

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION CUMINISSIUN Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED 2 **COMMISSIONERS** 3 MIKE GLEASON, Chairman OCT 16 2007 WILLIAM A. MUNDELL 4 JEFF HATCH-MILLER DOCKETED BY KRISTIN K. MAYES 5 **GARY PIERCE** 6 In the matter of DOCKET NO. S-20484A-06-0669 AGRA-TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (a/k/a ATI), a Nevada corporation. 8 5800 North Dodge Avenue, Bldg. A 69942 DECISION NO. Flagstaff, Arizona 86004-2963; WILLIAM JAY PIERSON (a/k/a BILL ORDER TO CEASE AND DESIST; PIERSON), and SANDRA LEE PIERSON 10 **ORDER OF RESTITUTION;** (a/k/a SANDY PIERSON), husband and ORDER FOR ADMINISTRATIVE 11 wife. 6710 Lynx Lane **PENALTIES:** Flagstaff, Arizona 86004-1404: 12 ORDER OF OTHER AFFIRMATIVE ACTION; AND CONSENT TO SAME BY: 13 RICHARD ALLEN CAMPBELL (a/k/a AGRA TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Nevada DICK CAMPBELL), and SONDRA JANE 14 corporation; CAMPBELL, husband and wife, 8686 West Morten Avenue WILLIAM J. PIERSON and SANDRA LEE 15 Glendale, Arizona 85305-3940; PIERSON, husband and wife; 16 WILLIAM H. BAKER, JR. (a/k/a BILL BAKER), and PATRICIA M. BAKER, and 17 husband and wife WILLIAM H. BAKER, JR. and PATRICIA M. 3027 N. Alta Vista 18 BAKER, husband and wife Flagstaff, Arizona 86004; 19 JERRY JOHNSTON HODGES, 1858 Gunlock Court 20 Saint George, Utah 84790-6705; and 21 LAWRENCE KEVIN PAILLE (a/k/a LARRY PAILLE), 22 220 Pinon Woods Drive Sedona, Arizona 86351-6902, 23 Respondents. 24 Respondents AGRA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (a/k/a ATI), a Nevada corporation, 25 WILLIAM JAY PIERSON (a/k/a BILL PIERSON) and SANDRA LEE PIERSON (a/k/a SANDY 26

PIERSON), husband and wife, and WILLIAM H. BAKER, JR. (a/k/a BILL BAKER) and PATRICIA M. BAKER, husband and wife, elect to permanently waive any right to a hearing and appeal under Articles 11 and 12 of the Securities Act of Arizona, A.R.S. § 44-1801 *et seq*. ("Securities Act") with respect to this Order To Cease And Desist ("Order"). Respondents AGRA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (a/k/a ATI), a Nevada corporation, WILLIAM JAY PIERSON (a/k/a BILL PIERSON) and SANDRA LEE PIERSON (a/k/a SANDY PIERSON), husband and wife, and WILLIAM H. BAKER, JR. (a/k/a BILL BAKER) and PATRICIA M. BAKER, husband and wife, admit the jurisdiction of the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission"); neither admit nor deny the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law contained in this Order; and consent to the entry of this Order by the Commission.

I.

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Respondent AGRA-TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (a/k/a ATI) (hereafter, "AGRA") is a Nevada corporation that registered to do business as a foreign corporation in Arizona on May 21, 1999. AGRA's principal place of business is located in Flagstaff, Arizona.
- 2. WILLIAM JAY PIERSON (a/k/a BILL PIERSON) ("PIERSON") is an individual residing in Flagstaff, Arizona. From May 1, 1998 to the present, PIERSON has conducted business as AGRA's co-founder, President, Chief Executive Officer, Director and shareholder.
- 3. SANDRA LEE PIERSON (a/k/a SANDY PIERSON) ("SANDRA LEE PIERSON") was at relevant times the spouse of PIERSON. SANDRA LEE PIERSON is joined in this action under A.R.S. §44-2031(C) solely for purposes of determining the liability of the marital community. At all relevant times, PIERSON and SANDRA LEE PIERSON were acting for their own benefit, and for the benefit or in furtherance of the marital community.
- 4. WILLIAM H. BAKER, JR. (a/k/a BILL BAKER) ("BAKER") is an individual residing in Flagstaff, Arizona. From at least July 2003 to the present, BAKER has conducted business as AGRA's Secretary, Treasurer, Chief Financial Officer, accountant and shareholder.

- 5. PATRICIA M. BAKER was at relevant times the spouse of BAKER. PATRICIA M. BAKER is joined in this action under A.R.S. §44-2031(C) solely for purposes of determining the liability of the marital community. At all relevant times, BAKER and PATRICIA M. BAKER were acting for their own benefit, and for the benefit or in furtherance of the marital community.
- 6. AGRA, PIERSON and BAKER may be referred to individually or, collectively, as "RESPONDENTS" as the context requires.
- 7. SANDRA LEE PIERSON and PATRICIA M. BAKER are collectively referred to as "RESPONDENT SPOUSES" as the context requires.
- 8. From July 23, 2003 through June 15, 2006, RESPONDENTS' represented to offerees and investors that AGRA's business operations were primarily originally based on agricultural mineral development, and later based on precious metal recovery and production. RESPONDENTS represented that AGRA owned 5 million of tons of Sheep Hill volcanic cinders near Flagstaff, Arizona. RESPONDENTS represented that AGRA's precious metal processing facility was located in Flagstaff, Arizona (the "AGRA Plant").
- 9. Beginning in or about July 2003 through at least May of 2005, RESPONDENTS represented to offerees and investors that AGRA had acquired a non-traditional, "special" nanotechnology called the Galleon process that enabled them to extract extremely rare and valuable platinum group metals from the Sheep Hill volcanic cinders.
- 10. According to RESPONDENTS, the Galleon process involved the use of hydrochloric acid, and "in-quart" platinum whereby AGRA placed rented or purchased platinum into a batch of Galleon processed volcanic cinders in an attempt to extract any platinum that may naturally occur in the cinders. By way of limited example, RESPONDENTS represented to offerees and investors from on or about July 2003 to the summer of 2006 that:
  - The company [AGRA] has studied several processes purported to be capable of recovering the precious metals identified in its [volcanic cinder] resources, but only in this past year as the process developed with Galleon Technology and Development Corp. proven to be both economically feasible and agriculturally compatible... Agra Tech is acquiring the technology preliminarily proven capable of

efficiently extracting the platinum group metals present and identified in its complex mineral reserves. The company will work with Galleon to finitely develop the environmentally friendly recovery processes, and implement a commercially viable process for the mineral resources. (Emphasis added)

- offerees and investors that the volcanic cinders contained rare and valuable precious metals such as platinum, gold, silver, and other platinum group metals in marketable quantities sufficient to justify their extraction using their purported precious metal recovery technologies and expertise on a: (a) commercially viable; (b) commercially feasible; (c) economically viable; (d) economically feasible; and (e) cost effective basis (collectively "cost effective basis").
- 12. From at least July 2003 until the summer of 2006, RESPONDENTS represented to offerees and investors through the dissemination of AGRA's solicitation materials that investors would realize a productive benefit from AGRA's precious metal generation in the early years. In these investor solicitation materials, AGRA represented that it expected to be capable of producing approximately 116,800 ounces of platinum at the AGRA Plant during its first year of operation and, based on production estimates, they expected to generate in excess of \$58,000,000 in revenue during its first year of operation.
- 13. The unregistered securities discussed below were purchased by investors based on RESPONDENTS' representations that they could obtain precious metals from the Sheep Hill volcanic cinders on a cost effective basis.

## A. THE UNREGISTERED ORE RIGHTS & MINING AGREEMENT SECURITIES.

- 14. From at least July 2003 until the summer of 2006, RESPONDENTS offered and sold unregistered securities in the form of investment contracts called Ore Rights & Mining Agreements ("Units") within and from Arizona.
- 15. Under the Unit solicitation materials, an AGRA investor could invest \$10,000 to purchase a single Unit. According to RESPONDENTS, each Unit represented the right to the purported precious metal contained in "50 tons of platinum bearing ore for processing."

- 16. RESPONDENTS represented that by using their precious metal recovery technologies and expertise, RESPONDENTS extracted and/or were able to extract approximately 1 to 13 ounces of platinum from each ton of Sheep Hill volcanic cinders.
- 17. RESPONDENTS' most often projected that recovery was 5 ounces of platinum per ton of volcanic cinders, or 250 ounces of platinum per Unit.
- 18. AGRA failed to adequately warn that an investor might not earn any of the projected profits.
- 19. AGRA failed to adequately disclose the risks associated with the Unit investments including, but not limited to, the fact that a potential Unit investor could lose all or a vast portion of their principal Unit investment amount. To the contrary, in October 2005, RESPONDENTS represented to offerees and investors that any risks associated with purchasing the Units were, "virtually zero," and had been "virtually eliminated."
- 20. RESPONDENTS failed to disclose to their offerees and investors that approximately 25% of each purchased Unit was paid to AGRA's securities salespersons as commissions.
- 21. RESPONDENTS paid sales commissions to their general agents and securities salesman for the sale of the Unit investments approximately totaling: (a) \$879,956 in 2003; (b) \$1,045,266 in 2004; (c) \$623,750 in 2005; and (d) \$110,000 in 2006.
  - 22. To date, RESPONDENTS have failed to provide any returns to the Unit investors.
- 23. RESPONDENTS sold over 1,000 Units for approximately \$10,580,000 to approximately 200 different, widely disbursed investors residing in approximately 20 different states, including Arizona, and abroad.
- 24. From at least July 2003 until the summer of 2006, the Unit investors' money represented AGRA's primary source of cash receipts or operating capital.

### B. THE BRIDGE LOAN WITH EQUITY STOCK SECURITIES.

- 25. In the fall of 2005, RESPONDENTS were aware that their precious metal recovery business was experiencing financial difficulties due, in part, to:
  - A. RESPONDENTS' failure to extract any precious metals from the Sheep Hill volcanic cinders on a cost effective basis using any processes or technologies;
  - B. RESPONDENTS' failure to pay investors any returns on their investments, including any principal, projected profits, stock dividends or any amount of precious metals, and the investors' resulting reluctance to invest additional money in the unregistered AGRA securities set forth herein;
  - C. The fact that RESPONDENTS' paid approximately \$2,658,972 in commissions to its salesmen, which sum represented 25% of the funds raised from the sale of securities.
- 26. Beginning from at least the fall of 2005, PIERSON and BAKER frequently described AGRA's financial problems with other AGRA officers, directors and employees in explicit detail.
- 27. In an effort to raise additional capital, RESPONDENTS caused to be offered and sold unsecured "bridge loan" investments within and from Arizona from at least the fall of 2005 to the summer of 2006 (the "Bridge Loan Investments").
- 28. The terms and conditions of the Bridge Loan Investments varied. In one instance, an investor invested \$10,000 in a 90 day Bridge Loan Investment for 20,000 shares of AGRA stock with no interest, effectively paid only \$.02 for each share of AGRA stock.
- 29. AGRA's Bridge Loan Investment solicitation materials fail to adequately disclose to offerees and investors that RESPONDENTS have not, to date, paid any dividends or other returns to AGRA stock investors.

30. AGRA's Bridge Loan investment solicitation materials failed to adequately disclose to offerees and investors risks associated with the Bridge Loan Investments including, but not limited to, the fact that a potential Bridge Loan investor could lose a vast portion of their principal Bridge Loan Investment and/or not make any profits, especially if they chose the equity stock option.

31. RESPONDENTS offered and sold in excess of Two Hundred Thousand Dollars of the Bridge Loan Investments to investors. AGRA repaid \$30,000 to investors.

## C. THE UNREGISTED PLATINUM RENTAL AGREEMENT SECURITIES.

- 32. From February 2005 through January 2006, RESPONDENTS offered and sold unregistered securities in the form of "Platinum Rental Agreement" investment contracts within and from Arizona.
- 33. A Platinum Rental Agreement investor could invest any substantial principal amount.
- 34. The Platinum Rental Agreement investment did not have a termination date, and could be concluded by AGRA or the investor.
- 35. Under the terms of these investments, RESPONDENTS promised: (a) to pay the investors approximately 1.6667% interest, compounded monthly on their principal investment amount, or approximately 21.939% per year; (b) return, at a minimum, the original principal investment amount on the termination of the investment; and/or (c) repay the investor the fair market value of all the rented platinum as of the date of the termination of the investment in the event the fair market value of the platinum had increased since the original investment date.
- 36. AGRA's Platinum Rental Agreement solicitation materials fail to adequately disclose to offerees and investors the risks associated with the Platinum Rental Agreement investments including, but not limited to, the fact that a potential Platinum Rental Agreement investor could lose a large percentage of their principal investment amount and/or not make any of the promised profits.

- 37. RESPONDENTS have raised \$354,000 from the sale of the Platinum Rental Agreement investments to two known Arizona investors.
- 38. RESPONDENTS have not repaid all the promised profits or principal to their Platinum Rental Agreement investors. AGRA repaid \$76,468 to investors.
- D. RESPONDENTS' REPRESENTATIONS AND OMISSIONS REGARDING AGRA'S ABILITY TO EXTRACT MARKETABLE QUANTITIES OF PRECIOUS METALS FROM THE VOLCANIC CINDERS ON A COST EFFECTIVE BASIS.
- 39. During AGRA Plant tours, PIERSON showed offerees and investors: (a) assay results that reflect the purported fact that the volcanic cinders contain platinum that can be extracted from the volcanic cinders on a cost effective basis; and (b) filters that were represented to have been used as part of the precious metals recovery process and that such filters contained precious metal extracted from the volcanic cinders.
- 40. Unbeknownst to offerees and investors, the platinum bars displayed in the Unit solicitation materials were not created from platinum extracted by AGRA from the Sheep Hill volcanic cinders.
- 41. Various December 2005 e-mails from a key AGRA offer and director to PIERSON and BAKER contain the following admissions: (a) that AGRA had, "not produced one single ounce of anything;" (b) with respect to their attempts to obtain investor money to alleviate AGRA's poor financial condition that, "[s]omeone coming in with \$2.5 [million] is going to look at the bottom line. That we have no revenue, no precious metal extracted, and a technology that may work... it makes no sense... [to invest in] a company with no proven technology, no revenue and very little assets...;" and (c) "if anyone with any brains looked at our company... the stock price would be around twenty five cents. You can't even get the Auditors to agree on what the company is valued at."
- 42. Despite RESPONDENTS' representations to the contrary, the Galleon process not only proved ineffective at extracting any precious metals from the Sheep Hill volcanic cinders, but

AGRA was actually unable to retrieve all of the in-quarted platinum during their purported precious metal recovery process, resulting in a net loss of the platinum.

- 43. By the summer of 2005, RESPONDENTS abandoned the Galleon process.
- Thereafter, RESPONDENTS represented to offerees and investors that they developed or acquired other precious metal recovery technologies that enabled them to extract precious metals from the volcanic cinders which included, without limitation, a low temperature fusion (LTF) version of a Gill-Was process and a KMH (i.e., Kalahari Mining Holdings) process.
- 44. RESPONDENTS primarily focused their efforts on the KMH process after the failure of the Galleon process.
- 45. RESPONDENTS caused to be represented to offerees and investors that by using the KMH process, AGRA could extract marketable quantities of not only platinum from the cinders, but gold and silver as well.
- 46. At all times relevant, AGRA agents issued thousands of investment solicitations, often contained in so-called "Investor Updates," to offerees and investors. These almost monthly Investor Updates contained misleading representations that AGRA extracted, or was on the verge of extracting marketable quantities of precious metals, on a cost effective basis, from the volcanic cinders using various technologies.
- 47. To date, AGRA could not extract marketable quantities of any precious metals from the Sheep Hill volcanic cinders on a cost effective basis.
- 48. To date, RESPONDENTS have not processed any of the volcanic cinders purchased by any of the Unit investors or charged the Unit investors any money for processing their volcanic cinders.
- 49. To date, AGRA has not extracted any marketable quantities of platinum or other precious metals, such as gold and silver, from the Sheep Hill volcanic cinders using any precious metal recovery technology or expertise.

Decision No.

- ! !		
$_{1}\parallel$	A.	Failing to disclose to offerees and investors that AGRA's primary source of cash receipts
2		or operating capital was Unit sale proceeds investor money, rather than the sale of any
3		precious metals extracted from the Sheep Hill volcanic cinders;
4	В.	Failing to disclose to offerees and investors that AGRA's precious metal recovery
5	İ	business has not generated a net profit from the sale of precious metals extracted from
6		the volcanic cinders;
7	C.	Failing to disclose to offerees and investors that 25% of each purchased Unit, or \$2,500,
8		was paid to AGRA agents as commissions;
9	D.	Failing to adequately disclose to offerees and investors any risks associated with the
10		purchase of the AGRA Units, Bridge Loan and Platinum Rental Agreement investments
11		including the fact that: (a) no person or entity has ever produced marketable quantities of
12		precious metals from volcanic cinders using any processes or technology; and (b) that an
13		investor could lose all or a large portion of their AGRA investment;
14	E.	Representing to offerees and investors that AGRA's Sheep Hill volcanic cinders contained
15		marketable quantities of platinum and other precious metals, such as gold and silver, that
16		can extracted on a cost effective basis;
17	F.	Representing to offerees and investors that RESPONDENTS had extracted, or were on the
18		verge of being able to extract platinum and other precious metals, such as gold and silver,
19		from the volcanic cinders using their purported precious metal recovery technologies and
20		expertise; and
21	G.	Representing to offerees and investors that they could make substantial profits by
22		purchasing the AGRA Unit, Bridge Loan Investment and Platinum Rental Agreement
23		securities.
24	6.	RESPONDENTS' conduct is grounds for a cease and desist order pursuant to
25	A.R.S. §	44-2032.
26		

Decision No. 69942

remaining investors shown on the records of the Commission. Any funds that the Commission determines it is unable to or cannot feasibly disburse shall be transferred to the general fund of the state of Arizona.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2036, AGRA shall pay an administrative penalty in the amount of \$250,000. Any amount outstanding on this administrative penalty amount shall accrue interest at the rate of 10% per annum from the date of this Order until paid in full.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2036, PIERSON and SANDRA LEE PIERSON shall, jointly and severally, pay administrative penalty in the amount of \$100,000. Any amount outstanding on this administrative penalty amount shall accrue interest at the rate of 10% per annum from the date of this Order until paid in full.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-2036, BAKER and PATRICIAL M. BAKER shall, jointly and severally, pay administrative penalty in the amount of \$100,000. Any amount outstanding on this administrative penalty amount shall accrue interest at the rate of 10% per annum from the date of this Order until paid in full.

The payment obligations for these administrative penalties shall be subordinate to any restitution obligations ordered herein and shall become immediately due and payable after restitution payments have been paid in full or upon any one of the RESPONDENTS or RESPONDENT SPOUSES' default with respect to their restitution obligations set forth herein.

For purposes of this Order, a bankruptcy filing by any one of the RESPONDENTS or RESPONDENT SPOUSES in which they attempt to discharge their obligations under this Order shall be an act of default. If any one of the RESPONDENTS or RESPONDENT SPOUSES does not comply with any provision of this Order, any outstanding balance ordered herein may be deemed in default and shall be immediately due and payable.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that if any one of the RESPONDENTS or RESPONDENT SPOUSES fails to comply with this order, the Commission may bring further legal proceedings

1	against such RESPONDENTS or RESPONDENT SPOUSES, including an application to the
2	superior court for an order of contempt.
3	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Order shall become effective immediately.
4	BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
5	(, 1.00/1 M 20 D
6	Louis floor
7	CHAIRMAN COMMISSIONER
8	J.M. Gand Ruin
9	COMMISSIONER COMMISSIONER
10	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, DEAN S. MILLER, Interim
11	Executive Director of the Arizona Corporation
12	Commission, have hereunto set my hand and caused the official seal of the Commission to be affixed at the
13	Capitol, in the City of Phoenix, this 16th day of October, 2007.
14	<u></u>
15	Do 1 Minh
16	//Wm/1.//Wlb
17	DEAN S. MILLER  Interim Executive Director
18	
19	DIGGENTE
20	DISSENT
21	DICODATE
22	DISSENT
23	This document is available in alternative formats by contacting Linda Hogan, Executive Assistant
24	to the Executive Director, voice phone number 602-542-3931, E-mail <a href="mailto:lhogan@azcc.gov">lhogan@azcc.gov</a> . (JC)
25	
26	
	14 Decision No. 69942

**5** 

#### CONSENT TO ENTRY OF ORDER

- 1. AGRA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (a/k/a ATI), a Nevada corporation ("AGRA") admits the jurisdiction of the Arizona Corporation Commission (the, "Commission") over the subject matter of this proceeding. AGRA acknowledges that it has been fully advised of their right to a hearing to present evidence and call witnesses and AGRA knowingly and voluntarily waives any and all rights to a hearing before the Commission and all other rights otherwise available under Article 11 of the Securities Act and Title 14 of the Arizona Administrative Code. AGRA acknowledges that this Order to Cease and Desist, Order of Restitution, Order for Administrative Penalties, Order for Other Affirmative Relief and Consent to Same ("Order") constitutes a valid final order of the Commission. AGRA acknowledges and agrees that the Order is incorporated herein by reference.
- 2. AGRA knowingly and voluntarily waives any right under Article 12 of the Securities Act to judicial review by any court by way of suit, appeal, or extraordinary relief resulting from the entry of this Order.
- 3. AGRA acknowledges and agrees that this Order is entered into freely and voluntarily and that no promise was made or coercion used to induce such entry.
- 4. AGRA acknowledges that at all times relevant it has been represented by an attorney in this matter, namely Lonnie J. Williams, Jr. and Carrie M. Francis of the law firm of Quarles & Brady LLP, it has reviewed this Order with its attorney, and understands all terms it contains.
- 5. AGRA neither admits nor denies the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law contained in this Order. AGRA agrees that it shall not contest the validity of the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law contained in this Order in any present or future administrative proceeding before the Commission or any other state agency concerning the denial or issuance of any license or registration required by the State to engage in the practice of any business or profession.

- 6. By consenting to the entry of this Order, AGRA agrees not to take any action or to make, or permit to be made, any public statement denying, directly or indirectly, any Finding of Fact or Conclusion of Law in this Order or creating the impression that this Order is without factual basis. Notwithstanding the foregoing, this Order is not intended to collaterally estop, factually bind or preclude AGRA from defending itself in any administrative, civil or criminal proceedings to which the Commission is not a party. AGRA will undertake steps necessary to assure that all of its agents and employees understand and comply with this agreement.
- 7. While this Order settles this administrative matter between AGRA and the Commission, AGRA understands that this Order does not preclude the Commission from instituting other administrative or civil proceedings based on violations that are not addressed by this Order.
- 8. AGRA understands that this Order does not preclude the Commission from referring this matter to any governmental agency or entity for administrative, civil, or criminal proceedings that may be related to the matters addressed by this Order.
- 9. AGRA understands that this Order does not preclude any other agency or officer of the state of Arizona or its subdivisions from instituting administrative, civil, or criminal proceedings that may be related to any matters addressed by this Order.
- 10. AGRA agrees that it will not apply to the state of Arizona for registration as a securities dealer or salesman until such time it has complied with all terms and conditions of this Order including, without limitation, the payment in full of all restitution and administration penalties, and any applicable annual interest set forth in this Order.
- 11. AGRA agrees that it will not exercise any control over any entity that offers or sells securities within or from Arizona until such time it has complied with all terms and conditions of this Order including, without limitation, the payment in full of all restitution and administration penalties, and any applicable annual interest set forth in this Order.

- properly registered in Arizona as a dealer or salesman, or exempt from such registration; it will not sell any securities in or from Arizona unless the securities are registered in Arizona or exempt from registration; and it will not transact business in Arizona as an investment adviser or an investment adviser representative unless properly licensed in Arizona or exempt from licensure.
- 13. AGRA agrees that it will continue to cooperate with the Securities Division including, but not limited to, providing complete and accurate testimony at any hearing in this matter and cooperating with the state of Arizona in any related investigation or any other matters arising from the activities described in this Order.
- 14. AGRA consents to the entry of this Order and agrees to be fully bound by its terms and conditions.
- 15. AGRA acknowledges and understands that if it fails to comply with the provisions of the Order and this Consent, the Commission may bring further legal proceedings against it, including, without limitation, application to the superior court for an order of contempt.
- 16. AGRA agrees that until all restitution and administrative penalties in this Order are paid in full, AGRA shall notify the Director of the Securities Division within 30 days of any change of address or any change in any of their ability to pay amounts due under this Order.
- 17. AGRA understands that default shall necessarily render it liable to the Commission for its costs of collection and interest at the maximum legal rate.
- 18. AGRA agrees and understands that if it fails to make any payment as required in this Order, any outstanding balance shall be in default and shall be immediately due and payable without notice or demand. AGRA agrees and understands that acceptance of any partial or late payment by the Commission is not a waiver of default by the Commission.

25 | ...

26 |

1	
2	Agreed: AGRA TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Nevada corporation
3	
4	By: William Jay Pierson (a Va Bill Pierson)
5	Its: President
6	
7	STATE OF ARIZONA )  ALEVADA ) SS
8	County of Maricopa )
9	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE me this 25th day of September, 2007.
10	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE the this 24 day of Christian, 2007.
11	Acte
12	NOTARY PUBLIC /
13	My Commission Expires:  6-11-08  NOTARY PUBLIC STATE OF NEVADA
14	County of Clark
15	JOHN ISBERG No: 04-89648-1 My Appointment Expires June 11, 2008
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	<b></b>
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	•••
26	18
	1 10

Decision No. \_\_\_\_\_**69942** 

3

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1.

# CONSENT TO ENTRY OF ORDER

WILLIAM JAY PIERSON (a/k/a BILL PIERSON) ("RESPONDENT") and

SANDRA LEE PIERSON (a/k/a SANDY PIERSON) ("RESPONDENT SPOUSE"), husband and 4 wife, admit the jurisdiction of the Arizona Corporation Commission (the, "Commission") over the 5 subject matter of this proceeding. RESPONDENT and RESPONDENT SPOUSE acknowledge 6 that they have been fully advised of their right to a hearing to present evidence and call witnesses 7 and the RESPONDENT and RESPONDENT SPOUSE knowingly and voluntarily waive any and 8 all rights to a hearing before the Commission and all other rights otherwise available under Article 11 of the Securities Act and Title 14 of the Arizona Administrative Code. RESPONDENT and 10 RESPONDENT SPOUSE acknowledge that this Order to Cease and Desist, Order of Restitution, 11 Order for Administrative Penalties, Order for Other Affirmative Relief and Consent to Same 12 ("Order") constitutes a valid final order of the Commission. RESPONDENT and RESPONDENT

RESPONDENT and RESPONDENT SPOUSE knowingly and voluntarily waive 2. any right under Article 12 of the Securities Act to judicial review by any court by way of suit, appeal, or extraordinary relief resulting from the entry of this Order.

SPOUSE acknowledge and agree that the Order is incorporated herein by reference.

- RESPONDENT and RESPONDENT SPOUSE acknowledge and agree that this 3. Order is entered into freely and voluntarily and that no promise was made or coercion used to induce such entry.
- RESPONDENT and RESPONDENT SPOUSE acknowledge that at all times 4. relevant they have been represented by an attorney in this matter, namely Lonnie J. Williams, Jr. and Carrie M. Francis of the law firm of Quarles & Brady LLP, they have reviewed this Order with their attorney, and understand all terms it contains.
- RESPONDENT and RESPONDENT SPOUSE neither admit nor deny the Findings 5. of Fact and Conclusions of Law contained in this Order. RESPONDENT and RESPONDENT

19

69942 Decision No.

SPOUSE agree that they shall not contest the validity of the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law contained in this Order in any present or future administrative proceeding before the Commission or any other state agency concerning the denial or issuance of any license or registration required by the State to engage in the practice of any business or profession.

- 6. By consenting to the entry of this Order, the RESPONDENT and RESPONDENT SPOUSE agree not to take any action or to make, or permit to be made, any public statement denying, directly or indirectly, any Finding of Fact or Conclusion of Law in this Order or creating the impression that this Order is without factual basis. Notwithstanding the foregoing, this Order is not intended to collaterally estop, factually bind or preclude the RESPONDENT and RESPONDENT SPOUSE from defending themselves in any administrative, civil or criminal proceedings to which the Commission is not a party. RESPONDENT and RESPONDENT SPOUSE will undertake steps necessary to assure that all of their agents and employees understand and comply with this agreement.
- 7. While this Order settles this administrative matter between the RESPONDENT and RESPONDENT SPOUSE and the Commission, the RESPONDENT and RESPONDENT SPOUSE understand that this Order does not preclude the Commission from instituting other administrative or civil proceedings based on violations that are not addressed by this Order.
- 8. RESPONDENT and RESPONDENT SPOUSE understand that this Order does not preclude the Commission from referring this matter to any governmental agency or entity for administrative, civil, or criminal proceedings that may be related to the matters addressed by this Order.
- 9. RESPONDENT and RESPONDENT SPOUSE understand that this Order does not preclude any other agency or officer of the state of Arizona or its subdivisions from instituting administrative, civil, or criminal proceedings that may be related to any matters addressed by this Order.

10. RESPONDENT and RESPONDENT SPOUSE agree that they will not apply to the state of Arizona for registration as a securities dealer or salesman until such time each of them have complied with all terms and conditions of this Order including, without limitation, the payment in full of all restitution and administration penalties, and any applicable annual interest set forth in this Order.

- 11. RESPONDENT and RESPONDENT SPOUSE agree that they will not exercise any control over any entity that offers or sells securities within or from Arizona until such time each of them have complied with all terms and conditions of this Order including, without limitation, the payment in full of all restitution and administration penalties, and any applicable annual interest set forth in this Order.
- 12. RESPONDENT and RESPONDENT SPOUSE agree that they will not sell any securities in or from Arizona without being properly registered in Arizona as a dealer or salesman, or exempt from such registration; they will not sell any securities in or from Arizona unless the securities are registered in Arizona or exempt from registration; and they will not transact business in Arizona as an investment adviser or an investment adviser representative unless properly licensed in Arizona or exempt from licensure.
- 13. RESPONDENT and RESPONDENT SPOUSE agree that they will continue to cooperate with the Securities Division including, but not limited to, providing complete and accurate testimony at any hearing in this matter and cooperating with the state of Arizona in any related investigation or any other matters arising from the activities described in this Order.
- 14. RESPONDENT and RESPONDENT SPOUSE acknowledge and agree that any restitution or administrative penalties imposed by this Order are obligations of each of them individually as well as their respective marital community.
- 15. RESPONDENT and RESPONDENT SPOUSE consent to the entry of this Order and agree to be fully bound by its terms and conditions.

Decision No.

1	
	Agreed: William James
2	William Jay Pierson (a/k/a Bill Pierson)
3	
5	la dia Legisa
6	Sandra Lee Pierson (a/k/a Sandy Pierson)
7	spouse of William Jay Pierson
8	
9	STATE OF AREZONA )  NEWADA ) ss
10	County of Maricopa )  CLARK
11	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE me this 25 day of Jeptenber, 2007.
12	
13	NOTARY PUBLIC
14	Mr. Commission Expires
15	
16	NOTARY PUBLIC STATE OF NEVADA County of Clark JOHN ISBERG My Appointment Expires June 11, 2008
17	My Appointment Expires June 11, 2008
18	
19	
20	
21,	•••
22	···
23	
24	
25	···
26	<b></b>
	23
	Decision No. 69942

CONSENT TO ENTRY OF ORDER

PATRICIA M. BAKER ("RESPONDENT SPOUSE"), husband and wife admit the jurisdiction of the Arizona Corporation Commission (the, "Commission") over the subject matter of this proceeding. RESPONDENT and RESPONDENT SPOUSE acknowledge that they have been fully advised of their right to a hearing to present evidence and call witnesses and the RESPONDENT and RESPONDENT SPOUSE knowingly and voluntarily waive any and all rights to a hearing before the Commission and all other rights otherwise available under Article 11 of the Securities Act and Title 14 of the Arizona Administrative Code. RESPONDENT and RESPONDENT SPOUSE acknowledge that this Order to Cease and Desist, Order of Restitution, Order for Administrative Penalties, Order for Other Affirmative Relief and Consent to Same ("Order") constitutes a valid final order of the Commission. RESPONDENT and RESPONDENT SPOUSE acknowledge and agree that the Order is incorporated herein by reference.

- 2. RESPONDENT and RESPONDENT SPOUSE knowingly and voluntarily waive any right under Article 12 of the Securities Act to judicial review by any court by way of suit, appeal, or extraordinary relief resulting from the entry of this Order.
- 3. RESPONDENT and RESPONDENT SPOUSE acknowledge and agree that this Order is entered into freely and voluntarily and that no promise was made or coercion used to induce such entry.
- 4. RESPONDENT and RESPONDENT SPOUSE acknowledge that at all times relevant they have been represented by an attorney in this matter, namely Lonnie J. Williams, Jr. and Carrie M. Francis of the law firm of Quarles & Brady LLP, they have reviewed this Order with their attorney, and understand all terms it contains.
- 5. RESPONDENT and RESPONDENT SPOUSE neither admit nor deny the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law contained in this Order. RESPONDENT and RESPONDENT

SPOUSE agree that they shall not contest the validity of the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law contained in this Order in any present or future administrative proceeding before the Commission or any other state agency concerning the denial or issuance of any license or registration required by the State to engage in the practice of any business or profession.

- 6. By consenting to the entry of this Order, the RESPONDENT and RESPONDENT SPOUSE agree not to take any action or to make, or permit to be made, any public statement denying, directly or indirectly, any Finding of Fact or Conclusion of Law in this Order or creating the impression that this Order is without factual basis. Notwithstanding the foregoing, this Order is not intended to collaterally estop, factually bind or preclude the RESPONDENT and RESPONDENT SPOUSE from defending themselves in any administrative, civil or criminal proceedings to which the Commission is not a party. RESPONDENT and RESPONDENT SPOUSE will undertake steps necessary to assure that all of their agents and employees understand and comply with this agreement.
- 7. While this Order settles this administrative matter between the RESPONDENT and RESPONDENT and RESPONDENT and RESPONDENT SPOUSE understand that this Order does not preclude the Commission from instituting other administrative or civil proceedings based on violations that are not addressed by this Order.
- 8. RESPONDENT and RESPONDENT SPOUSE understand that this Order does not preclude the Commission from referring this matter to any governmental agency or entity for administrative, civil, or criminal proceedings that may be related to the matters addressed by this Order.
- 9. RESPONDENT and RESPONDENT SPOUSE understand that this Order does not preclude any other agency or officer of the state of Arizona or its subdivisions from instituting administrative, civil, or criminal proceedings that may be related to any matters addressed by this Order.

- 10. RESPONDENT and RESPONDENT SPOUSE agree that they will not apply to the state of Arizona for registration as a securities dealer or salesman until such time each of them have complied with all terms and conditions of this Order including, without limitation, the payment in full of all restitution and administration penalties, and any applicable annual interest set forth in this Order.
- 11. RESPONDENT and RESPONDENT SPOUSE agree that they will not exercise any control over any entity that offers or sells securities within or from Arizona until such time each of them have complied with all terms and conditions of this Order including, without limitation, the payment in full of all restitution and administration penalties, and any applicable annual interest set forth in this Order.
- 12. RESPONDENT and RESPONDENT SPOUSE agree that they will not sell any securities in or from Arizona without being properly registered in Arizona as a dealer or salesman, or exempt from such registration; they will not sell any securities in or from Arizona unless the securities are registered in Arizona or exempt from registration; and they will not transact business in Arizona as an investment adviser or an investment adviser representative unless properly licensed in Arizona or exempt from licensure.
- 13. RESPONDENT and RESPONDENT SPOUSE agree that they will continue to cooperate with the Securities Division including, but not limited to, providing complete and accurate testimony at any hearing in this matter and cooperating with the state of Arizona in any related investigation or any other matters arising from the activities described in this Order.
- 14. RESPONDENT and RESPONDNT SPOUSE acknowledge and agree that any restitution or administrative penalties imposed by this Order are obligations of each of them individually as well as their respective marital community.
- 15. RESPONDENT and RESPONDENT SPOUSE consent to the entry of this Order and agree to be fully bound by its terms and conditions.

Decision No. 69942

1	Agreed: Unit Dill Dill Dill Dill Dill Dill Dill Di
2	William H. Baker, Jr. (a/k/a Bill Baker)
3	
4	Agreed: MUCO MORN
5	Patricia M. Baker spouse of William H. Baker, Jr.
6	
7	
8	STATE OF ARIZONA )
9	County of Maricopa ) ss
10	GURGORIED AND GWODNI TO DEPONE 11: 10M 1 C DO J. 1 C 2007
11	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE me this 10th day of 00, 2007.
12	Andrea I H
13	NOTARY PUBLIC 0
14	My Commission Expires:  OFFICIAL SEAL ANDREA GOFF
15	MOTARY PUBLIC - State of Arizona COCONINO COUNTY My Comm. Expires July 11, 2011
16	
17	
18	
19	•••
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	 
26	
	28 69942 Decision No.

Docket/No. S-20484A-06-0669