



0000075401

1 **GLIEGE LAW OFFICES, PLLC**
2 **P.O. Box 1388**
3 **Flagstaff, AZ 86002-1388**
4 **(928) 226-8333**

5 **John G. Gliege (#003644)**
6 **Stephanie J. Gliege (#022465)**
7 **Attorneys for the Complainants**

8 **BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION**

9 **RAYMOND R. PUGEL AND JULIE B.**
10 **PUGEL, husband and wife as trustees of THE**
11 **RAYMOND R. PUGEL and JULIE B. PUGEL**
12 **FAMILY TRUST,**
13 **and**
14 **ROBERT RANDALL and SALLY RANDALL,**
15 **husband and wife**
16 **Complainants,**
17 **v.**
18 **PINE WATER COMPANY, an Arizona**
19 **Corporation**
20 **Respondent..**

DOCKET NO. W-03512A-06-0407

Rejoinder Testimony of Mike Ploughe

W-03512A-07-0019

21 **ASSET TRUST MANAGEMENT, CORP.**
22 **Complainants,**
23 **v.**
24 **PINE WATER COMPANY, an Arizona**
25 **Corporation**
26 **Respondent.**

DOCKET NO. W-03512A-06 -0613

27 **JAMES HILL and SIOUX HILL, husband and**
28 **wife and as trustees of THE HILL FAMILY**
29 **TRUST,**
30 **Complainants,**
31 **v.**
32 **PINE WATER COMPANY, an Arizona**
33 **Corporation**
34 **Respondent.**

03512
DOCKET NO. W-~~20511A~~-07-0100

Arizona Corporation Commission
DOCKETED

JUL 23 2007

DOCKETED BY	<i>nr</i>
-------------	-----------

1 Once again, state your name: **Mike Ploughe**

2
3 Did you read the Surrebuttal Testimony filed by Pine Water Company and the Testimony filed by the
4 Arizona Corporation Commission Staff? **YES**

5
6 Do you have any comments on Mr. Noel's testimony?

7 **For clarification regarding the question on Page 4, Line 23 and Mr. Noel's response on Page 5,**
8 **Line 2; It is stated the demand would be roughly half of the projected long-term pumping rate of**
9 **the well. Please note that within the context of the discussion "one half" is referring to the long-**
10 **term pumping rate of the SH3 well not the Milk Ranch. However the Milk Ranch well is the**
11 **subject of the question on Page 4, Line 23. One half of the long-term pumping rate of the Milk**
12 **Ranch well would be 75gpm.**

13
14 **For clarification regarding Mr. Noels discussions on Pages 2-3 concerning yield estimates; Please**
15 **note that the subject aquifer yield values I had presented in previous testimony are indeed**
16 **estimates and are intended to provide a range of reasonable values. Given that two years have**
17 **passed since the 2005 Payson status report and that more information has since been developed**
18 **regarding the existence of the deep regional aquifer system, I am more confident in a higher yield**
19 **value from the deeper aquifer than that presented by Mr. Noel (1,125 ac-ft/yr). Nonetheless, I**
20 **agree with Mr. Noel in the use of 1,125 as a conservative lower limit to the deep aquifer system**
21 **yield. This is a conservative approach and is justifiable until more data becomes available.**

22
23 Does that conclude your testimony? **YES**

1 Original and 17 copies mailed/delivered
2 This 14th day of May, 2007 to:

3 Arizona Corporation Commission
4 Attn: Docket Control
5 1200 W. Washington
6 Phoenix, AZ 85007

7 Copies of the foregoing mailed/delivered
8 This 14th day of May, 2007 to:

9 Kevin O. Torrey
10 Attorney, Legal Division
11 Arizona Corporation Commission
12 1200 W. Washington Street
13 Phoenix, AZ 85007
14 ktorrey@azcc.gov

15 Christopher Kempley, Chief Counsel
16 Legal Division
17 Arizona Corporation Commission
18 1200 W. Washington Street
19 Phoenix, AZ 85007

20 Ernest G. Johnson, Director
21 Utilities Division
22 Arizona Corporation Commission
23 1200 W. Washington Street
24 Phoenix, AZ 85007

25 Jay L. Shapiro
26 Fennemore Craig
27 3003 North Central Ave. Ste 2600
28 Phoenix, AZ 85012-2913
29 JSHAPIRO@fclaw.com

30 David W. Davis, ESQ.
31 Turley, Swan & Childers, P.C.
32 3101 N. Central, Suite 1300
33 Phoenix, AZ 85012-2643
34 ddavis@tsc-law.com

35 Robert M. Cassaro
36 PO Box 1522
37 Pine, AZ 85544

38 William F. Haney
39 3018 E. Mallory St.
40 Mesa, AZ 85213

41 Barbara Hall
42 PO Box 2198
43 Pine, AZ 85544