

ORIGINAL
OPEN MEETING



410

MEMORANDUM
Arizona Corporation Commission
DOCKETED

RECEIVED

2008 MAR 25 P 4: 22

MAR 25 2008

AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL

TO: THE COMMISSION

FROM: Utilities Division

DATE: March 25, 2008

DOCKETED BY
NR

RE: IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF QWEST COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL TO INCREASE MAXIMUM RATES ON AN OBSOLETE RESIDENTIAL CALLING PLAN (DOCKET NO. T-02811B-08-0029)

1. INTRODUCTION

On January 14, 2008, Qwest Communications Corporation ("QCC") filed tariff revisions to increase a maximum monthly rate in its Arizona Tariff No. 2. The filing seeks to increase QCC's maximum monthly rate per line rate charge for its obsolete QCC Choice Long Distance residential calling plan from \$2.95 to \$3.95:

Calling Plan	Current Monthly Maximum Rate Per Line	Proposed Maximum Monthly Rate Per Line
QCC Choice Long Distance	\$2.95	\$3.95

The maximum monthly rate per line charge applies whether the customer makes any interexchange calls and regardless of whether any intrastate calls are made during a month.

2. BACKGROUND

The maximum monthly rates are related to the subject of a proceeding that resulted in a Settlement Agreement approved by Decision No. 67745 on April 11, 2005.

Staff and Qwest LD Corp., its parent, affiliated companies (which includes QCC) or their successors ("Qwest" or "the Company"), (the Parties") agreed to a settlement of the Complaint and Petition for Order to Show Cause Docket ("Docket") filed by Staff and currently pending before the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission"), in Docket No. T-04190A-04-0904 (hereinafter referred to as "the Staff Complaint"). The Staff Complaint alleges that Qwest LD Corp. was required to file tariff and/or price list revisions with the Commission prior to implementing a \$2.99 surcharge as part of its Qwest Choice Long Distance calling plan, which applies to both interstate and intrastate long distance calls made by Arizona customers. The terms and conditions of the Settlement Agreement were intended to resolve all of the issues associated with Qwest's implementation of the \$2.99 surcharge as part of its Qwest Choice Long Distance calling plans as set forth in the Staff Complaint.

In the Settlement Agreement (see Attachment A), Qwest acknowledged and agreed to accept on an interim basis, without prejudice, and until further Order of the Commission or until the conclusion of the Generic Docket¹, the Staffs interpretation of the Arizona statutes and rules regarding tariffing of monthly recurring charges for long distance plans which are applicable to both interstate and intrastate calls. The Generic Docket was required as part of the Settlement Agreement.

On December 19, 2006, Staff filed its report in the Generic Docket. In its report, Staff recommended:

“...that QLDC be given the option of (1) listings all long distance rates and charges within its Arizona intrastate tariffs or (2) denoting within its Arizona intrastate tariffs those charges and rates which are listed in QLDC’s interstate tariffs.”

“...that QLDC be given 90 days to modify its Arizona intrastate tariffs accordingly.”

“...that all intrastate long distance providers comply with the above Staff interpretation of tariffing rules and statutes. Intrastate long distance providers should be given 90 days to modify their Arizona intrastate tariffs accordingly, if necessary.”

A decision has not been reached by the Commission in the Generic Docket. Until such time as the Commission makes a determination in the Generic Docket, the provisions of the Settlement Agreement continue to apply.

3. STAFF ANALYSIS

Since Qwest proposes to increase the maximum monthly rate for a component of a service that has been classified as competitive under the Commission’s Competitive Telecommunications Service Rules, Arizona Administrative Code Rule (“A.A.C.”) R14-2-1110 applies to QCC’s proposal. QCC provided the information required by A.A.C. R14-2-1110 to allow Staff to determine the potential affects of approval of the filing.

The proposed rate increase contained in this filing is for a service that has been classified as competitive by the Commission and is now subject to the Commission’s Competitive Telecommunications Services Rules. Under those rules, rates for competitive services are generally not set according to rate of return regulation standards.

¹ In The Matter Of The Generic Investigation Of Tariffing And Notice Requirements For Combined Interstate And Intrastate Calling Plans, Docket No. RT-00000J-05-0329

QCC provided information in a confidential exhibit that indicated the Estimated Potential Additional Revenues associated with this filing at end of year 2007 were less than \$5,000². At end of year 2007, the customer base for this service was less than 1,000³ residence customers.


The revenue increase associated with the proposed maximum rates is less than 1 percent of QCC's 2006 intrastate revenues⁴. Additionally, QCC provided information indicating that its proposed rates are comparable to those of competitor calling plans⁵.

Staff obtained information regarding QCC's fair value rate base. Because of the nature of the competitive market and other factors, a fair value analysis is not necessarily representative of the company's operations. Therefore, while Staff considered the fair value rate base information of QCC, it did not accord that information substantial weight in its analysis of this matter.

Staff notes that QCC did not file changes to the current rates corresponding to the maximum proposed rates, as are required by A.A.C. R14-2-1109(B). QCC, therefore, is not increasing the current or actual prices at this time but, rather, plans at some future time to file for such increases. This filing will not have immediate impact on the prices paid by residence customers. At a time when QCC does propose raising its current or actual rates, QCC must file such changes consistent with the requirements of A.A.C. R14-2-1109(B).

4. RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends approval of this filing. Additionally, in its filing QCC provided evidence that it has provided notice to its customers. Because Staff believes that the Company did not comply with the Commission's public notice requirements, Staff recommends that QCC re-notice customers in a manner and form consistent with Commission requirements (Attachment B).



for Ernest G. Johnson
Director
Utilities Division

EGJ:AFF:lhms\MAS

ORIGINATOR: Armando Fimbres

² Information provided to Staff in Confidential Exhibit 2.

³ *Id.*

⁴ Qwest Communications Corporation 2006 Annual Report, April 15, 2007.

⁵ e.g., AT&T - \$2.00, \$2.95, \$7.95, \$32.99; Cox - \$3.95, \$25.00; Embarq - \$5.95, \$6.95.

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

The Arizona Corporation Commission Staff ("Staff") and Qwest LD Corp., its parent, affiliated companies or their successors ("Qwest" or "the Company"), (the Parties") hereby agree to a settlement (the "Settlement" or "this Agreement") of the Complaint and Petition for Order to Show Cause Docket ("Docket") filed by Staff and currently pending before the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission"), in Docket No. T-04190A-04-0904 (hereinafter referred to as "the Staff Complaint"). The Staff Complaint alleges that Qwest LD Corp. was required to file tariff and/or price list revisions with the Commission prior to implementing a \$2.99 surcharge as part of its Qwest Choice Long Distance calling plan, which applies to both interstate and intrastate long distance calls made by Arizona customers. The following terms and conditions are intended to resolve all of the issues associated with Qwest's implementation of the \$2.99 surcharge as part of its Qwest Choice Long Distance calling plans as set forth in the Staff Complaint.

RECITALS

WHEREAS, the Parties desire to adopt this Agreement subject to Commission approval;

WHEREAS, by adopting this Agreement, the Parties intend to settle and terminate the Staff Complaint in a manner that is fair and reasonable;

WHEREAS, the Staff Complaint alleged, inter alia, that Qwest was required to file tariff and/or price list revisions with the Commission prior to implementing a \$2.99 monthly surcharge as part of its Qwest Choice Long Distance calling plans, which is applicable to both interstate and intrastate calls;

WHEREAS, Qwest acknowledges and agrees to accept on an interim basis, without prejudice, and until further Order of the Commission or until the conclusion of the generic investigation referenced herein, the Staff's interpretation of the Arizona statutes and rules regarding tariffing of monthly recurring charges for long distance plans which are applicable to both interstate and intrastate calls;

WHEREAS, the parties agree that the terms and conditions of this Agreement, are fair, reasonable and in the public interest;

WHEREAS, the Parties recognize that Staff does not have the power to bind the Commission. For purposes of proposing a settlement agreement, Staff acts in the same manner as any party to a Commission proceeding;

WHEREAS, the Parties further recognize that the Commission will independently consider and evaluate the terms of this Agreement;

WHEREAS, if the Commission issues an order adopting all material terms of this Agreement, such action shall constitute Commission approval of the Agreement. Thereafter, the Parties shall abide by the terms as approved the Commission.

WHEREAS, if the Commission fails to issue an order adopting all material terms of this Agreement, any or all of the Parties may withdraw from this Agreement, and such Party or Parties may pursue without prejudice their respective remedies at law. For purposes of this Agreement, whether a term is material shall be left to the discretion of the Party choosing to withdraw from the Agreement.

WHEREAS, nothing in this agreement shall be construed as an admission by any of the Parties that any of the positions taken by any Party in this proceeding is unreasonable or unlawful. In addition, acceptance of this Agreement by any of the Parties is without prejudice to any position taken by any party in these proceedings.

WHEREAS, this Agreement represents the Parties' mutual desire to compromise and settle disputed issues in a manner consistent with the public interest. None of the positions taken in this Agreement by any of the Parties may be referred to, cited, or relied upon as precedent in any proceeding before the Commission, any other regulatory agency, or any court for any purpose except in furtherance of this Agreement.

WHEREAS, the Parties shall support and defend this Agreement before the Commission, and if the Commission adopts an order approving all material terms of this Agreement, the Parties will support and defend the Commission's order before any court or regulatory agency in which it may be at issue.

WHEREAS, in consideration thereof, the Parties agree as follows:

TERMS AND CONDITIONS

1. Qwest LD Corp and Its Affiliates Agree to Staff's Interpretation of Statutes and Rules as set forth in the Staff Complaint until a Generic Proceeding is Concluded by the Commission or until Further Order of the Commission.

Staff believes that the rules and statutes referenced in its Petition are applicable. Qwest agrees with Staff's interpretation of the rules on an interim basis and it will file intrastate long distance service tariffs and/or price lists in Arizona consistent with Staff's interpretation of the rules on an interim basis and without prejudice pending the conclusion of the generic docket or further order of the Commission. Staff and Qwest agree that a generic docket be initiated (to be concluded within 18 months of the Commission order approving this settlement) to address the filing requirements and tariff obligations of interexchange carriers. Staff agrees that any new or additional filing requirements defined in the generic docket will have application to Qwest on only a going forward basis from the date of the final order in the generic docket.

2. PIC/LPIC Fee Waiver for Certain Customers.

For current Qwest LD Corp. (QLDC) customers who, between January 1, 2004 through July 14, 2004, subscribed to Qwest Choice long distance calling plan (no monthly recurring fees, 5 cents a minute for interstate usage and 5 cents per minute for intrastate usage, \$20 monthly cap) and who, after receiving notice described below, wish to choose an alternative long distance carrier, Qwest will waive or credit any applicable PIC/LPIC change charges, up to \$10. No later than sixty (60) days from the issuance of the Commission order approving this settlement, Qwest shall contact by mail all QLDC customers who subscribed during this period of time to the Qwest Choice long distance calling plan (no monthly recurring fees, 5 cents a minute for interstate usage and 5 cents per minute for intrastate usage, \$20 monthly cap) in writing informing them that they have sixty (60) days from the mailing date to take advantage of this offer and change their long distance carrier.

3. Qwest to Provide Notice and Special Toll Free Number.

Qwest shall inform the long distance calling plan customers defined in Paragraph 2 above, that they need to contact Qwest either by mail or by calling a toll free number by a specific date in order to take advantage of this offer. Qwest shall provide a toll free number for these customers to call when taking advantage of this offer.

4. Billing Credit For Certain Customers Who Previously Changed Carriers as a Result of the \$2.99 Surcharge.

For current Qwest Corporation customers who also subscribed to a Qwest Choice long distance calling plan (no monthly recurring fees, 5 cents a minute for interstate usage and 5 cents per minute for intrastate usage, \$20 monthly cap), between January 1, 2004 and through July 14, 2004 and who changed their PIC/LPIC from September 1, 2004 and through December 31, 2004 shall receive a \$6.00 one time billing credit. The credit shall be issued no later than ninety (90) days from the date of the Commission order approving this settlement.

5. Long Distance Tariff and Price List Filing Commitment.

Qwest will file with the Commission in its Arizona intrastate tariffs and/or price lists a charge equal to or equivalent to, but not in addition to the \$2.99 monthly recurring charge and any other applicable monthly recurring charges that are now only included in the Qwest interstate Rates and Services Schedule. Qwest agrees to include in its intrastate long distance tariffs and/or price lists a charge equal to or equivalent to, but not in addition to any and all recurring monthly charges of this nature in the applicable intrastate tariff until such time as the generic docket is concluded or until the Commission issues a superseding order. Qwest agrees to comply with existing Commission rules for all subsequent rate changes or for the creation of new long distance calling plan charges until further order of the

Commission, or pending the resolution of the generic docket. Existing Qwest Choice long distance calling plans will be grandfathered.

6. Qwest and QLDC will provide Marketing Scripts Requested by Staff.

QLDC will provide Staff with the marketing scripts requested by Staff in its Petition and will provide Staff with new Choice long distance marketing scripts when they are modified.

7. Qwest Will File Report.

Within one hundred eighty (180) days of the Commission order approving this settlement, Qwest shall report to the Commission the total number of customers who received the PIC/LPIC charge waiver or credit and the total dollar amount credited pursuant to paragraphs 2 and 4 above. A preliminary estimate of the amount to be waived under paragraph 2 and the amount to be credited under paragraph 4 is attached hereto as Exhibit A. The report shall be attested to by an officer of the Company.

8. Settlement of Claims Relating to QLDC's Implementation of its \$2.99 (\$2.00 and .99) Monthly Surcharge.

This Agreement is intended to resolve all the issues dealing with Qwest's implementation of the \$2.99 monthly charge in its Qwest Choice Long Distance calling plans.

DATED THIS 1st day of April, 2005.

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
STAFF

BY: 
Ernest G. Johnson
Director, Utilities Division


QWEST and QWEST LD CORP.
BY: 
Patrick J. Quinn
President - Qwest Arizona

EXHIBIT A

Estimated OSC Stipulation Costs

T-04190A-04-0904

Paragraph #2	Mailing	\$100,000
	PIC/LPIC Waiver	\$60,000 - \$100,000
Paragraph #4	One Time Credit	\$150,000
TOTAL		\$310,000 - \$350,000

Note: Previous PIC/LPIC waiver was \$50,000

The above costs are only estimates. The actual costs will be reported pursuant to paragraph 7 of the settlement agreement.

Attachment B

Customer Notice Instructions

<http://www.azcc.gov/divisions/utilities/TARIFF/util-tariffs-faq.asp#>

How should we notify our customers when we are proposing an increase to our maximum rates for a particular service or group of services?

Companies should notify their customers 60 days in advance of the effective date of the maximum rate increase(s).

Customer notice may be provided in the form of a bill insert, bill message or direct mailing. Newspaper publication of customer notice will not meet noticing requirements.

The Commission has approved the following language for telecommunications companies to notify their customers when a company is proposing an increase to maximum rates:

(insert Company name) is proposing the following changes to its rates and charges:
(List proposed maximum and actual rate changes here and also list the maximum and actual rates currently in effect):

- a.
- b.
- etc.

These changes may increase your total charge. These changes will be voted on at a future Open Meeting of the Arizona Corporation Commission (Commission). These changes will become effective only upon approval by the Commission. If you have any questions, please contact (insert Company contact name) at (insert Company contact phone number). If you have further questions, you may contact the Consumer Services Section of the Commission at 602-542-4251 or 1-800-222-7000 or you may go to its website at

The notice must be in 12 point or larger font.

A copy of the actual notice must be supplied to the Commission at the time the filing is made.

The notice must be separate and distinct from any other notices or information supplied by the company.

What qualifies as a separate and distinct notice?

If your company is implementing other rate changes than the rate increase changes pending in your tariff filing at the ACC, then it should be clear to the customer which rate changes are pending at the ACC. This should be done by placing the different changes on separate pages.

For example, your company may decide to change its intrastate long distance per minute rate to be above the maximum rate. This tariff filing must be approved by the ACC and proper customer notice must be given. Your company may also decide to change the interstate long distance per minute rate. The ACC does not have jurisdiction over interstate charges, and this change would not require ACC approval. These two changes should not be listed on the same page in a customer notice. Two customer notices on separate pages should be supplied, one for the ACC and one for the other charges.

Companies have the ability to make changes that are in substantial compliance with this notice language in order to meet their individual needs. However, the recommended language is the benchmark the Commission will use to determine if appropriate notice has been given.

Are there certain aspects of this customer notice that companies must not change?

The notification that your company issues must contain the following:

1. The notice must be in 12 point or larger font
2. The statement that "These changes may increase your total charge" must occur immediately following the listing of the rate changes
3. The statements that these changes are being "proposed" by the company and will not go into effect until approval by the ACC
4. The company's contact phone number
5. The ACC's 602 and 800 numbers
6. The ACC's website

If a company does not comply with this notice requirement, then Staff will recommend denial of the application.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

MIKE GLEASON
Chairman
WILLIAM A. MUNDELL
Commissioner
JEFF HATCH-MILLER
Commissioner
KRISTIN K. MAYES
Commissioner
GARY PIERCE
Commissioner

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION)
OF QWEST COMMUNICATIONS)
CORPORATION TARIFF FILING TO)
INCREASE THE MAXIMUM MONTHLY)
RATES FOR AN OBSOLETE)
RESIDENTIAL CALLING PLAN)

DOCKET NOS. T-02811B-08-0029

DECISION NO. _____
ORDER

Open Meeting
April 8 and 9, 2008
Phoenix, Arizona

BY THE COMMISSION:

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Qwest Communications Corporation ("QCC") is certificated to provide interexchange telecommunications service as a public service corporation in the State of Arizona.
2. On January 14, 2008, QCC filed tariff revisions to increase a maximum monthly rate in its Arizona Tariff No. 2.
3. Qwest proposes to increase QCC's maximum monthly rate per line charge for the QCC Choice Long Distance obsolete residential calling plan from \$2.95 to \$3.95:

Calling Plan	Current Maximum Monthly Rate Per Line	Proposed Maximum Monthly Rate Per Line
QCC Choice Long Distance	\$2.95	\$3.95

Qwest Communications Corporation
Arizona Tariff No. 2

Section 103, Page 22, Release 3

4. The maximum monthly rates are related to the subject of a proceeding that resulted in a Settlement Agreement approved by Decision 67745 on April 11, 2005.

Staff and Qwest LD Corp., its parent, affiliated companies (which includes QCC) or their successors ("Qwest" or "the Company"), (the Parties") agreed to a settlement of the Complaint and Petition for Order to Show Cause Docket ("Docket") filed by Staff and currently pending before the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission"), in Docket No. T-04190A-04-0904 (hereinafter referred to as "the Staff Complaint"). The Staff Complaint alleges that Qwest LD Corp. was required to file tariff and/or price list revisions with the Commission prior to implementing a \$2.99 surcharge as part of its Qwest Choice Long Distance calling plan, which applies to both interstate and intrastate long distance calls made by Arizona customers. The terms and conditions of the Settlement Agreement were intended to resolve all of the issues associated with Qwest's implementation of the \$2.99 surcharge as part of its Qwest Choice Long Distance calling plans as set forth in the Staff Complaint.

5. In the Settlement Agreement (see Attachment A), Qwest acknowledged and agreed to accept on an interim basis, without prejudice, and until further Order of the Commission or until the conclusion of the Generic Docket¹, the Staff's interpretation of the Arizona statutes and rules regarding tariffing of monthly recurring charges for long distance plans which are applicable to both interstate and intrastate calls. The Generic Docket was required as part of the Settlement Agreement.

6. On December 19, 2006, Staff filed its report in the Generic Docket. In its report, Staff recommended:

"...that QLDC be given the option of (1) listings all long distance rates and charges within its Arizona intrastate tariffs or (2) denoting within its Arizona intrastate tariffs those charges and rates which are listed in QLDC's interstate tariffs."

"...that QLDC be given 90 days to modify its Arizona intrastate tariffs accordingly."

"...that all intrastate long distance providers comply with the above Staff interpretation of tariffing rules and statutes. Intrastate long

¹ In The Matter Of The Generic Investigation Of Tariffing And Notice Requirements For Combined Interstate And Intrastate Calling Plans, Docket No. RT-00000J-05-0329

1 distance providers should be given 90 days to modify their Arizona
2 intrastate tariffs accordingly, if necessary.”

3 7. A decision has not been reached by the Commission in the Generic Docket. Until
4 such time as the Commission makes a determination in the Generic Docket, the provisions of the
5 Settlement Agreement continue to apply.

6 8. Since QCC proposes to increase the maximum monthly rate for a component of a
7 service that has been classified as competitive under the Commission’s Competitive
8 Telecommunications Service Rules, Arizona Administrative Code Rule (“A.A.C.”) R14-2-1110
9 applies to QCC’s proposal. QCC provided the information required by A.A.C. R14-2-1110 to
10 allow Staff to determine the potential affects of approval of the filing.

11 9. The proposed rate increase contained in this filing is for a service that has been
12 classified as competitive by the Commission and is now subject to the Commission’s Competitive
13 Telecommunications Services Rules. Under those rules, rates for competitive services are
14 generally not set according to rate of return regulation.

15 10. QCC provided information in a confidential exhibit that indicated the Estimated
16 Potential Additional Revenues associated with this filing at end of year 2007 were less than
17 \$5,000².

18 11. At end of year 2007, the customer base for this service was less than 1,000³
19 residence customers.

20 12. The revenue increase associated with the proposed maximum rates is less than 1
21 percent of QCC’s 2006 intrastate revenues⁴. Additionally, QCC provided information indicating
22 that its proposed rates are comparable to those of competitor calling plans⁵.

23 13. Staff obtained information regarding QCC’s fair value rate base. Because of the
24 nature of the competitive market and other factors, a fair value analysis is not necessarily
25 representative of the company’s operations. Therefore, while Staff considered the fair value rate

26 _____
27 ² Information provided to Staff in Confidential Exhibit 2.

³ Id.

⁴ Qwest Communications Corporation 2006 Annual Report, April 15, 2007.

⁵ e.g., AT&T - \$2.00, \$2.95, \$7.95, \$32.99; Cox - \$3.95, \$25.00; Embarq - \$5.95, \$6.95.

1 base information of QCC, it did not accord that information substantial weight in it analysis of this
2 matter.

3 14. Staff notes that QCC did not file changes to the current rates corresponding to the
4 maximum proposed rates, as are required by A.A.C. R14-2-1109(B). QCC, therefore, is not
5 increasing the current or actual prices at this time but, rather, plans at some future time to file for
6 such increases. This filing will not have immediate impact on the prices paid by residence
7 customers. At a time when QCC does propose raising its current or actual rates, QCC must file
8 such changes consistent with the requirements of A.A.C. R14-2-1109(B).

9 15. Staff has recommended approval of this filing.

10 16. In its filing, QCC provided evidence that it has provided notice to its customers.
11 Because Staff believes that the Company did not comply with the Commission's public notice
12 requirements, Staff recommends that QCC re-notice customers in a manner and form consistent
13 with Commission requirements.

14
15 CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

16 1. Qwest Communications Corporation is an Arizona public service corporation within
17 the meaning of Article XV, Section 2, of the Arizona Constitution.

18 2. The Commission has jurisdiction over Qwest Communications Corporation and over
19 the subject matter of this Application.

20 3. The Commission, having reviewed the tariff pages (copies of which are contained in
21 the Commission's tariff files) and Staff's Memorandum dated March 25, 2008 concludes the tariff
22 filing is reasonable, fair and equitable, and is therefore in the public interest.

23 ORDER

24 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the tariff filing be and hereby is approved.

25 ...
26 ...
27 ...
28 ...

1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Qwest Communications Corporation re-notice customers
2 in a manner and form consistent with Commission requirements.

3 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately.

4 **BY THE ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION**

5
6
7
8 CHAIRMAN

COMMISSIONER

9
10 COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER

11
12 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, BRIAN C. McNEIL, Executive
13 Director of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have
14 hereunto, set my hand and caused the official seal of this
15 Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of
16 Phoenix, this _____ day of _____, 2008.

17 _____
18 BRIAN C. McNEIL
19 Executive Director

20 DISSENT: _____

21 DISSENT: _____

22 EGJ:AFF:lhM\MAS
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 SERVICE LIST FOR: Qwest Communications Corporation
DOCKET NO. T-02811B-08-0029

2

3 Ms. Sharon Alvarado
4 Regulatory Support Manager
Qwest Communications Corporation
5 1801 California Street, 47th Floor
Denver, Colorado 80202

6

7 Mr. Ernest G. Johnson
8 Director, Utilities Division
Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 West Washington Street
9 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

10 Mr. Christopher C. Kempley
11 Chief Counsel, Legal Division
Arizona Corporation Commission
12 1200 West Washington Street
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28