

OPEN MEETING ITEM

ORIGINAL



COMMISSIONERS
JEFF HATCH-MILLER - Chairman
WILLIAM A. MUNDELL
MIKE GLEASON
KRISTIN K. MAYES
BARRY WONG



Executive Director

22

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

DATE: DECEMBER 21, 2006

DEC 21 2006

DOCKET NO: W-02527A-06-0505

TO ALL PARTIES:

DOCKETED BY	<i>NU</i>
-------------	-----------

Enclosed please find the recommendation of Administrative Law Judge Jane Rodda. The recommendation has been filed in the form of an Order on:

GRAHAM COUNTY UTILITIES, INC.
(FINANCE)

Pursuant to A.A.C. R14-3-110(B), you may file exceptions to the recommendation of the Administrative Law Judge by filing an original and ten (10) copies of the exceptions with the Commission's Docket Control at the address listed below by **4:00 p.m.** on or before:

JANUARY 2, 2007

The enclosed is NOT an order of the Commission, but a recommendation of the Administrative Law Judge to the Commissioners. Consideration of this matter has tentatively been scheduled for the Commission's Working Session and Open Meeting to be held on:

JANUARY 16, 2007 and JANUARY 17, 2007

For more information, you may contact Docket Control at (602)542-3477 or the Hearing Division at (602)542-4250. For information about the Open Meeting, contact the Executive Secretary's Office at (602) 542-3931.

[Signature]
BRIAN C. McNEIL
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

RECEIVED

2006 DEC 21 P 12:55

AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCUMENT CONTROL

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

COMMISSIONERS

JEFF HATCH-MILLER, Chairman
WILLIAM A. MUNDELL
MIKE GLEASON
KRISTIN K. MAYES
BARRY WONG

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF
GRAHAM COUNTY UTILITIES, INC. FOR
APPROVAL OF LONG TERM DEBT.

DOCKET NO. W-02527A-06-0505

DECISION NO. _____

ORDER

Open Meeting
January 16 and 17, 2007
Phoenix, Arizona

BY THE COMMISSION:

Having considered the entire record herein and being fully advised in the premises, the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") finds, concludes, and orders that:

* * * * *

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. On August 8, 2006, Graham County Utilities, Inc. ("Graham" or "Cooperative") filed an application with the Commission requesting authorization for its water division to incur debt with the United States Department of Agriculture ("USDA") Rural Development in an amount not to exceed \$1,100,000. USDA Rural Development will also provide a grant not to exceed \$969,620.

2. On August 8, 2006, Graham filed an affidavit of publication verifying that it had caused notice of its finance application to be published. Because the first notice did not contain the amount of the financing request, on September 13, 2006, the Cooperative published a second, corrected, notice in the *Eastern Arizona Courier*, a newspaper published in the City of Safford, Graham County. Graham filed the affidavit of publication for the second notice on September 21, 2006.

3. On November 6, 2006, the Commission's Utilities Division Staff ("Staff") filed their

1 Staff Report recommending approval of the application with conditions.

2 4. Graham is a nonprofit member-owned Arizona corporation that provides water and
3 natural gas distribution service in small communities in and around Thatcher, Safford, and Pima in
4 Graham County, Arizona.

5 5. Graham's water division rates were approved in Decision No. 61056 (August 6, 1998).

6 6. As of September 30, 2005, Graham provided service to approximately 1,100 water
7 customers and 4,900 gas customers.

8 7. The purpose of the financing request is to: (1) finance the construction of water
9 treatment projects to comply with the United States Environmental Protection Agency's ("EPA")
10 drinking water standard for arsenic; (2) develop a new water source to meet the demand of the
11 Cooperative's current customers; and (3) refinance \$130,547 in long-term debt.

12 8. The proposed loan would have a term of 40 years and an interest rate of 4.375 percent
13 per annum. The loan would have an interest-only payment due 12 months from the day of closing.
14 Thereafter, monthly payments would be approximately \$5,000.

15 9. Graham hired an engineering firm, Fluid Solutions, to perform a study to analyze the
16 conditions and alternative solutions to resolve the Cooperative's water quantity and quality issues.
17 The Cooperative is currently experiencing difficulty in meeting water demand and the EPA arsenic
18 standard of 10 ppb. The engineering report indicates that Graham's 10 operating wells have arsenic
19 levels that range from 3 $\mu\text{g}/\text{l}$ to 50 $\mu\text{g}/\text{l}$, with an aggregate arsenic concentration of 15.69 $\mu\text{g}/\text{l}$.¹ The
20 engineering firm recommends as the most cost effective solution a blending plan that includes the
21 addition of six new wells, a pilot well and a 500,000 gallon water storage tank. The total estimated
22 cost for the recommended wells and storage tank additions was \$1,951,620, which includes a 10
23 percent contingency fee and future engineering costs.

24 10. Engineering Staff examined the construction plans and estimated costs for Graham's
25 water treatment project and found them to be reasonable and appropriate. Staff states, however, that
26 approval of this financing application does not imply any particular future treatment for rate base.

27

28 ¹ U.S. Environmental Protection Agency requirements that went into effect January 23, 2006 require that arsenic levels in
potable water systems be at or below 10 $\mu\text{g}/\text{l}$.

1 Staff states that no "used and useful" determination of the proposed plant was made, and no
2 conclusions should be inferred for rate making or rate base purposes.

3 11. Staff performed a financial analysis based on Graham's audited financial statements
4 dated September 30, 2005. As of September 30, 2005, Graham's capital structure consisted of 5.77
5 percent short-term debt, 89.36 percent long-term debt, and 4.87 percent equity. Staff's analysis
6 shows that if Graham draws down the entire \$1.1 million loan, which includes the refinancing of a
7 \$130,548 loan, its capital structure would consist of 4.62 percent short-term debt, 91.65 percent long-
8 term debt and 3.73 percent equity.

9 12. Staff recognizes that the Cooperative's proposed loan would result in a capital
10 structure that is more leveraged than preferable. Staff prefers a cooperative such as Graham to have
11 at least 30 percent equity. Staff believes, however, that there are no better options for Graham to
12 finance the construction of the arsenic removal plant. Staff notes that non-compliance may result in
13 delivery of unsafe water or other negative operational and financial consequences for the Cooperative
14 and its members.

15 13. Staff believes that due to Graham's highly leveraged capital structure, it is appropriate
16 for the Commission to condition any authorization for debt issuance on the Cooperative adopting an
17 equity accumulation plan. Staff sets forth the following components of its recommended plan:

- 18 a. Establish a base members' equity position by using the Cooperative's total members'
19 equity at September 30, 2005, of \$154,258.
- 20 b. Establish an objective to increase members' equity over the base position by no less
21 than two percent of all cumulative revenues recorded subsequent to September 30,
22 2005, as measured at the end of each fiscal year, until members' equity represents at
23 least 30 percent of total capital; and thereafter, to maintain at a minimum members'
24 equity at 30 percent of total capital.
- 25 c. Require filing a rate application no later than June 30th of the year subsequent to any
26 fiscal year in which the equity goal is not achieved. Staff believes that a waiver² for

27 ² Staff states that waiver requests should be made by December 31st and memorialized with a memorandum to Docket
28 Control noting the request. Support for a waiver request may be conveyed in any suitable form and include any relevant
information; however, Staff states that Graham should expect at a minimum to provide Staff with financial projections

1 the current year only may be granted if Graham can demonstrate to Staff's satisfaction
 2 that it is likely to comply with the cumulative members' equity objective within 24
 3 months without any rate adjustment. A rate filing should be filed by any and all
 4 division(s) whose net margin(s) is (are) less than two percent of operating revenue in
 5 the prior fiscal year.

6 d. Require filing of an annual report by April 15th with Docket Control, as a compliance
 7 item until such time that members' equity equals no less than 30 percent of total
 8 capital. Illustrative examples of the type and form of information to be filed are
 9 shown on Exhibit 1 of the Staff Report. The report should include the following:

- 10 i. Annual revenues for each fiscal year ending after September 30, 2005;
- 11 ii. Cumulative revenues recorded subsequent to September 30, 2005;
- 12 iii. The members' base equity position at September 30, 2005 (i.e. \$154,258);
- 13 iv. The members' equity position at the end of each fiscal year after September
 14 30, 2005; and
- 15 v. A declaration of the Cooperative's compliance or non-compliance with the two
 16 percent equity growth requirement discussed in item no. b.

17 14. The Times Interest Earned Ratio ("TIER") represents the number of times earnings
 18 cover interest expense on long-term debt. A TIER greater than 1.0 means that operating income is
 19 greater than interest expense. A TIER less than 1.0 is not sustainable in the long term but does not
 20 mean that debt obligations cannot be met in the short term.

21 15. Debt service coverage ratio ("DSC") represents the number of times internally
 22 generated cash will cover required principal and interest payments on long-term debt. A DSC greater
 23 than 1.0 indicates that operating cash flow is sufficient to cover debt obligations. A DSC less than
 24 1.0 means that debt service obligations cannot be met by cash generated from operations and that
 25 another source of funds is needed to avoid default.

26 16. Based on the 2005 financial statements, Staff's analysis shows that Graham's TIER

27 (with all critical assumptions identified) that demonstrate how the equity objective will be met. Staff recommends that
 28 the waiver be denied unless Staff files a memorandum with Docket Control by March 1st accepting the waiver request. Staff states a waiver should be applicable to the current year only and not be granted in consecutive years.

1 and DSC without the proposed loan are 1.56 and 1.34, respectively. Staff states that fully drawing the
2 proposed \$1,100,000 loan and refinancing the existing \$130,548 loan, reduces the TIER and DSC to
3 1.27 and 1.18, respectively. Staff states that its pro forma analysis shows that Graham would have
4 adequate cash flow to meet all obligations including the proposed debt.

5 17. Staff concludes that the project the Cooperative proposes to construct and the
6 refinancing of the \$130,547 in long-term debt is reasonable and appropriate. Staff further concludes
7 that the proposed financing is within Graham's powers as an corporation, is compatible with the
8 public interest and would not impair its ability to perform as a public service corporation. Staff states
9 that the proposed loan would be consistent with sound financial practices if the Cooperative has a
10 plan that is satisfactory to Staff to build equity to 30 percent of total capital.

11 18. Staff recommends approval of the Cooperative's application for authority to issue debt
12 to USDA Rural Development not to exceed \$1,100,000 subject to the condition that it adopt the
13 equity accumulation plan set forth in Findings of Fact No. 13.

14 19. Staff further recommends that the Commission should authorize Graham to engage in
15 any transactions and to execute any documents necessary to effectuate the authorizations granted
16 herein and that Graham file copies of the executed security documents with Docket Control, as a
17 Compliance item in this docket, within 60 days of the execution of any transactions.

18 20. The Staff Report indicates that the Cooperative's water systems are currently in
19 compliance with Arizona Department of Environmental Quality ("ADEQ") requirements and
20 delivering water that meets water quality standards required by Arizona Administrative Code, Title
21 18, Chapter 4.

22 21. The Commission's Utilities Division Compliance Section shows no outstanding
23 compliance issues for the Cooperative.

24 22. A Curtailment Plan Tariff ("CPT") is an effective tool to allow a water company to
25 manage its resources during periods of shortages due to pump breakdowns, droughts, or other
26 unfortunate events. Since the Cooperative currently does not yet have a CPT, Staff believes that this
27 application provides an opportune time for it to prepare and file a CPT. Staff recommends that the
28 Cooperative file a CPT with Docket Control, as a compliance item this docket, within 45 days after

1 the effective date of the Decision in this matter for the review and certification of Staff. Staff further
2 recommends that the tariff should generally conform to the sample tariff found on the Commission's
3 website at www.cc.state.az.us/utility/forms/curtailment-std.pdf. Staff states it recognizes that the
4 Cooperative may need to make minor modifications to the sample tariff according to its specific
5 management, operational and design requirements as necessary and appropriate.

6 CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

7 1. Graham is a public service corporation within the meaning of Article XV of the
8 Arizona Constitution and A.R.S. §§ 40-301, 40-302, and 40-303.

9 2. The Commission has jurisdiction over Graham and of the subject matter of the
10 application.

11 3. Notice of the application was given in accordance with the law.

12 4. The recommendations set forth in Findings of Fact Nos. 13, 18, 19 and 22 are
13 reasonable and should be adopted.

14 5. The financing, as approved herein, is for lawful purposes within Graham's corporate
15 powers, is compatible with the public interest, with sound financial practices, and with the proper
16 performance by Graham of service as a public service corporation, and will not impair Graham's
17 ability to perform the service.

18 6. The financing approved herein is for the purposes stated in the application, is
19 reasonably necessary for those purposes, and such purposes are not, wholly or in part, reasonably
20 chargeable to operating expenses or to income.

21 ORDER

22 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Graham County Utilities, Inc. is hereby authorized to
23 borrow up to \$1,100,000 from the United States Department of Agriculture Rural Development with
24 a term of 40 years and an annual interest rate of 4.375 percent subject to the conditions set forth
25 herein below.

26 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that such finance authority shall be expressly contingent upon
27 Graham County Utilities, Inc.'s adoption of an equity accumulation plan as set forth in Findings of
28 Fact No. 13.

1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that such finance authority shall be expressly contingent upon
2 Graham County Utilities, Inc.'s use of the proceeds for the purposes stated in its application and
3 approved herein.

4 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Graham County Utilities, Inc. is authorized to execute any
5 documents necessary to effectuate the authorizations granted.

6 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Graham County Utilities, Inc. shall file with Docket
7 Control, as a compliance item in this docket, copies of all executed financing documents within 60
8 days after the date of execution.

9 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Graham County Utilities, Inc. shall file with Docket
10 Control, as a compliance item in this docket, within 45 days after the effective date of this Decision, a
11 Curtailment Plan Tariff that substantially complies with the sample tariff found on the Commission's
12 website, for the review and certification of Staff.

13 ...
14 ...
15 ...
16 ...
17 ...
18 ...
19 ...
20 ...
21 ...
22 ...
23 ...
24 ...
25 ...
26 ...
27 ...
28 ...

1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that approval of the financing set forth hereinabove does not
2 constitute or imply approval or disapproval by the Commission of any particular expenditure of the
3 proceeds derived thereby for purposes of establishing just and reasonable rates.

4 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately.

5 BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION.
6
7

8 CHAIRMAN COMMISSIONER COMMISSIONER

9
10 COMMISSIONER COMMISSIONER

11
12 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, BRIAN C. McNEIL, Executive
13 Secretary of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have
14 hereunto set my hand and caused the official seal of the
15 Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix,
16 this ____ day of _____, 2007.

17 BRIAN C. McNEIL
EXECUTIVE SECRETARY

18 DISSENT _____

19 DISSENT _____

20 JR:mlj
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 SERVICE LIST FOR: GRAHAM COUNTY UTILITIES, INC.

2 DOCKET NO. W-02527A-06-0505

3

4 Steven Lines
4 Graham County Utilities, Inc.
Post Office Drawer B
5 Pima, Arizona 85543

6 Christopher Kempley, Chief Counsel
Legal Division
7 ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
1200 West Washington Street
8 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

9 Ernest Johnson, Director
Utilities Division
10 ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
1200 West Washington Street
11 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28