

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION CC

2 <u>COMMISSIONERS</u>
3 MIKE GLEASON, Chairman WILLIAM A. MUNDELL JEFF HATCH-MILLER

Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED

APR 29 2008

DOCKETED BY

JEFF HATCH-MILLER KRISTIN K. MAYES GARY PIERCE

MICHAEL ANDREW DAWES (CRD#62832), individually,

In the matter of:

Respondent.

ORDER TO CEASE AND DESIST, ORDER FOR ADMINISTRATIVE PENALTIES, REVOCATION AND CONSENT TO SAME

DOCKET NO. S-20570A-07-0709

Decision No. 70324

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1

5

6

7

8

9

Respondent MICHAEL ANDREW DAWES (a/k/a MICHAEL DAWES) ("Respondent") elects to permanently waive any right to a hearing and appeal under Articles 11 and 12 of the Securities Act of Arizona, A.R.S. § 44-1801 et seq. ("Securities Act") with respect to this Order To Cease And Desist, Order for Administrative Penalty and Consent to Same ("Order"). Respondent admits only for purposes of this proceeding and any other administrative proceeding before the Commission the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law contained in this Order; and consents to the entry of this Order by the Commission.

18

19

20

21

22

2324

25

26

FINDINGS OF FACT

I.

- 1. MICHAEL ANDREW DAWES (a/k/a MICHAEL DAWES) ("DAWES") is an unmarried man who resides in Tucson, Arizona.
- 2. DAWES is and was, at all times, a registered securities salesman, CRD# 62832, in Arizona since June 9, 1998. DAWES' last known principal place of business was located in Green Valley, Arizona.
- 3. DAWES was employed by Emmett A. Larkin Company, Inc. ("Emmett Larkin") as a registered securities salesman from May 18, 1998 until February 5, 2007. DAWES was

 responsible for managing Emmett Larkin branch offices in Sierra Vista and Green Valley, Arizona.

Currently, DAWES is not affiliated with any securities dealer.

- 4. DAWES is licensed with the Arizona Department of Insurance ("Department of Insurance") to sell life insurance products and variable annuities. DAWES first became licensed to sell life insurance in January 24, 1990. His insurance license expires in June 2011.
- 5. On or about February 5, 2007, DAWES resigned from Emmett Larkin after admitting to law enforcement that he had been accepting loans/investments from lenders/investors for a non-existent investment opportunity. Most but not all of the lenders/investors were Emmett Larkin clients.
- 6. DAWES borrowed/raised about \$4 million from, at least, 80 lenders/investors between 1998 and 2007 by selling securities, in the form of promissory notes. DAWES borrowing/raising funds from lenders/investors, constituted selling securities, in the form of promissory notes. DAWES currently owes lenders/investors approximately \$1.3 to \$1.7 million. However, the exact amount has not yet been determined.
- 7. Many of the lenders/investors interviewed by the Division, stated that DAWES said to them that he intended to use the borrowed funds to invest in a high interest "off-shore" investment, although no such investment existed. These lenders/investors also stated that DAWES promised them high interest returns on these "off-shore" investments, ranging between 10% to 30%.
- 8. The parties agree that DAWES failed to disclose to his lenders/investors that the money he received from them would be used to cover his personal losses he incurred from options and stock trading, repay previous lenders/investors and pay some personal expenses. DAWES also failed to disclose to his lenders/investors that the primary source of funds to repay these loans/investments was funds he received other lenders/investors.
- 9. Moreover, DAWES represented to his lenders/investors that their investment or loan would be repaid on a specific date but some loans were not repaid on the date promised.

1

4

7

9 10

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

- On November 6, 2007, DAWES entered into a plea agreement with the United 10. States Attorney's Office in which he pled guilty to felony Mail Fraud and felony Money Laundering (a/k/a Promotion) ("Plea Agreement") in United States District Court. (See United States v. Dawes, United States District Court for the District of Arizona, Docket # CR07-01867-001-TUC-JMR (CRP)).
- DAWES admitted in the Plea Agreement to operating a "Ponzi scheme" involving 11. Emmett Larkin clients from 1998 until 2006 while employed with the dealer.
- DAWES also admitted in the Plea Agreement to borrowing money from Emmett 12. Larkin clients beginning in 1998 until 2006 to recoup losses he suffered from speculating in the options market.
- As a result of his guilty plea, DAWES faces the following maximum penalties: (a) 13. Mail Fraud: a fine of \$1 million, a term of 30 years of imprisonment, or both, and a period of 5 years supervised release; and (b) Money Laundering: a fine of \$500,000 or twice the value of the property involved in the transaction, whichever is greater, a term of 20 years imprisonment, or both, and a period of 3 years of supervised release. DAWES and the United States Attorney's Office stipulated to a term of imprisonment for DAWES up to 37 months.
- As a term of his plea agreement, DAWES has agreed to pay restitution in the 14. amount of the loss to lenders/investors which represents the gross proceeds obtained from and/or involved in DAWES' conduct at issue, and which have not been repaid. DAWES is assisting the U.S. Attorney's Office in compiling a complete and accurate list of lenders/investors and the amount owed to each in order to determine the amount of restitution DAWES will be ordered to pay. The amount of restitution DAWES will be ordered to repay lenders/investors will be available at the sentencing hearing.
- DAWES is scheduled to be sentenced in United States District Court on May 2, 15. 2008.

II.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. The Commission has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to Article XV of the Arizona Constitution and the Securities Act.
- 2. As outlined above, DAWES offered or sold securities within or from Arizona, within the meaning of A.R.S. §§ 44-1801(15), 44-1801(21), and 44-1801(26).
- 3. DAWES violated A.R.S. § 44-1841 by offering or selling securities that were neither registered nor exempt from registration.
- 4. DAWES violated A.R.S. § 44-1991 by (a) employing a device, scheme or artifice to defraud, (b) making untrue statements or misleading omissions of material facts, and (c) engaging in transactions, practices or courses of business which operate or would operate as a fraud or deceit.
- 5. DAWES' conduct is grounds to revoke DAWES' registration as a securities salesman with the Commission pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1962 (A). Specifically:
 - a. DAWES has been convicted of a felony involving a transaction in securities, of which fraud was an essential element and which arose out of the conduct of business in securities, within the meaning of A.R.S. §44-1962 (A)(6), through his entry and the United States District Court's acceptance of DAWES' guilty plea to felony Mail Fraud and felony Money Laundering charges in the operation of a "Ponzi scheme" which involved clients of his securities business;
 - b. DAWES has violated A.R.S. §44-1991 of the anti-fraud provisions of the Securities Act, within the meaning of A.R.S. §44-1962 (A)(2), by misrepresenting or omitting material facts to lenders/investors by telling them that they could earn a high rate of return for loaning DAWES money in an investment DAWES described as being similar to an off-shore loan/investment, when such an investment did not exist;

- c. DAWES has been found guilty of a fraudulent act in connection with the purchase and sale of securities, within the meaning of A.R.S. §44-1962 (A)(9), through his entry and the United States District Court's acceptance of DAWES' guilty plea to felony Mail Fraud and felony Money Laundering charges which arose from DAWES misleading lenders/investors about how the funds he borrowed would be used and that lenders/investors were being repaid with funds from other lenders/investors; and
- d. DAWES employed a manipulative or deceptive device or contrivance, in connection with the purchase or sale of a security, as defined by A.A.C. Rule R14-4-130(14), by not providing his lender/investors the actual reason he borrowed funds from them.
- 6. DAWES' conduct is grounds to assess administrative penalties and/or take appropriate affirmative action pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1962 (B). Specifically:
 - a. DAWES has engaged in dishonest or unethical practices in business and financial matters, within the meaning of A.R.S. § 44-1962 (A)(10) as defined by A.A.C. R14-4-130(A)(15), by borrowing money from his brokerage clients;
 - b. DAWES has engaged in dishonest or unethical practices in business and financial matters within the meaning of A.R.S. § 44-1962 (A)(10) as defined by A.A.C. R14-4-130(A)(16), by using clients' funds for his own personal benefit; and
 - c. DAWES has engaged in dishonest or unethical practices in business and financial matters within the meaning of A.R.S. § 44-1962 (A)(10) as defined by A.A.C. R14-4-130(A)(17), by selling securities that were not recorded on the records of his dealer, Emmett Larkin, with whom DAWES was registered at the time of the transactions.

- 7. DAWES' conduct is grounds for a cease and desist order pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2032.
- 8. DAWES' conduct is ground for revocation of his securities salesperson's license pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1962.
- 9. DAWES' conduct is grounds for administrative penalties pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2036.

III.

ORDER

THEREFORE, on the basis of the Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Respondent's consent to the entry of this Order, attached and incorporated by reference, the Commission finds that the following relief is appropriate, in the public interest, and necessary for the protection of investors:

IT IS ORDERED, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2032, that Respondent permanently cease and desist from violating the Securities Act. Respondent shall not sell any securities in or from Arizona.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Respondent comply with the attached Consent to Entry of Order.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2036, that the Respondent shall pay an administrative penalty in the amount of \$100,000.00. Payment shall be made payable to the order of the "State of Arizona." The payment obligations for these administrative penalties shall be subordinate to any restitution obligations ordered in *United States v. Dawes*, United States District Court for the District of Arizona, Docket # CR07-01867-001-TUC-JMR (CRP) and shall become immediately due and payable to the state of Arizona only after restitution payments have been paid in full or upon the Respondent's default with respect to his restitution obligations as set forth herein. Upon becoming due and payable to the state of Arizona, any

amount outstanding for this administrative penalty shall accrue interest at the rate of 10% per 1 2 annum. IT IS FUTHER ORDERED, pursuant to A.R.S. §44-1962, that the Respondent's securities 3 salesman registration is permanently revoked. 4 5 For purposes of this Order, a bankruptcy filing by Respondent shall be an act of default. If the Respondent does not comply with this Order, any outstanding balance may be deemed in 6 default and shall be immediately due and payable to the state of Arizona. Any amount in default 7 shall accrue interest at the rate of 10% per annum. 8 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that if the Respondent fails to comply with this order, the 9 Commission may bring further legal proceedings against him, including application to the superior 10 court for an order of contempt. 11 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Order shall become effective immediately. 12 BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 13 14 15 COMMISSIONER **CHAIRMAN** 16 17 18 19 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, BRIAN C. McNEIL, 20 Director of the Arizona Executive Commission, have hereunto set my hand and caused the 21 official seal of the Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix, this day of 22 . 2008. 23 24 25 Executive Director 26 7

Decision No.

70324

ISSIONER

Corporation

- 1	
1	
2	DISSENT
3	
4	DISSENT
5	This document is available in alternative formats by contacting Linda Hogan, Executive Assistant
6	to the Executive Director, voice phone number 602-542-3931, E-mail <u>lhogan@azcc.gov.</u>
7	
8	(RFS)
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	8

70324 Decision No. __

CONSENT TO ENTRY OF ORDER

1. MICHAEL ANDREW DAWES (a/k/a MICHAEL DAWES) ("Respondent") admits the jurisdiction of the Commission over the subject matter of this proceeding. Respondent acknowledges that he has been fully advised of his right to a hearing to present evidence and call witnesses and Respondent knowingly and voluntarily waives any and all rights to a hearing before the Commission and all other rights otherwise available under Article 11 of the Securities Act and Title 14 of the Arizona Administrative Code. Respondent acknowledges that this Order to Cease and Desist, Order for Administrative Penalties and Consent to Same ("Order") constitutes a valid final order of the Commission.

- 2. Respondent knowingly and voluntarily waives any right under Article 12 of the Securities Act to judicial review by any court by way of suit, appeal, or extraordinary relief resulting from the entry of this Order.
- 3. Respondent acknowledges and agrees that this Order is entered into freely and voluntarily and that no promise was made or coercion used to induce such entry.
- 4. Respondent acknowledges that he has been represented by attorney A. Bates Butler III of the law firm of Fennemore Craig, P.C. in this matter. Respondent has reviewed this Order with his attorney and understands all terms it contains.
- 5. Respondent admits only for purposes of this proceeding and any other administrative proceeding before the Commission the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law contained in this Order. Respondent agrees that he shall not contest the validity of the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law contained in this Order in any present or future administrative proceeding before the Commission concerning the denial or issuance of any license or registration required by the State to engage in the practice of any business or profession.
- 6. By consenting to the entry of this Order, Respondent agrees not to take any action or to make, or permit to be made, any public statement denying, directly or indirectly, any Finding

of Fact or Conclusion of Law in this Order or creating the impression that this Order is without factual basis.

- 7. Respondent understands that this Order does not preclude any other agency or officer of the state of Arizona or its subdivisions from instituting administrative, civil, or criminal proceedings that may be related to matters addressed by this Order.
- 8. Respondent agrees that he will not apply to the state of Arizona for registration as a securities dealer or salesman or for licensure as an investment adviser or investment adviser representative, at anytime in the future.
- 9. Respondent agrees that he will not exercise any control over any entity that offers or sells securities or provides investment advisory services, within or from Arizona, at any time in the future.
- 10. Respondent agrees that he will not sell any securities, in or from Arizona, at anytime in the future.
- 11. Respondent agrees that Respondent will continue to cooperate with the Securities Division including, but not limited to, providing complete and accurate testimony at any hearing in this matter and cooperating with the state of Arizona in any related investigation or any other matters arising from the activities described in this Order.
- 12. Respondent consents to the entry of this Order and agrees to be fully bound by its terms and conditions.
- 13. Respondent acknowledges and understands that if he fails to comply with the provisions of the order and this consent, the Commission may bring further legal proceedings against him, including application to the superior court for an order of contempt.
- 14. Respondent understands that default shall render him liable to the Commission for its costs of collection and interest at the maximum legal rate.
- 15. Respondent agrees and understands that if he fails to make any payment as required in the Order, any outstanding balance shall be in default and shall be immediately due and payable

to the state of Arizona without notice or demand. Respondent agrees and understands that acceptance of any partial or late payment by the Commission is not a waiver of default by Commission. Michael Andrew Dawes (a/k/a Michael Dawes) STATE OF ARIZONA) ss County of PIMA SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE me this // that day of April , 2008. My Commission Expires: