



0000077924

ORIGINAL

John LeSueur

RECEIVED

06

From: John LeSueur on behalf of Pierce-Web

Sent: Thursday, October 18, 2007 4:35 PM

2007 OCT 18 P 4: 56

To: 'Fred Hofmann'

Cc: Beth Soliere

AZ CORP COMMISSION

Subject: RE: Docket No. SW-02519A-06-0015, and Decision 69664

DOCKET CONTROL

Dr. Mr. Hofmann,

Thank you for contacting my office and for your thoughtful letter. I will certainly give full consideration to Ms. Diaz-Cortez's testimony in this matter.

Best Regards,

Commissioner Gary Pierce

From: Fred Hofmann [mailto:f.hofmann@mchsi.com]
Sent: Thursday, October 18, 2007 2:18 PM
To: Pierce-Web
Subject: Docket No. SW-02519A-06-0015, and Decision 69664

I am writing to you regarding the rehearing of the Gold Canyon Sewer Company (GCSC) request for a rate increase, Docket No. SW-02519A-06-0015, and especially your Decision No. 69664.

I believe that a great injustice was done when you decided to support GCSC's request and allowed them to make a seventy-two percent (72%) increase to their current base rate. I am a resident of Mountain Brook Village and I wrote to the Commission on a number of occasions for my reasons why you should not allow any increase by GCSC.

The rehearing testimony given by Marylee Diaz Cortez, CPA, bests tells the story as to why the current clients of GCSC should not be charged for the expansion of the facility. This cost should be paid for by the future clients of GCSC as they are the reason for the expansion. The facility was operating just fine for their cliental at the time of the expansion. We did not request the expansion, but GCSC wanted to expand their facility so they could expand their business as more and more people moved into the area serviced by GCSC. Our only request to GCSC was to eliminate the odors that were being emitted by the facility.

This was a business decision made by GCSC and they should be the ones that accept responsibility and risk for their decision. If new hook-ups do not occur as fast as they, GCSC, had expected, well that's part of doing business. They should not punish the clients they already have for their short-sightedness and eagerness for more profits.

I do not know what effect my writing to you will have on the rehearing of your decision. I felt compelled, again, to write to you my feeling and hope that you will reverse your decision and follow more of what has been requested by Residential Utility Consumer Office (RUCO). I must say that RUCO has done more to protect the residents of Arizona than the state operated commission who's responsibility is to watch out for the well-being of its citizens. It appears that you have moved from looking out for us, the citizens of Arizona, to looking out for big business's and corporations that do business here.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Fred Hofmann
7147 E. Texas Ebony Dr.
Gold Canyon, AZ. 85218-5076
(480) 288-2804

Arizona Corporation Commission
DOCKETED

OCT 18 2007

DOCKETED BY [Signature]