

ORIGINAL

COMMISSIONERS
MIKE GLEASON - Chairman
WILLIAM A. MUNDELL
JEFF HATCH-MILLER
KRISTIN K. MAYES
GARY PIERCE



0000084078

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

RECEIVED

leleD

2008 APR 10 P 1:50

April 11, 2008

AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

APR 10 2008

Mr. Gary H. Horton, Esq.
PMB 447
989 S. Main Street, Suite A
Cottonwood, Arizona 86325

DOCKETED BY	nr
-------------	----

RE: Staff's Third Letter of Insufficiency and Third Set of Data Requests to Midvale Telephone Exchange, Inc., Docket No. T-02532A-07-0586

Dear Mr. Horton:

On October 9, 2007, Midvale Telephone Exchange ("Midvale") filed an Application at the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") for an Amendment to Its Certificate of Convenience and Necessity ("CC&N") to provide telecommunications services within the State of Arizona. On March 17, 2008, Midvale submitted its response to Staff's Second Letter of Insufficiency. The Application submitted is not yet sufficient. This data request lists the information Staff needs to complete its analysis of the Application.

For purposes of this data request set, the words "Midvale", "Applicant", the "Company", "you", and "your" refer to Midvale Telephone Exchange, Inc., any representative, including every person and/or entity acting with, under the control of, or on behalf of Midvale Telephone Exchange, Inc.. **For each answer, please identify by name, title, e-mail address, telephone number and address each person providing information that forms the basis for the response provided.**

These data requests are continuing, and your answers or any documents supplied in response to these data requests should be supplemented with any additional information or documents that come to your attention after you have provided your initial responses. Also, please make sure all information you provide in response to item(s) concerning this Application, including Staff's data requests, is updated and current. If you need to update your response to any item(s)/request(s), please reference the item(s)/request(s) and provide your current response(s).

Please provide Docket Control with the information being requested within **30 days** of the date of this letter. Mail an Original plus 13 copies to Docket Control, Arizona Corporation Commission, 1200 W. Washington Street, Phoenix, AZ 85007-2927. If no response is received, Staff will recommend that the Application be terminated. If the Application is terminated, the Applicant cannot provide to the requested CC&N extension area the telecommunications

services that it is authorized to provide in Arizona, until such time as a new Application is filed with and approved by the Commission.

Remember that information submitted concerning a CC&N will be made a part of the public record (including financial statements). Any information designated as confidential will not be accepted by Docket Control. Should there be any questions, please contact me at (602) 364-0336. Thank you for your prompt response to this request.

Respectfully,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'R. Boyles', written in a cursive style.

Richard Boyles
Utilities Engineer
Utilities Division

Enclosure(s)

CC: Docket Control (Original and Thirteen Copies)

STAFF'S THIRD SET OF DATA REQUESTS TO,
MIDVALE TELEPHONE EXCHANGE, INC.
DOCKET NO. T-02532A-07-0586

In addition to a paper response, all information responses should also be provided in searchable PDF, DOC or EXCEL files via email or electronic media.

Please make sure each numbered item and each part of the item is answered completely. In order for Staff to continue with its review of this Application, the following information must be submitted:

- STF 3.1 On the map which was provided as Exhibit B to the Company's Application, please indicate the approximate route of the fiber facility between its Millsite central office and the Poquito Valley and Antelope Meadows service areas.
- STF 3.2 The Company's response to STF 1.6 appears to be inconsistent with its response to STF 1.2 and 1.5. Please explain.
- STF 3.3 Please clarify if the Mingus Mountain subdivision referred in the Company's response to STF 1.7 is the same entity as Mingus Meadows identified in its response to STF 1.2
- STF 3.4 Referring to the Company's response to STF 1.19, has the Company determined if any environmental or cultural studies would be required to extend any of the Company's facilities into the requested CC&N extension area?
- STF 3.5 If the Company's response to STF 3.4 above is in the affirmative, what impacts, if any, does the Company anticipate in regards to costs and/or construction timeframes in relation to build-out of facilities in the requested CC&N extension area?
- STF 3.6 Has the Company been contacted by any other ILEC in regards to its Application? If yes, what was the nature of the contact?
- STF 3.7 Referring to the Company's response to Staff 2.1, Staff is seeking more quantitative clarification as to the distribution of potential customers in the requested CC&N extension area and in what time frame service requests from those potential customers might develop. Staff seeks further clarification as to whether there are any sections within the requested CC&N extension for which no demand might occur within five years or if there are sections that are included only because the Company anticipates having facilities that will transverse them. Please update the Company's response to Staff 2.1 based upon this clarification.
- STF 3.8 Referring to the Company's response to Staff 2.9, what is the timeframe for full build out of Las Vegas Ranch? What information, if any, does the Company have as to when construction of home may occur?

STF 3.9 Referring to the Company's response to Staff 2.9, if the area north and west of Crossroads currently has no structures or planned subdivisions, what is the Company's reasoning for including this territory in its CC&N Extension Application?