



ORIGINAL

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

049

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

COMMISSIONERS
MIKE GLEASON – CHAIRMAN
WILLIAM A. MUNDELL
JEFF HATCH-MILLER
KRISTIN K. MAYES
GARY PIERCE

2008 MAR 28 A 11: 59
AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF) DOCKET NO. E-01933A-07-0594
TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY FOR)
APPROVAL OF ITS RENEWABLE ENERGY) **NOTICE OF FILING AFFIDAVITS**
STANDARD AND TARIFF IMPLEMENTATION) **OF PUBLICATION AND MAILING**
PLAN.)
)
)
)

Tucson Electric Power Company, through undersigned counsel, hereby files the attached affidavits of publication and mailing.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 28th day of March 2008.

TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY

By: 
Michael W. Patten
Roshka DeWulf & Patten, PLC
One Arizona Center
400 East Van Buren Street, Suite 800
Phoenix, Arizona 85004

and

Marcus Jerden
Michelle Livengood
Tucson Electric Power Company
One South Church Avenue, Suite 200
Tucson, Arizona 85701

Arizona Corporation Commission
DOCKETED
MAR 28 2008

DOCKETED BY hp

1 Original and 13 copies of the foregoing
2 filed this 28th day of March 2008 with:

3 Docket Control
4 Arizona Corporation Commission
5 1200 West Washington Street
6 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

7 Copy of the foregoing hand-delivered/mailed
8 this 28th day of March 2008 to:

9 Chairman Mike Gleason
10 Arizona Corporation Commission
11 1200 West Washington Street
12 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

13 Commissioner William A. Mundell
14 Arizona Corporation Commission
15 1200 West Washington Street
16 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

17 Commissioner Jeff Hatch-Miller
18 Arizona Corporation Commission
19 1200 West Washington Street
20 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

21 Commissioner Kristin K. Mayes
22 Arizona Corporation Commission
23 1200 West Washington Street
24 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

25 Commissioner Gary Pierce
26 Arizona Corporation Commission
27 1200 West Washington Street
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Lyn Farmer
Chief Administrative Law Judge
Hearing Division
Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 West Washington Street
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Christopher C. Kempley, Esq.
Chief Counsel, Legal Division
Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 West Washington Street
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

1 Ernest G. Johnson
Director, Utilities Division
2 Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 West Washington Street
3 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

4 C. Webb Crockett
Patrick J. Black
5 FENNEMORE CRAIG, PC
3003 North Central Avenue, Suite 2600
6 Phoenix, Arizona 85012-2913

7 Peter Q. Nyce, Jr
General Attorney, Regulatory Law Office
8 Department of the Army
901 North Stuart Street, Room 713
9 Arlington, Virginia 22203

10 Timothy M. Hogan
Arizona Center for
11 Law in the Public Interest
202 E. McDowell Road, Suite 153
12 Phoenix, Arizona 85004

13 David Berry
Western Resource Advocates
14 P. O. Box 1064
Scottsdale, Arizona 85252

15 Dan Neidlinger
16 Neidlinger & Associates
3020 North 17th Drive
17 Phoenix, Arizona 85015

18 John Kromko
717 North 7th Avenue
19 Tucson, Arizona 85705

20 By: 
21

22

23

24

25

26

27

AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION

STATE OF ARIZONA)
) ss.
COUNTY OF PIMA)

Jamie Macias, being first duly sworn, deposes and says that she is the **Legal Advertising Manager** of **THE DAILY TERRITORIAL**, a daily newspaper printed and published in the County of Pima, State of Arizona, and of general circulation in the City of Tucson, County of Pima, State of Arizona and elsewhere, and the hereto attached:

PUBLIC NOTICE
APPLICATION OF TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER
COMPANY FOR APPROVAL OF RENEWABLE
ENERGY et al
no. e-01933a-07-0594

was printed and published correctly in the regular and entire issue of said **THE DAILY TERRITORIAL** for 2 issues; that was first made on the 29th day of February 2008 and the last publication thereof was made on the 7th day of March 2008; that said publication was made on each of the following days, to-wit:

02/29/08
03/07/08

at the Request of:

The Daily Territorial
Tucson Electric Power

By *Jamie A. Macias* Legal Advertising Manager

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 25th day of March 2008.

 **ROBBIE JONES**
Notary Public - Arizona
Pima County
Expires 11/01/08

Robbie Jones
Notary Public in and for the County of Pima, State of Arizona
My Commission Expires: 11-01-08

**PUBLIC NOTICE OF THE
APPLICATION OF TUCSON
ELECTRIC POWER
COMPANY FOR APPROVAL
OF ITS RENEWABLE
ENERGY STANDARD AND
TARIFF IMPLEMENTATION
PLAN AND RELATED
TARIFFS DOCKET NO.
E-01933A-07-0594**

On October 12, 2007, Tucson Electric Power Company ("TEP") filed its 2008 Renewable Energy Standard Implementation Plan ("The Plan"), its Renewable Energy Standard and Tariff ("REST") Tariff, its REST Adjustor Mechanism, its Uniform Credit Purchase Plan ("UCPP"), and its Customer Self-Directed tariff.

The Plan filed by TEP includes two options for Commission consideration. Option 1 is its "Full Compliance Opportunity Plan." This Option is what TEP believes the Commission should approve since it will provide sufficient funding for TEP to meet the 2008 REST target of 1.75% kWh sales from renewables. TEP will require \$22.7 million in additional annual revenue to implement Option 1. To collect this revenue, TEP proposes a surcharge of 0.4988 cents per kWh as included in the REST "Sample Tariff" but would increase the maximum caps beyond those specified in the Sample Tariff. TEP proposes maximums of \$5.20 per month for residential customers, \$39.00 per month for commercial customers, and increasing to \$1,500 per month for large commercial customers.

Option 2 is the "Sample Tariff Funding Plan" and would also be funded from the "Sample Tariff" surcharge of 0.4988 cents per kWh but with the Tariff-stated caps of \$1.05 per month for residential customers, \$39.00 for commercial, and \$117.00 per month for large commercial customers. TEP's Option 2 proposal would increase revenues by \$10.5 million. TEP's position is that it could not meet the 2008 goal of 1.75% kWh sales from renewables with Option 2.

Both Options represent a rate increase over the Environmentally Portfolio Surcharge ("EPS") which is currently in place for TEP. The proposed REST Surcharge of 0.4988 cents per kWh is greater than the present EPS Surcharge which is 0.0875 cents per kWh. In addition, with either Option 1 or Option 2, the maximum monthly cost per customer increases from the current EPS monthly caps of \$0.35 for residential, \$13.00 for commercial, and \$39.00 for large commercial customers.

With either Option, TEP is asking the Commission to approve a REST Adjustor Mechanism which would change the REST Tariff rate to reconcile any previous year's difference between REST Tariff revenue and

REST program expenses.

For each Option, TEP presents a UCPP proposal for Commission consideration. For Option 1, TEP proposes to increase its residential renewable energy system incentives to \$4.50 per Watt. For Option 2, TEP proposes using the existing SunShare incentive rate for residential solar installations which is \$3.00 per Watt.

TEP's Customer Self-Directed Tariff applies to either Option and provides Eligible Customers with information needed to apply for and maximize the benefits of TEP REST Tariff funds.

The application is available for public inspection during regular business hours at the Commission's offices at 1200 West Washington Street, Phoenix, Arizona 85007, and on the internet via the Commission website (www.azcc.gov) using the eDocket function.

You may have the right to intervene in the proceeding. Intervention shall be in accordance with A.A.C. R14-3-105. If you wish to intervene, you must file a timely written motion to intervene with the Commission and send a copy of the motion to the applicant or their counsel and to all parties of record. A motion to intervene shall be considered timely if it is filed within (1) 5 days of the last date of publication or (2) 5 days of the date of direct notice by mail. The motion shall, at the minimum, contain the following:

1. Your name, address, and telephone number and the name, address, and telephone number of any party upon whom service of documents is to be made, if different from the intervenor.
2. A short statement of your interest in the proceeding (e.g. a customer or potential customer of the Applicant, a shareholder of the Applicant, etc.)
3. A statement certifying that you have mailed a copy of the motion to intervene to applicant or their counsel and to all parties of record in the case.

Failure to intervene will not preclude any interested person or entity from providing public comment on the application. The Commission anticipates considering this matter at a future Open Meeting. Public comment regarding the application will be taken at that time.

PUBLISH: The Daily Territorial
Feb. 29; Mar. 7, 2008
prnewable/rest_lm

TUCSON NEWSPAPERS

Tucson, Arizona

STATE OF ARIZONA)
COUNTY OF PIMA)

Debbie Capanear, being first duly sworn deposes and says: that she is the Legal Advertising Representative of **TNI PARTNERS, DBA TUCSON NEWSPAPERS**, a General Partnership organized and existing under the laws of the State of Arizona, and that it prints and publishes the Arizona Daily Star and Tucson Citizen, daily newspapers printed and published in the City of Tucson, Pima County, State of Arizona, and having a general circulation in said City, County, State and elsewhere, and that the attached

Legal Notice

was printed and published correctly in the entire issue of the said Arizona Daily Star and Tucson Citizen on each of the following dates, to-wit:

march 3 & 10, 2008

Debbie Capanear

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 14th day of march, 2008

Michia Alvarez

Notary Public



MICHIA H VALDEZ
Notary Public - Arizona
Pima County
Expires 12/15/09

My commission expires _____

TNI AD NO. 6108972

**PUBLIC NOTICE OF THE APPLICATION OF
TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY FOR APPROVAL
OF ITS RENEWABLE ENERGY STANDARD AND TARIFF
IMPLEMENTATION PLAN AND RELATED TARIFFS
DOCKET NO. E-01933A-07-0594**

On October 12, 2007, Tucson Electric Power Company ("TEP") filed its 2008 Renewable Energy Standard Implementation Plan ("The Plan"), its Renewable Energy Standard and Tariff ("REST") Tariff, its REST Adjustor Mechanism, its Uniform Credit Purchase Plan ("UCPP"), and its Customer Self-Directed tariff.

The Plan filed by TEP includes two options for Commission consideration. Option 1 is its "Full Compliance Opportunity Plan." This Option is what TEP believes the Commission should approve since it will provide sufficient funding for TEP to meet the 2008 REST target of 1.75% kWh sales from renewables. TEP will require \$22.7 million in additional annual revenue to implement Option 1. To collect this revenue, TEP proposes a surcharge of 0.4988 cents per kWh as included in the REST "Sample Tariff" but would increase the maximum caps beyond those specified in the Sample Tariff. TEP proposes maximums of \$5.20 per month for residential customers, \$39.00 per month for commercial customers, and increasing to \$1,500 per month for large commercial customers.

Option 2 is the "Sample Tariff Funding Plan" and would also be funded from the "Sample Tariff" surcharge of 0.4988 cents per kWh but with the Tariff-stated caps of \$1.05 per month for residential customers, \$39.00 for commercial, and \$117.00 per month for large commercial customers. TEP's Option 2 proposal would increase revenues by \$10.5 million. TEP's position is that it could not meet the 2008 goal of 1.75% kWh sales from renewables with Option 2.

Both Options represent a rate increase over the Environmentally Portfolio Surcharge ("EPS") which is currently in place for TEP. The proposed REST Surcharge of 0.4988 cents per kWh is greater than the present EPS Surcharge which is 0.0875 cents per kWh. In addition, with either Option 1 or Option 2, the maximum monthly cost per customer increases from the current EPS monthly caps of \$0.35 for residential, \$13.00 for commercial, and \$39.00 for large commercial customers.

With either Option, TEP is asking the Commission to approve a REST Adjustor Mechanism which would change the REST Tariff rate to reconcile any previous year's difference between REST Tariff revenue and REST program expenses.

For each Option, TEP presents a UCPP proposal for Commission consideration. For Option 1, TEP proposes to increase its residential renewable energy system incentives to \$4.50 per Watt. For Option 2, TEP proposes using the existing SunShare incentive rate for residential solar installations which is \$3.00 per Watt.

TEP's Customer Self-Directed Tariff applies to either Option and provides Eligible Customers with information needed to apply for and maximize the benefits of TEP REST Tariff funds.

The application is available for public inspection during regular business hours at the Commission's offices at 1200 West Washington Street, Phoenix, Arizona 85007, and on the internet via the Commission website (www.azcc.gov) using the eDocket function.

You may have the right to intervene in the proceeding. Intervention shall be in accordance with A.A.C. R14-3-105. If you wish to intervene, you must file a timely written motion to intervene with the Commission and send a copy of the motion to the applicant or their counsel and to all parties of record. A motion to intervene shall be considered timely if it is filed within (1) 5 days of the last date of publication or (2) 5 days of the date of direct notice by mail. The motion shall, at the minimum, contain the following:

1. Your name, address, and telephone number and the name, address, and telephone number of any party upon whom service of documents is to be made, if different from the intervener.
2. A short statement of your interest in the proceeding (e.g. a customer or potential customer of the Applicant, a shareholder of the Applicant, etc.)
3. A statement certifying that you have mailed a copy of the motion to intervene to applicant or their counsel and to all parties of record in the case. Failure to intervene will not preclude any interested person or entity from providing public comment on the application. The Commission anticipates considering this matter at a future Open Meeting. Public comment regarding the application will be taken at that time.

Publish March 3 & 10, 2008
Arizona Daily Star • Tucson Citizen

PUBLIC NOTICE OF THE APPLICATION OF TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY FOR APPROVAL OF ITS RENEWABLE ENERGY STANDARD AND TARIFF IMPLEMENTATION PLAN AND RELATED TARIFFS DOCKET NO. E-01933A-07-0594

On October 12, 2007, Tucson Electric Power Company ("TEP") filed its 2008 Renewable Energy Standard Implementation Plan ("The Plan"), its Renewable Energy Standard and Tariff ("REST") Tariff, its REST Adjustor Mechanism, its Uniform Credit Purchase Plan ("UCPP"), and its Customer Self-Directed tariff.

The Plan filed by TEP includes two options for Commission consideration. Option 1 is its "Full Compliance Opportunity Plan." This Option is what TEP believes the Commission should approve since it will provide sufficient funding for TEP to meet the 2008 REST target of 1.75% kWh sales from renewables. TEP will require \$22.7 million in additional annual revenue to implement Option 1. To collect this revenue, TEP proposes a surcharge of 0.4988 cents per kWh as included in the REST "Sample Tariff" but would increase the maximum caps beyond those specified in the Sample Tariff. TEP proposes maximums of \$5.20 per month for residential customers, \$39.00 per month for commercial customers, and increasing to \$1,500 per month for large commercial customers.

Option 2 is the "Sample Tariff Funding Plan" and would also be funded from the "Sample Tariff" surcharge of 0.4988 cents per kWh but with the Tariff-stated caps of \$1.05 per month for residential customers, \$39.00 for commercial, and \$117.00 per month for large commercial customers. TEP's Option 2 proposal would increase revenues by \$10.5 million. TEP's position is that it could not meet the 2008 goal of 1.75% kWh sales from renewables with Option 2.

Both Options represent a rate increase over the Environmentally Portfolio Surcharge ("EPS") which is currently in place for TEP. The proposed REST Surcharge of 0.4988 cents per kWh is greater than the present EPS Surcharge which is 0.0875 cents per kWh. In addition, with either Option 1 or Option 2, the maximum monthly cost per customer increases from the current EPS monthly caps of \$0.35 for residential, \$13.00 for commercial, and \$39.00 for large commercial customers.

With either Option, TEP is asking the Commission to approve a REST Adjustor Mechanism which would change the REST Tariff rate to reconcile any previous year's difference between REST Tariff revenue and REST program expenses.

For each Option, TEP presents a UCPP proposal for Commission consideration. For Option 1, TEP proposes to increase its residential renewable energy system incentives to \$4.50 per Watt. For Option 2, TEP proposes using the existing SunShare incentive rate for residential solar installations which is \$3.00 per Watt.

TEP's Customer Self-Directed Tariff applies to either Option and provides Eligible Customers with information needed to apply for and maximize the benefits of TEP REST Tariff funds.

The application is available for public inspection during regular business hours at the Commission's offices at 1200 West Washington Street, Phoenix, Arizona 85007, and on the internet via the Commission website (www.azcc.gov) using the eDocket function.

You may have the right to intervene in the proceeding. Intervention shall be in accordance with A.A.C. R14-3-105. If you wish to intervene, you must file a timely written motion to intervene with the Commission and send a copy of the motion to the applicant or their counsel and to all parties of record. A motion to intervene shall be considered timely if it is filed within (1) 5 days of the last date of publication or (2) 5 days of the date of direct notice by mail. The motion shall, at the minimum, contain the following:

1. Your name, address, and telephone number and the name, address, and telephone number of any party upon whom service of documents is to be made, if different from the intervener.
2. A short statement of your interest in the proceeding (e.g. a customer or potential customer of the Applicant, a shareholder of the Applicant, etc.)
3. A statement certifying that you have mailed a copy of the motion to intervene to applicant or their counsel and to all parties of record in the case.

Failure to intervene will not preclude any interested person or entity from providing public comment on the application. The Commission anticipates considering this matter at a future Open Meeting. Public comment regarding the application will be taken at that time.