

ORIGINAL



0000077068

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

RECEIVED

COMMISSIONERS  
MIKE GLEASON, CHAIRMAN  
WILLIAM A. MUNDELL  
JEFF HATCH-MILLER  
KRISTEN K. MAYES  
GARY PIERCE

2007 SEP 21 P 4: 54

AZ CORP COMMISSION  
DOCKET CONTROL


IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION  
OF DIVERSIFIED WATER UTILITIES,  
INC. TO EXPAND ITS CERTIFICATE OF  
CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY IN  
PINAL COUNTY, ARIZONA.

DOCKET NO.: W-02859A-04-0844  
  
DIVERSIFIED WATER UTILITIES,  
INC.'S AFFIDAVIT REGARDING  
TURF AND EFFLUENT

Diversified Water Utilities, Inc. ("Diversified"), as a result of the July 30, 2007 hearing conducted in this matter, contacted Wolkin Farms regarding what water intensive uses of water may be proposed for the Bella Vista Development and their position on using effluent. Diversified hereby files the Affidavit of Scott W. Gray Regarding Turf and Effluent as a late filed exhibit.

DATED this 21<sup>st</sup> day of September 2007.

CURTIS, GOODWIN, SULLIVAN,  
UDALL & SCHWAB, P.L.C.

By:   
William P. Sullivan  
501 East Thomas Road  
Phoenix, Arizona 85012-3205  
Attorneys for Diversified Water  
Utilities, Inc.

Arizona Corporation Commission  
DOCKETED  
SEP 21 2007

DOCKETED BY nr





1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

3. The Owner has confirmed:
- a. The housing planned for the Bella Vista Development is largely composed of “starter homes,” meaning homes for first time home buyers;
  - b. The Development Plan calls for landscaping consistent with the desert environment;
  - c. There are no golf courses, lakes or other water intensive features included in the Bella Vista Development Plan;
  - d. Use of turf will be limited in parks and common areas; and
  - e. The Bella Vista Development contains a public school site which can be expected to have playing field areas.

4. The Owner and the Company support the use of effluent on turf where practicable.

5. Effluent quality, effluent availability, the cost of installing separate delivery systems and the cost of the effluent are some of the factors that need to be considered in determining whether use of effluent is practicable at any particular location.

6. The Owner and Company oppose including a generic requirement mandating effluent use as part of a decision granting Company’s request to extend its domestic water certificate of convenience and necessity because:

- a. There is limited opportunity to use effluent within the Bella Vista Development;

