

ORIGINAL



0000066762

32

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION

RECEIVED

COMMISSIONERS

JEFF HATCH-MILLER – Chairman
WILLIAM A. MUNDELL
MIKE GLEASON
KRISTIN K. MAYES
GARY PIERCE

2007 FEB 14 P 4: 30

AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCUMENT CONTROL

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF ARIZONA WATER COMPANY, AN ARIZONA CORPORATION, TO EXTEND ITS EXISTING CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY IN THE CITY OF CASA GRANDE AND IN PINAL COUNTY, ARIZONA

DOCKET NO. W-01445A-06-0199

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF PALO VERDE UTILITIES COMPANY FOR AN EXTENSION OF ITS EXISTING CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY.

DOCKET NO. SW-03575A-05-0926

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF SANTA CRUZ WATER COMPANY FOR AN EXTENSION OF ITS EXISTING CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY.

DOCKET NO. W-03576A-05-0926

NOTICE OF FILING
SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY OF
ROGER PRYOR ON BEHALF OF
INTERVENOR CHI
CONSTRUCTION COMPANY

Snell & Wilmer

LLP
LAW OFFICES
One Arizona Center, 400 E. Van Buren
Phoenix, Arizona 85004-2202
(602) 382-6000

Pursuant to the Procedural Order dated November 29, 2006, in this docket, intervenor CHI Construction Company files the Supplemental Testimony of Roger Pryor.

DATED this 14th day of February, 2007.

SNELL & WILMER

Jeffrey W. Crockett
Marcie Montgomery
One Arizona Center
400 East Van Buren
Phoenix, Arizona 85004-2202
Attorneys for CHI Construction Company

Arizona Corporation Commission
DOCKETED
FEB 14 2007

DOCKETED BY

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 ORIGINAL and seventeen (17) copies
2 filed with Docket Control this 14th
3 day of February, 2007.

4 COPY of the foregoing hand-delivered
5 this 14th day of February, 2007, to:

6 Yvette B. Kinsey
7 Administrative Law Judge, Hearing Division
8 ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
9 1200 West Washington Street
10 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

11 COPY of the foregoing sent via first class
12 mail this 14th day of February, 2007, to:

13 Steven A. Hirsch, Esq.
14 Rodney W. Ott, Esq.
15 BRYAN CAVE LLP
16 Two North Central Ave., Suite 2200
17 Phoenix, Arizona 85004-4406

18 Robert W. Geake
19 Vice President and General Counsel
20 ARIZONA WATER COMPANY
21 P.O. Box 29006
22 Phoenix, Arizona 85038

23 Michael W. Patten
24 ROSHKA, DeWULF & PATTEN
25 400 E. Van Buren St., Suite 800
26 Phoenix, Arizona 85004

27 Brad Clough
28 ANDERSON & BARNES 580, LLP
ANDERSON & MILLER 694, LLP
8501 N. Scottsdale Road, Suite 260
Scottsdale, Arizona 85253

Kenneth H. Lowman
KEJE GROUP, LLC
7854 W. Sahara
Las Vegas, NV 89117


Snell & Wilmer

LLP
LAW OFFICES
One Arizona Center, 400 E. Van Buren
Phoenix, Arizona 85004-2202
(602) 382-6000

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

Craig Emmerson
ANDERSON & VAL VISTA 6, LLC
8501 N. Scottsdale Road, Suite 260
Scottsdale, AZ 85253

Philip J. Polich
GALLUP FINANCIAL, LLC
8501 N. Scottsdale Road, Suite 125
Scottsdale, AZ 85253


1951091.1

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

COMMISSIONERS

JEFF HATCH-MILLER – Chairman
WILLIAM A. MUNDELL
MIKE GLEASON
KRISTIN K. MAYES
GARY PIERCE

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF
ARIZONA WATER COMPANY, AN ARIZONA
CORPORATION, TO EXTEND ITS EXISTING
CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND
NECESSITY IN THE CITY OF CASA GRANDE
AND IN PINAL COUNTY, ARIZONA

DOCKET NO. W-01445A-06-0199

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF
PALO VERDE UTILITIES COMPANY FOR AN
EXTENSION OF ITS EXISTING CERTIFICATE
OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY.

DOCKET NO. SW-03575A-05-0926

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF
SANTA CRUZ WATER COMPANY FOR AN
EXTENSION OF ITS EXISTING CERTIFICATE
OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY.

DOCKET NO. W-03576A-05-0926

**SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY OF ROGER PRYOR
ON BEHALF OF INTERVENOR CHI CONSTRUCTION COMPANY**

FEBRUARY 14, 2007

1 **Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, EMPLOYER, AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.**

2 A. My name is Roger Pryor. I am employed as Vice President of Land Entitlements
3 for D.R. Horton-Continental Series, one of the family of companies owned and/or
4 controlled by D.R. Horton, Inc. ("D.R. Horton"). I am also the Vice President of
5 CHI Construction Company ("CHI"), one of the intervenors in this case. CHI is
6 the land acquisition and construction entity for D.R. Horton-Continental Series in
7 Arizona. My business address is 16430 North Scottsdale Road, Suite 200,
8 Scottsdale, Arizona, 85254.

9 **Q. HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY PROVIDED PRE-FILED DIRECT**
10 **TESTIMONY IN THIS DOCKET?**

11 A. Yes. I submitted pre-filed direct testimony on October 25, 2006. I incorporate in
12 this supplemental testimony my pre-filed direct testimony, except for those
13 portions of my direct testimony that are specifically updated and modified herein.

14 **Q. ON WHOSE BEHALF ARE YOU TESTIFYING IN THIS CASE?**

15 A. My direct testimony was on behalf of intervenors CHI and CP Water Company
16 ("CP"), a water company previously owned by CHI. My supplemental testimony
17 is only on behalf of intervenor CHI. Although CP is still technically an intervenor
18 in this proceeding, the Commission excluded CP's service territory from AWC's
19 proposed extension area in an order dated December 18, 2006. With CP's service
20 territory excluded from this proceeding, CP has no need to file supplemental
21 testimony.

22 **Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY?**

23 A. The purpose of my supplemental testimony is to (1) provide updated information as
24 to the development plans of CHI's master planned community known as Legends;
25 (2) inform the Commission about CHI's recent stock sale of CP; (3) respond to
26 direct testimony filed by Palo Verde Utilities Company ("Palo Verde") and Santa

1 Cruz Water Company ("Santa Cruz") and Arizona Water Company ("AWC"); and
2 (4) continue to oppose AWC's request to extend its certificate of convenience and
3 necessity ("CC&N") to include any portion of CHI's Legends development.

4 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE CURRENT STATUS OF CHI'S**
5 **DEVELOPMENT PLANS OF LEGENDS.**

6 A. According to my direct testimony, CHI had been working on a comprehensive
7 strategy for the provision of integrated water and wastewater services for its
8 approximately 7,000-acre Legends development. As of October 25, 2006, CHI had
9 not finalized a plan for the provision of these services for Legends. As a result, I
10 testified that it was premature to certificate any water provider for Legends. Since
11 that time, CHI has finalized its plan and is prepared to issue a request for service to
12 Palo Verde and Santa Cruz for the provision of integrated water and wastewater
13 service to Legends. In finalizing its plan to provide water and wastewater services
14 to Legends, CHI entered into two agreements with Global Water, Inc., whose
15 parent company is Global Water Resources, LLC ("Global Water"), at the end of
16 last year.

17 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE AGREEMENTS BETWEEN CHI AND GLOBAL**
18 **WATER.**

19 A. The first agreement is an infrastructure coordination agreement whereby Palo
20 Verde and Santa Cruz would provide service to Legends subject to the inclusion of
21 Legends in the utilities' CC&Ns. The second agreement is a sale and purchase
22 agreement whereby CHI sold all of its stock in CP to Global Water. In another
23 agreement not involving CHI, Global Water also acquired all of the stock in
24 Francisco Grande Utilities Company ("Francisco Grande").
25
26

1 **Q. WHY DID CHI SELECT PALO VERDE AND SANTA CRUZ TO PROVIDE**
2 **WATER AND WASTEWATER SERVICES TO LEGENDS?**

3 A. Looking at the map attached as Exhibit CHI-2 to my direct testimony, which
4 outlines the boundary of Legends in black, a substantial portion of Legends is in
5 the existing water and wastewater CC&Ns of Francisco Grande. Another portion
6 of Legends—approximately 2.4 sections—is within the existing water CC&N of
7 CP. A small portion—less than one-half of one section—is within the existing
8 water CC&N of AWC. The remaining portion of Legends is currently
9 uncertificated and is the subject of this proceeding. With the acquisition of
10 Francisco Grande and CP by Global Water, Global Water's subsidiaries—Palo
11 Verde and Santa Cruz—are in the best position to serve substantially all of the
12 Legends development. Since it is neither efficient nor desirable for a single
13 master-planned community to be carved up to be served by multiple providers,
14 CHI has selected Palo Verde and Santa Cruz to be the water and wastewater
15 services provider for Legends. In addition, CHI believes that an integrated water
16 and wastewater provider can deliver the best service to the future residents of
17 Legends.

18 **Q. IN THIS DOCKET, HAVE PALO VERDE AND SANTA CRUZ**
19 **REQUESTED TO EXTEND THEIR CC&NS TO INCLUDE LEGENDS?**

20 A. No. When Palo Verde and Santa Cruz filed their applications to extend their
21 CC&Ns in this docket, CHI was not engaged in discussions with their parent
22 company Global Water. Hence, Palo Verde and Santa Cruz did not include
23 Legends in their CC&N extension requests. Only AWC included a portion of
24 Legends in its CC&N extension request. Palo Verde and Santa Cruz will file for a
25 CC&N extension to include the uncertificated portion of Legends in the very near
26 future.

1 **Q. HAVE YOU REQUESTED SERVICE FROM ANY WATER OR**
2 **WASTEWATER PROVIDER FOR LEGENDS?**

3 A. Not yet. CHI plans to issue a request for service to Palo Verde and Santa Cruz
4 very soon. CHI has never requested service from AWC to serve Legends, and
5 adamantly opposes service from AWC for Legends.

6 **Q. WOULD CERTIFICATION OF AWC TO SERVE ONLY A PORTION OF**
7 **LEGENDS DISRUPT CHI'S DEVELOPMENT PLAN?**

8 A. Yes. Certification of AWC would prevent CHI's development plan of providing
9 integrated water and wastewater service to Legends. If the Commission granted
10 AWC's extension request, portions of the northern part of Legends would be
11 served by AWC and an unknown sewer provider, while the remaining and
12 substantial part of Legends would be served by Palo Verde and Santa Cruz. As
13 stated above, it is neither efficient nor desirable for Legends to be divided in such a
14 manner.

15 **Q. PLEASE RESPOND TO THE DIRECT TESTIMONY FILED BY PALO**
16 **VERDE AND SANTA CRUZ.**

17 A. CHI concurs with the statements made by Trevor Hill and Cindy Liles in regards to
18 requests for service. The Commission should honor landowner's requests for
19 service. In fact, the Commission has a well-documented history of doing just that.
20 The Commission has reasoned in the past that without a request for service, there is
21 no need or necessity for the property to be served. And, without establishing a
22 need, the Commission cannot grant a CC&N.

23 **Q. PLEASE RESPOND TO THE DIRECT TESTIMONY FILED BY AWC.**

24 A. CHI continues to oppose AWC's request to extend its CC&N to include any
25 portion of the Legends development. AWC's witnesses repeatedly posit in their
26 direct testimony that AWC's requested extension is a "logical extension of growth"

1 and that it merely "squares off" its service territory. Although CHI may agree that
2 the Commission should not create small doughnut holes of uncertificated areas
3 when granting CC&N extension requests, CHI does not believe AWC's "logical
4 extension" and "squaring off" argument applies in this case. With only receiving
5 requests for service for 175 of the 70,494 acres that AWC seeks to serve, it is
6 unreasonable to call the inclusion of the remaining 70,319 acres in AWC's CC&N
7 a "logical extension" or "squaring off" of its service territory. Although Staff may
8 have indicated that "squaring off" can be a factor to consider in granting CC&N
9 extensions, Staff certainly did not envision squaring off almost 110 square-miles of
10 land.

11
12 Furthermore, the Commission should not be swayed by AWC's so-called "Master
13 Plan." AWC seems to cite to its Master Plan as if it was authority. The
14 Commission needs to determine what is in the public convenience and necessity
15 and not be controlled by AWC's "Master Plan" for the provision of water service
16 in the Casa Grande area.

17 **Q. WHAT IS CHI ASKING THE COMMISSION TO DO IN THIS**
18 **PROCEEDING?**

19 A. CHI asks that the Commission deny AWC's request to include any part of the
20 Legends development in AWC's requested CC&N extension. Any such extension
21 would be contrary to our need and plan for integrated water and wastewater
22 service. In addition, it would grant a monopoly for only a portion of Legends over
23 CHI's objections.

24 **Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?**

25 A. Yes. Thank you.

26 1951099.2