

ORIGINAL



0000075777

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
RECEIVED Arizona Corporation Commission

COMMISSIONERS

2007 AUG -9 A 9:09

DOCKETED

AUG -9 2007

JEFF HATCH-MILLER, Chairman
WILLIAM A. MUNDELL
MIKE GLEASON
KRISTIN K. MAYES
GARY PIERCE

AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCKET CONTROL

DOCKETED BY	nr
-------------	----

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF ANTELOPE LAKES WATER COMPANY, INC. , AN ARIZONA CORPORATION, FOR AN EXTENSION OF ITS CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY TO PROVIDE WATER SERVICE TO VARIOUS PARTS OF YAVAPAI COUNTY, ARIZONA.

DOCKET NO. W-02740A-05-0089

PROCEDURAL ORDER

BY THE COMMISSION:

On February 11, 2005, Antelope Lakes Water Company, Inc. ("Company" or "Applicant"), filed an application for an extension of its Certificate of Convenience and Necessity ("Certificate") with the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") to provide public water utility service to various parts of Yavapai County, Arizona.

On March 10, 2005, pursuant to A.A.C. R14-2-411, the Commission's Utilities Division ("Staff") issued a notice of insufficiency.

On August 3, 2005, pursuant to A.A.C. R14-2-411, Staff issued a letter of sufficiency.

On August 8, 2005, by Procedural Order, the Company was ordered to provide notice of the proceeding by September 2, 2005, Staff was ordered to file its Staff Report by September 15, 2005, and a hearing was scheduled for October 5, 2005.

On September 8, 2005, Staff filed its report.

On September 14, 2005, the Company filed a Motion to Vacate ("Motion") the hearing. The Company requested the hearing be vacated because it had failed to provide public notice pursuant to the Commission's Procedural Order. The Company also agreed to the waiver of the time-frame rule, A.A.C. R14-2-411. Staff does not oppose the Company's Motion.

On September 21, 2005, by Procedural Order, the Company's Motion was granted and the hearing vacated. The Company was ordered to provide public notice by October 14, 2005, and the hearing was rescheduled for November 15, 2005. Pursuant to the Company's waiver, the time-frame

25 FD

1 was suspended.

2 On October 24, 2005, the Company filed certification that it had provided public notice.

3 On November 15, 2005, a full public hearing was convened before a duly authorized
4 Administrative Law Judge of the Commission at its offices in Phoenix, Arizona. The Company and
5 Staff appeared with counsel. At the conclusion of the hearing, the matter was taken under
6 advisement pending submission of a Recommended Opinion and Order to the Commission.

7 On December 5, 2005, by Procedural Order, the Company was ordered to file, by February 4,
8 2006, a copy of its Inorganic Chemical Analysis Report ("Report"), which shows the results of
9 testing for the arsenic level of its new well, and the costs, if necessary, for bringing the arsenic level
10 into compliance with the current applicable maximum contaminant level for arsenic. Staff was
11 ordered to review such information, and to file, within 21 days of the Company's filing, a response.
12 Following submission of this additional information, a determination would be made as to whether
13 an additional hearing is necessary or whether this matter can proceed directly to the issuance of a
14 Recommended Opinion and Order.

15 On February 6, 2006, the Company filed a request for an extension until February 28, 2006,
16 to file a copy of its Report which shows the results of its water tests including the arsenic level.

17 On February 24, 2006, by Procedural Order, the Company's request for an extension was
18 granted. However, the Company's filing of a copy of its Report was delayed.

19 On March 16, 2006, the Company filed a copy of the Report which shows the results of its
20 water tests which indicate extremely high levels of arsenic.

21 On March 24, 2006, Staff filed its response.

22 On March 27, 2006, by Procedural Order, a procedural conference was scheduled for April
23 20, 2006.

24 On April 20, 2006, a procedural conference was convened as ordered. Staff appeared with
25 counsel. The Company did not enter an appearance, but when contacted by telephone, requested that
26 the proceeding be continued for approximately sixty days. Staff did not object to this request, and
27 by Procedural Order, the proceeding was continued until July 6, 2006.
28

1 On June 26, 2006, the Company filed a request to continue the proceeding for 120 days in
2 order to gather expert advice on how to resolve the arsenic situation. Staff had no objection to the
3 Company's request.

4 On June 27, 2006, by Procedural Order, the proceeding was continued to November 14,
5 2006.

6 On November 3, 2006, the Company filed another request to continue the proceeding for 90
7 more days in order to allow it to have its engineer prepare an engineering and feasibility report to be
8 submitted at the procedural conference. Staff has no objections to the Company's request.

9 On November 7, 2006, by Procedural Order, the procedural conference was continued to
10 February 15, 2007.

11 On February 15, 2007, the Company and Staff appeared with counsel to discuss pending
12 issues in the proceeding and other related matters. The parties agreed that an additional 90 days
13 would be required to address these concerns after which a status conference should be scheduled.

14 On February 20, 2007, by Procedural Order, a status conference was scheduled for May 30,
15 2007.

16 On May 22, 2007, the Company filed yet another request for a 90 day continuance of the
17 proceeding in an attempt to resolve certain issues including whether another public water company,
18 Abra Water Company, may have a Certificate for the area in question.

19 On May 25, 2007, by Procedural Order, the status conference was continued to August 30,
20 2007.

21 On August 3, 2007, Staff filed a request to change the date of the status conference to an earlier
22 date. However, there is no substantial reason given to make any change.

23 Accordingly, the status conference schedule should not be changed.

24 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the **status conference** scheduled on **August 30, 2007,**
25 **at 9:30 a.m.,** at the offices of the Commission, 1200 West Washington Street, Phoenix, Arizona
26 shall remain unchanged.


27 ...

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the time-frame shall remain suspended as previously ordered.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the presiding Administrative Law Judge may rescind, alter, amend, or waive any portion of this Procedural Order either by subsequent Procedural Order or by ruling at hearing.

DATED this 9TH day of August, 2007


MARC E. STERN
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE


Copies of the foregoing mailed/delivered this 9TH day of August, 2007 to:

Paul D. Levie
ANTELOPE LAKES WATER COMPANY, INC.
2465 Shane Drive
Prescott, AZ 86305

Christopher Kempley, Chief Counsel
Legal Division
ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
1200 West Washington Street
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Ernest Johnson, Director
Utilities Division
ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
1200 West Washington Street
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

ARIZONA REPORTING SERVICE, INC.
2627 North Third Street, Suite Three
Phoenix, Arizona 85004-1104

By: 
Debra Broyles
Secretary to Marc Stern