

ORIGINAL



0000076553

19

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

COMMISSIONERS

MIKE GLEASON, Chairman
WILLIAM A. MUNDELL
JEFF HATCH-MILLER
KRISTIN K. MAYES
GARY PIERCE

Arizona Corporation Commission
DOCKETED

AUG 31 2007

DOCKETED BY nr

In the matter of:

EDWARD A. PURVIS and MAUREEN H. PURVIS, husband and wife
2131 W. Shannon
Chandler, Arizona 85224

GREGG L. WOLFE and ALLISON A. WOLFE, husband and wife
2092 W. Dublin Lane
Chandler, Arizona 85224

NAKAMI CHI GROUP MINISTRIES INTERNATIONAL, (a/k/a NCGMI), a Nevada corporation sole
4400 N. Scottsdale Road, Suite 9-231
Scottsdale, Arizona 85251

JAMES W. KEATON, Jr. and JENNIFER KEATON, husband and wife
11398 E. Whitehorn Drive, Apt. D
Scottsdale, Arizona 85255

ACI HOLDINGS, INC., a Nevada corporation
17650 N. 25th Avenue
Phoenix, Arizona 85023

Respondents.

DOCKET NO. S-20482A-06-0631

SECURITIES DIVISION'S MOTION TO RECONSIDER RESPONDENT'S REQUEST TO CONTINUE THE ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING

RECEIVED
2007 AUG 31 P 4: 28
AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL

The Securities Division of the Arizona Corporation Commission (the "Division"), hereby through its counsel, requests Administrative Law Judge Marc Stern ("Judge Stern") to reconsider his procedural order granting Respondents Edward Purvis and Maureen Purvis' ("Respondents") *Motion to Continue Hearing for 30 Days* ("Motion to Continue") filed on August 27, 2007. Judge Stern's order vacated the September 4, 2007 hearing date and rescheduled the matter to October 1, 2007. The Securities Division states as follows:

1 Judge Stern's Order infers that the Division responded via e-mail to Respondents' motion.
2 However, the Division merely sent an e-mail communication to Respondents' counsel with a copy
3 to Judge Stern. The Division's e-mail message was not intended to address all issues raised by the
4 Respondents' motion but merely to address a particular issue. To the extent the Court based its
5 decision to continue the hearing upon the above e-mail which did not constitute the Division's
6 response to the motion, the Division respectfully requests Judge Stern reconsider his ruling.

7 Furthermore, it should be mentioned that this Court has granted three continuances to the
8 Respondents. Such delays impact investor/witnesses' schedules and are extremely inconvenient,
9 especially to those witnesses who must travel to attend the hearing. These additional delays have
10 afforded the Respondents ample opportunity to prepare for the administrative hearing.

11 For the aforementioned reasons and those set forth on the record by the Division during the
12 August 29, 2007 teleconference, the Division respectfully requests the administrative hearing to
13 commence on September 4, 2007. Moreover, the Division requests that no further continuances be
14 permitted to ensure the availability of investor/witnesses so that the matter may proceed to
15 administrative hearing.

16 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this ~~31st~~ day of August, 2007.

17 By 
18 Rachel Frazier Strachan
19 Attorney for the Securities Division of the
20 Arizona Corporation Commission

21 ORIGINAL AND THIRTEEN (13) COPIES of the foregoing
22 filed this ~~31st~~ day of August, 2007, with:

23 Docket Control
24 Arizona Corporation Commission
25 1200 West Washington
26 Phoenix, AZ 85007

COPY of the foregoing hand-delivered this
~~31st~~ day of August, 2007, to:

ALJ Marc Stern
Arizona Corporation Commission/Hearing Division
1200 West Washington
Phoenix, AZ 85007

COPY of the foregoing mailed

1 this 31st day of August, 2007, to:

2 John Maston O'Neal, Esq.
3 Zachary Cain, Esq.
4 Quarles & Brady LLP
5 Renaissance One, Two North Central Avenue
6 Phoenix, AZ 85004-2391
7 Attorneys for Respondents Ed and Maureen Purvis

8 Ashley Adams
9 Ryan, Rapp & Underwood, P.L.C.
10 3101 North Central Avenue
11 Phoenix, Arizona 85012
12 Attorneys for Respondents James W. Keaton, Jennifer Keaton and ACI Holdings, Inc.

13 By:  _____
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26