



0000069484

ORIGINAL  
RECEIVED

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

**BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION** ~~2002 NOV 25 10 1: 10~~  
Arizona Corporation Commission

WILLIAM A. MUNDELL  
Chairman  
JIM IRVIN  
Commissioner  
MARC SPITZER  
Commissioner

**DOCKETED**

NOV 25 2002

AZ CORP COMMISSION  
DOCUMENT CONTROL

DOCKETED BY *CAK*

IN THE MATTER OF THE GENERIC  
PROCEEDINGS CONCERNING ELECTRIC  
RESTRUCTURING.

DOCKET NO. E-00000-02-0051

IN THE MATTER OF ARIZONA PUBLIC  
SERVICE COMPANY'S REQUEST FOR  
VARIANCE OF CERTAIN  
REQUIREMENTS OF A.A.C. R14-2-1606

DOCKET NO. E-01345-01-0822

IN THE MATTER OF THE GENERIC  
PROCEEDINGS CONCERNING THE  
ARIZONA INDEPENDENT SCHEDULING  
ADMINISTRATOR.

DOCKET NO. E-00000A-01-0630

IN THE MATTER OF TUCSON ELECTRIC  
POWER COMPANY'S APPLICATION FOR  
A VARIANCE OF CERTAIN ELECTRIC  
COMPETITION RULES COMPLIANCE  
DATES

DOCKET NO. E-01933A-02-0069

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION  
OF TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER  
COMPANY FOR APPROVAL OF ITS  
STRANDED COST RECOVERY

**NOTICE OF FILING SUMMARY OF DIRECT TESTIMONY OF  
THOMAS BRODERICK  
ON BEHALF OF HARQUAHALA GENERATING COMPANY, LLC**

Harquahala Generating Company, LLC, by and through its attorneys, hereby files the attached Summary of Direct Testimony of Thomas Broderick, Director, External Relations, West Region, PG&E National Energy Group, pertaining to the issues in "Track B" for the above-captioned proceeding.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 25<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2002.

QUARLES & BRADY STREICH LANG LLP  
Renaissance One  
Two North Central Avenue  
Phoenix, AZ 85004-2391

By Roger K. Ferland  
Roger K. Ferland  
602.229.5607  
Attorneys for Harquahala Generating Company, LLC

**ORIGINAL** and **21 COPIES** filed November 25, 2002, with:

Docket Control  
ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION  
1200 West Washington Street  
Phoenix, AZ 85007

**COPIES** hand-delivered without a copy of the Service List November 25, 2002, to:

Chairman William Mundell  
ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION  
1200 West Washington Street  
Phoenix, AZ 85007

Commissioner Jim Irvin  
ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION  
1200 West Washington Street  
Phoenix, AZ 85007

Commission Marc Spitzer  
ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION  
1200 West Washington Street  
Phoenix, AZ 85007

Lyn A. Farmer, Esq.  
Chief Administrative Law Judge  
Hearing Division  
ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION  
1200 West Washington Street  
Phoenix, AZ 85007

1 Christopher Kempley, Esq.  
2 Chief Counsel, Legal Division  
3 ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION  
4 1200 West Washington Street  
5 Phoenix, AZ 85007

6 Ernest G. Johnson, Utilities Division  
7 ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION  
8 1200 West Washington Street  
9 Phoenix, AZ 85007

10 **COPIES** mailed without a copy of the Service List November 25, 2002, to:

11 All parties of record on the service list for  
12 Consolidated Docket Nos. E-00000A-01-0051;  
13 E-1345A-01-0822; E-00000A-01-0630;  
14 E-01933A-02-0069; and E-01933A-98-0471

15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
By *Sarah Menne*

## SUMMARY OF DIRECT TESTIMONY OF THOMAS BRODERICK

November 12, 2002

1. APS understated its current unmet needs in APS Schedule PME-1. In its calculations, APS omitted several APS customers, incorrectly assigned Pinnacle West supply contracts to APS, vastly overstated the economic level of output of its exiting units, and overstated its RMR requirements.

2. I have re-calculated APS' current unmet needs in Exhibit TB-2 as:

	<u>MW</u>	<u>MWh</u>
2003	2,997	5,639,000
2004	3,286	6,694,000
2005	3,519	7,509,000
2006	3,762	8,602,000

To these figures I add approximately 1,946,000 MWh of potential economy interchange purchases that APS can obtain competitively at its discretion.

My re-calculations confirm the reasonableness of Staff's MW and MWh recommendations for APS contained in the 10-25-02 Staff report.

3. APS' proposal over relies on a product with a volatile price - economy interchange purchases. Thus, I recommend that APS issue an RFP in the March 2003 solicitation for the amounts in Exhibit TB-2.
4. In addition to incorporating the concept "economically" served as it applies to APS' existing assets, I also recommend the ACC Track B order embrace the use of economic criteria called "minimize the net present value of rate impacts" which is presently employed in the Colorado solicitation. If these concepts are embraced, the ACC will not have a need to "take over" the process, but rather APS will enjoy considerable business discretion.
5. My analysis herein exposes what APS has known for several years – the competition in the Track B process will be most fierce for its older and less efficient gas and oil units and between the merchants themselves, including Pinnacle West. In its own earlier plans, APS had created plans to virtually idle their fleet of older gas and oil units. Their actual purchases in 2001 and 2002 demonstrate they were well on their way to doing just that.
6. I recommend that APS file and the ACC approve a protocol for any future competitive procurement of economy energy. The criteria for such a protocol should insure that APS solicits offers from the competitive wholesale market and in a manner that does not allow for inappropriate affiliate transactions or favoritism of particular parties. Such a protocol will reduce the incentive for APS to propose purchases outside of the Track B process.