 be done by a third party contractor. The EM&V contractor would both confirm energy savings
7 and perform on-site inspections, in addition to those performed by the IC.

8 17. As part of the delivery process, Staff has recommended that either UNS Electric or
9 its IC track the availability of federal tax credits for residential air conditioners and heat pumps,
10 and that the program provide assistance to participants, to make them aware of applicable tax
11 credits and to assist them with the process of applying for federal tax credits.

12 18. Marketing

13 The advertising campaign would stress that high-efficiency HVAC systems would reduce
14 customer energy bills, provide equal or better comfort and benefit the environment. The program
15 would be marketed through the following ways:

- 16 • UNS Electric promotional events;
- 17 • bill stuffers targeted to UNS Electric residential customers;
- 18 • the UNS Electric website, which would promote the benefits of purchasing high-
19 efficiency HVAC equipment;
- 20 • advertising the program in major newspapers and other print media;
- 21 • contractors and contractor incentives (see the Incentives section, above);
- 22 • educational brochures and program promotional materials; and
- 23 • UNS Electric customer care centers.

24

25 19. Projected Participation

26 UNS Electric projects participation of 746 units during the first year of the program. Of
27 that number, the Company projects installation of 521 air conditioners and 225 heat pumps.

28 ...

Year	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Projected installations	746	768	791	815	840

20. Monitoring and Evaluation

Monitoring and evaluation of the program would be done primarily by the IC and would include the following activities:

- Database management – managing the tracking database and providing information for the semi-annual DSM reports to be filed with the Arizona Corporation Commission.
- Integrated implementation data collection – collecting data necessary to calculate values and yield more accurate evaluations through, for example, customer applications, field verifications and contractor invoices. The type of data collected would include the quantity, capacity, efficiency, and operating parameters for pre-existing and installed measures.
- Field verification – verifying the installation of a sample of measures.
- Tracking of savings using deemed savings values – tracking savings from completed installations. Measurement of savings from retrofit measures would include pre- and post-project billing comparisons. Other means of evaluation would also be employed, including on-site inspection of equipment, data logging of equipment performance, and due diligence review of engineering calculations and documentation.

21. The third party EM&V contractor would use the database to evaluate energy savings arising from installed measures. The EM&V contractor's review of program design assumptions would begin soon after rollout and continue throughout the life of the program. This approach would provide UNS Electric with ongoing feedback on progress and enable management to adjust or correct the program to be more effective and more cost beneficial.

22. Staff has recommended that actual energy savings be determined for both measures at each SEER level. Following these determinations, Staff has recommended that UNS Electric modify those measures or SEER levels which do not provide sufficient energy savings to be cost-effective and eliminate those measures that cannot be modified in a manner that would produce cost-effective energy savings.

23. Program Budget

The proposed budget for the Residential HVAC Retrofit program is shown in the table below:

Residential HVAC Retrofit 2008 Budget

Managerial and Clerical	\$22,140
Travel and Direct Expenses	\$3,240
Overhead	\$1,620
Total Administrative Cost	\$27,000
Internal Marketing Expense	\$12,000
Subcontracted Marketing Expense	\$12,000
Total Marketing Cost	\$24,000
Support Activity Labor	\$45,600
Hardware and Materials	\$12,000
Rebate Processing and Inspection	\$9,600
Total Direct Implementation (minus incentives)	\$67,200
Financial Incentives	\$172,800
Total Incentives	\$172,800
EM&V/Research Activity	\$8,100
EM&V Overhead	\$900
Total EM&V	\$9,000
Total Program Budget	\$300,000

2008-2012 Program Budget

Year	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Total Budget	\$300,000	\$309,000	\$318,270	\$327,818	\$337,653
Incentives	\$172,800	\$177,984	\$183,324	\$188,823	\$194,488
Administrative Costs	\$127,200	\$131,016	\$134,946	\$138,995	\$143,165
Incentives as % of Budget	57.6%	57.6%	57.6%	57.6%	57.6%

24. Cost-Benefit Analysis

Staff's analysis indicates that the UNS Electric program has a cost-benefit ratio of 1.38 for the 14 and 15 SEER air conditioning and heat pump measures. Due to comparatively high incremental costs, the air conditioning and heat pump measures are not cost-effective at 16 and above SEER levels at this time. If incremental costs decrease sufficiently to make higher SEER levels cost-effective, the Company may file for Commission approval to add these SEER levels to the program.

25. Staff recommends that, at this time, incentives be provided for only 14 and 15 SEER level equipment.

26. Projected kWh Savings

The program's lifetime kWh savings are projected at 49,555,580 kWh, or 49,556 MWh. Given a \$300,000 budget, each dollar spent would save 165 kWh. Staff emphasizes that, for

...

1 significant savings to be realized and for the program to remain cost-effective, residential HVAC
2 units must be right-sized and installed properly.

3 27. Projected Environmental Savings

4 Projected environmental savings for the UNS Electric Residential HVAC program are
5 listed below:

Projected Lifetime Environment Savings		
Type of Savings	Estimated Savings	Measured in:
CO2	80,577,373	Lbs.
SOx	38,654	Lbs.
NOx	124,881	Lbs.

9 28. Reporting Requirements

10 29. Pursuant to Decision No. 58984, UNS Electric has been filing semi-annual reports
11 on its existing DSM programs. Staff has recommended that, if approved, the Residential HVAC
12 program be included in this report.

13 30. Staff has recommended that, at a minimum, reporting for the Residential HVAC
14 program should include (i) the number of participants; (ii) the number and type of measures
15 installed; (iii) the average cost of the installed measures; (iv) descriptions of program marketing;
16 (v) copies of new or revised marketing materials; (vi) estimated cost savings to participants; (vii)
17 energy savings as determined by the monitoring and evaluation process; (viii) the total amount of
18 the program budget spent during the previous six months, the previous year and since the inception
19 of the program; (ix) any significant impacts on program cost-effectiveness; (x) environmental
20 savings, and (xi) descriptions of any problems and proposed solutions, including movements of
21 funding from one program to another.

22 31. Summary of Staff Recommendations

23 32. Staff has recommended that the UNS Electric Residential HVAC program be
24 approved.

25 33. As part of the delivery process, Staff has recommended that either UNS Electric or
26 its IC track the availability of federal tax credits for residential air conditioners and heat pumps,
27 and that the program provide assistance to participants, to make them aware of applicable tax
28 credits and to assist them with the process of applying for federal tax credits.

1 34. Staff has also recommended that incentives should be capped at 75 percent of the
2 incremental cost.

3 35. Staff has also recommended that in calculating the incremental cost, any applicable
4 current federal tax credit for higher efficiency HVAC equipment should be taken into account. In
5 keeping with the APS program practice, the amount of any federal tax credit should be subtracted
6 from the incremental cost of the equipment.

7 36. Staff has recommended that, at this time, incentives be provided for only 14 and 15
8 SEER level equipment.

9 37. Staff has also recommended that incentives be capped at a maximum of \$250 per
10 unit for 14 and 15 SEER measures.

11 38. Staff has also recommended that UNS Electric review the energy savings from the
12 program in order to determine whether a contractor qualification and incentive component, similar
13 to that in place for the APS Residential HVAC DSM program, would help to ensure cost-effective
14 energy savings.

15 39. Staff has recommended that rebate requirements include documentation verifying
16 that a proper sizing calculation has been done, and that this documentation include the actual
17 calculations.

18 40. Staff has recommended that, if approved, the Residential HVAC program be
19 included in UNS Electric's semi-annual DSM reports filed with the Commission.

20 41. Once actual energy savings have been determined, Staff has recommended that
21 UNS Electric modify those measures which do not provide sufficient energy savings to be cost-
22 effective and eliminate those measures that cannot be modified in a manner that would produce
23 cost-effective energy savings.

24 42. Staff has recommended that, at a minimum, reporting for the Residential HVAC
25 program should include (i) the number of participants; (ii) the number and type of measures
26 installed; (iii) the average cost of the installed measures; (iv) descriptions of program marketing;
27 (v) copies of new or revised marketing materials; (vi) estimated cost savings to participants; (vii)
28 energy savings as determined by the monitoring and evaluation process; (viii) the total amount of

1 the program budget spent during the previous six months, the previous year and since the inception
2 of the program; (ix) any significant impacts on program cost-effectiveness; (x) environmental
3 savings, and (xi) descriptions of any problems and proposed solutions, including movements of
4 funding from one program to another.

5 CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

6 1. UNS Electric is an Arizona public service corporation within the meaning of Article
7 XV, Section 2, of the Arizona Constitution.

8 2. The Commission has jurisdiction over UNS Electric and over the subject matter of
9 the application.

10 3. The Commission, having reviewed the application and Staff's Memorandum dated
11 May 20, 2008, concludes that it is in the public interest to approve the Residential HVAC Retrofit
12 program.

13 ORDER

14 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the UNS Electric, Inc. Residential HVAC Retrofit
15 program be and hereby is approved, with the modifications listed below.

16 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that either UNS Electric, Inc. or its IC track the availability
17 of federal tax credits for residential air conditioners and heat pumps, and that the program provide
18 assistance to participants, to make them aware of applicable tax credits and to assist them with the
19 process of applying for federal tax credits.

20 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that incentives be capped at 75 percent of the incremental
21 cost.

22 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that incentives be provided for only 14 and 15 SEER level
23 equipment.

24 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that incentives be capped at a maximum of \$250 per unit for
25 14 and 15 SEER measures.

26 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that in calculating the incremental cost, any applicable
27 current federal tax credit for higher efficiency HVAC equipment be taken into account by reducing
28 the incremental cost by the amount of any federal tax credit.

1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that UNS Electric, Inc. review the energy savings from the
2 program in order to determine whether a contractor qualification and incentive component, similar
3 to that in place for the APS Residential HVAC DSM program, would help to ensure cost-effective
4 energy savings.

5 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that rebate requirements include documentation verifying
6 that a proper sizing calculation has been done, and that this documentation include the actual
7 calculations.

8 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Residential HVAC program be included in UNS
9 Electric's semi-annual DSM reports filed with the Commission.

10 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that UNS Electric, Inc. modify those measures which do not
11 provide sufficient energy savings to be cost-effective and eliminate those measures that cannot be
12 modified in a manner that would produce cost-effective energy savings.

13 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, at a minimum, reporting for the Residential HVAC
14 program shall include (i) the number of participants; (ii) the number and type of measures
15 installed; (iii) the average cost of the installed measures; (iv) descriptions of program marketing;
16 (v) copies of new or revised marketing materials; (vi) estimated cost savings to participants; (vii)
17 energy savings as determined by the monitoring and evaluation process; (viii) the total amount of

18 ...
19 ...
20 ...
21 ...
22 ...
23 ...
24 ...
25 ...
26 ...
27 ...
28 ...

1 the program budget spent during the previous six months, the previous year and since the inception
 2 of the program; (ix) any significant impacts on program cost-effectiveness; (x) environmental
 3 savings, and (xi) descriptions of any problems and proposed solutions, including movements of
 4 funding from one program to another.

5 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately.

6 **BY THE ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION**

7
 8
 9 _____
 10 CHAIRMAN COMMISSIONER
 11
 12 _____
 13 COMMISSIONER COMMISSIONER COMMISSIONER

14 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, BRIAN C. McNEIL, Executive
 15 Director of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have
 16 hereunto, set my hand and caused the official seal of this
 17 Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of
 18 Phoenix, this _____ day of _____, 2008.

19 _____
 20 BRIAN C. McNEIL
 21 Executive Director

22 DISSENT: _____

23 DISSENT: _____

24 EGJ:JMK:lhmk\KT
 25
 26
 27
 28

1 SERVICE LIST FOR: UNS Electric, Inc.
2 DOCKET NO. E-04024A-07-0365

3 Ms. Michelle Livengood, Esq.
4 Unisource Energy Services
5 One South Church, Suite 200
6 Tucson, Arizona 85701

7 Mr. Scott S. Wakefield
8 Chief Counsel
9 Residential Utility Consumer Office
10 1110 West Washington Street, Suite 220
11 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

12 Mr. Ernest G. Johnson
13 Director, Utilities Division
14 Arizona Corporation Commission
15 1200 West Washington
16 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

17 Ms. Janice M. Alward
18 Chief Counsel, Legal Division
19 Arizona Corporation Commission
20 1200 West Washington
21 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34