



0000062632

COMMISSIONERS  
JEFF HATCH-MILLER, Chair  
WILLIAM A. MUNDELL  
MIKE GLEASON  
KRISTIN K. MAYES  
BARRY WONG

**ORIGINAL**



RECEIVED

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

2006 NOV -2 P 3: 22

DATE: NOVEMBER 2, 2006

AZ CORP COMMISSION  
DOCUMENT CONTROL

DOCKET NOS: T-20423A-05-0677; T-3401A-05-0677; T-02584A-05-0677; and T-03188A-05-0677

TO ALL PARTIES:

Enclosed please find the recommendation of Administrative Law Judge Yvette B. Kinsey. The recommendation has been filed in the form of an Opinion and Order on:

COMTEL TELCOM ASSETS, L.P., VARTEC TELECOM, INC., EXCEL TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC., and VARTEC SOLUTIONS, INC.  
(TRANSFER OF ASSETS)

Pursuant to A.A.C. R14-3-110(B), you may file exceptions to the recommendation of the Administrative Law Judge by filing an original and ten (10) copies of the exceptions with the Commission's Docket Control at the address listed below by **4:00** p.m. on or before:

NOVEMBER 13, 2006

\* The enclosed is NOT an order of the Commission, but a recommendation of the Administrative Law Judge to the Commissioners. Consideration of this matter has tentatively been scheduled for the Commission's Working Session and Open Meeting to be held on:

NOVEMBER 21, 2006 and NOVEMBER 22, 2006

For more information, you may contact Docket Control at (602) 542-3477 or the Hearing Division at (602)542-4250. For information about the Open Meeting, contact the Executive Secretary's Office at (602) 542-3931.

BRIAN C. McNEIL  
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Arizona Corporation Commission  
**DOCKETED**

NOV - 2 2006

DOCKETED BY

1 **BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION**

2 COMMISSIONERS

3 JEFF HATCH-MILLER, Chairman  
4 WILLIAM A. MUNDELL  
5 MIKE GLEASON  
6 KRISTIN K. MAYES  
7 BARRY WONG

8 IN THE MATTER OF THE JOINT APPLICATION  
9 OF COMTEL TELCOM ASSETS L.P., VARTEC  
10 TELECOM, INC., EXCEL  
11 TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC., AND VARTEC  
12 SOLUTIONS, INC., FOR APPROVAL OF A  
13 TRANSFER OF ASSETS.

DOCKET NO. T-20423A-05-0677  
DOCKET NO. T-03401A-05-0677  
DOCKET NO. T-02584A-05-0677  
DOCKET NO. T-03188A-05-0677

DECISION NO. \_\_\_\_\_

14 OPINION AND ORDER

11 DATE OF HEARING: August 14, 2006  
12 PLACE OF HEARING: Phoenix, Arizona  
13 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Yvette B. Kinsey  
14 APPEARANCES: Jeffrey W. Crockett and Marcie Montgomery,  
15 SNELL & WILMER, on behalf of Comtel  
16 Telcom Assets, LP, Vactec Telecom, Inc., Excel  
17 Telecommunications, Inc., and Vartec Solutions,  
18 Inc.; and  
19 Maureen Scott, Staff Attorney, Legal Division,  
20 on behalf of the Utilities Division of the Arizona  
21 Corporation Commission.

22 **BY THE COMMISSION:**

23 On September 25, 2005, Comtel Telcom Assets LP ("Comtel"), VarTec Telecom, Inc.  
24 ("VarTec Telecom"), Excel Telecommunications, Inc. ("Excel") and VarTec Solutions, Inc. ("VarTec  
25 Solutions") (collectively "Applicants") filed with the Arizona Corporation Commission  
26 ("Commission") an application for approval of the acquisition of certain of the VarTec companies'  
27 assets by Comtel.

28 On May 3, 2006, Applicants VarTec Telecom, Inc., Excel Telecommunications and VarTec  
Solutions, Inc. (collectively, "the VarTec Companies") filed Notice of Completion of Customer  
Notification in this docket.

1 On May 16, 2006, Applicants filed a Supplemental Application to provide additional  
2 information to support Comtel's application for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity  
3 ("Certificate" or "CC&N") and clarifying that Applicants have no assets in Arizona and that the  
4 application is a request to transfer customers from the VarTec companies to Comtel.

5 On May 18, 2006, Applicants docketed Comtel's Foreign Limited Partnership Certification.

6 On June 21, 2006, the Commission's Utilities Division ("Staff") filed its Staff Report  
7 recommending approval of the application with conditions.

8 On June 26, 2006, by Procedural Order, a hearing was scheduled in this matter.

9 On July 17, 2006, Applicants filed a Notice of Filing Affidavit of Publication in accordance  
10 with the Procedural Order.

11 On August 14, 2006, a full public hearing was held before a duly authorized Administrative  
12 Law Judge of the Commission at its offices in Phoenix, Arizona. Applicants and Staff appeared  
13 through counsel and presented evidence and testimony. No members of the public appeared to give  
14 public comment. Pending late-filed exhibits, all matters were taken under advisement at the  
15 conclusion of the hearing.

16 On August 16, 2006, by Procedural Order, the Applicants were directed to file updated,  
17 audited balance sheets for Comtel on or before August 18, 2006 and a copy of its third party  
18 settlement agreement with Qwest by August 25, 2006. Additionally, the Applicants were directed to  
19 docket notice of having submitted the financial information by August 25, 2006.

20 On August 18, 2006 and subsequently on August 22, 2006, Applicants filed a Notice of Filing  
21 of Late-Filed Exhibits.

22 On August 23, 2006, Applicants filed two letters in this docket.

23 On August 25, 2006, Staff filed a Memorandum in this docket.

24 On September 6, 2006, Applicants filed a Response to Staff Memorandum.

25 On September 21, 2006, Staff filed a Staff Notice in this docket.

26 \* \* \* \* \*

27 Having considered the entire record herein and being fully advised in the premises, the  
28 Commission finds, concludes, and orders that:

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

1  
2           1.       Comtel is a limited partnership organized under the laws of the State of Texas.  
3 Comtel is a newly formed limited partnership who is engaged in the business of telecommunications.<sup>1</sup>

4           2.       VarTec and Excel are corporations organized under the laws of the State of Texas.  
5 VarTec Solutions, which formerly operated under the corporate names eMeritus Communications,  
6 Inc., Teleglobe Business Solutions, Inc., and Telco Holdings, Inc., d/b/a Dial & Save, is a Delaware  
7 Corporation.

8           3.       VarTec was granted authority to provide competitive intraLATA and interLATA  
9 resold telecommunications in Arizona in Commission Decision No. 62238 (January 12, 2000).  
10 Additionally, in Commission Decision No. 65203 (September 20, 2002) VarTec was granted  
11 authority to provide competitive facilities-based and resold local exchange and exchange access  
12 telecommunications services in Arizona.

13           4.       In Commission Decision No. 65470 (December 19, 2002) Excel received Commission  
14 approval to provide resold interexchange telecommunications services and competitive facilities-  
15 based and resold local exchange services.

16           5.       VarTec Solutions, operating under its former corporate name, eMeritus  
17 Communications, Inc., received Commission authority to provide competitive resold interexchange  
18 telecommunications services in Decision No. 66640 (December 18, 2003).

19           6.       On November 1, 2004, the VarTec Companies voluntarily filed for Chapter 11  
20 bankruptcy, and as a part of the bankruptcy process, the VarTec Companies entered into an asset  
21 purchase agreement with Comtel Investments, LLC ("Comtel Investments"), which was approved by  
22 the Court on July 27, 2005. On August 1, 2005, Comtel Investments, which is wholly owned by the  
23 same parties who own and control Comtel, assigned its rights and obligations under the asset  
24 purchase agreement to Comtel. The asset purchase agreement was executed on July 25, 2005, and  
25 was approved by the Court on July 27, 2005.

26           7.       Comtel's newly formed partnership is comprised of former management members

27 <sup>1</sup> Comtel Assets, Inc., is Comtel's general partner and owns one percent of the equity of Comtel. Comtel's limited  
28 partner, Comtel Assets Corporation, owns 90.03 percent of the equity in Comtel and the Management Voting Interest  
holds 9.06 percent of equity in Comtel.

1 from the VarTec companies and its own management employees. According to Staff's Report, the  
2 five management members of Comtel have more than 80 years combined experience in the  
3 telecommunications industry.

4 8. On September 23, 2005, Applicants filed an application with the Commission for  
5 approval of the acquisition of certain of the VarTec Companies' assets by Comtel.

6 9. On March 17, 2006, the VarTec companies provided notice via First Class mail to its  
7 customers of the transfer of assets to Comtel.

8 10. On May 16, 2006, Applicants filed a Supplement to Joint Application for Approval of  
9 a Transfer of Assets which provided additional information on Comtel's application for a CC&N.

10 11. On August 14, 2006, a full public hearing was held as scheduled.

11 12. At hearing, Comtel's witness indicated that Comtel is seeking Commission approval  
12 for the following:

13 a. To transfer VarTec's customer base to Comtel and to cancel if needed,  
14 VarTec's CC&N in Arizona;

15 b. A CC&N to provide statewide competitive resold local exchange and access  
16 services, statewide competitive resold interexchange services, statewide  
17 competitive facilities-based and local services and access services;

18 c. To adopt the existing tariffs on file for VarTec companies, which include  
19 VarTec Telcom, VarTec Solutions and Excel, by changing the name on the  
20 existing tariff to reflect current ownership by Comtel;

21 d. To transfer the existing performance bonds required to be in place for the  
22 VarTec companies to Comtel in the amount of \$135,000 and authority to  
23 cancel any excess bonds that are in place;

24 e. To operate under the trade names of VarTec Telcom, VarTec Solutions, Excel  
25 Telecommunications and Clear Choice Communications, as well as Comtel  
26 Telcom; and

27 e. To waive the Slamming and Cramming rules for this transaction.

28 13. Staff recommends approval of the acquisition of the VarTec Companies' assets by

1 Comtel and that Comtel be granted a CC&N to provide resold and facilities-based local exchange  
2 service and resold interexchange service.

3 14. Staff also recommends that current VarTec Companies' customers have 90 days  
4 following the date of the Commission's order to transfer to another carrier without prejudice or  
5 regard to contractual obligation.

6 15. At hearing, Comtel's witness indicated that Comtel disagrees with Staff's  
7 recommendation regarding the 90-day opt out provision. The witness indicated that the 90 day  
8 window was not necessary because customers were given notice in March 2006 regarding the transfer  
9 of service to Comtel, there have not been any requests for a change in service by customers, Comtel  
10 is more financially stable than VarTec and the addition of the provision would discourage  
11 entrepreneurs from investing in further deals such as this one. (Tr. Pg. 32, lines 1-25 and Pg. 33, lines  
12 1-24)

13 16. Comtel's witness further testified that the company has received Commission approval  
14 in 49 states and the District of Columbia and those Commissions have not required a 90-day opt out  
15 requirement. Additionally, Comtel's witness stated that its residential local and long distance  
16 customers are month-to-month and do not have contracts and are free to terminate service at any  
17 time. However, the witness testified that approximately 327 business and 133 wireless customers  
18 could be affected by Staff's recommendation. (Tr. Pg. 34 lines 1-25)

19 17. Staff's witness indicated at hearing that the basis for Staff's 90-day opt out  
20 recommendation was to give customers the ability to choose who they wanted to do business with  
21 and not by default through a transfer of customer base.

22 18. In response to the company's assertion that the 90-day opt out provision would act as a  
23 deterrent to future investors, Staff pointed out that buyers usually take into account when they are  
24 purchasing assets such as this one, the potential loss of customers when they are negotiating price.  
25 Additionally, Staff indicated that in previous Commission decisions involving the transfer of assets or  
26 customers, the 90-day out provision was required.

27 19. At hearing, Staff's witness further clarified that the 90-day opt out recommendation  
28 would only apply to customers who had term contracts.

1           20.     We find Staff's recommendation regarding the 90-day opt out provision reasonable.

2           21.     According to the Applicant's joint application, Comtel will initially mirror the services  
3 and charges of VarTec. At hearing, Comtel's witness indicated that the goal will be to continue all  
4 residential services currently under the VarTec brand name, but as the company begins to offer new  
5 products and services those items will be marketed under the Excel brand and eventually the charges  
6 and tariffs will need to be modified to reflect the new products. (Tr. Pg. 37 lines 7-25 and Pg. 38 lines  
7 1-3)

8           22.     According to Staff's Report, prior to the close of the purchase asset agreement, Comtel  
9 reported assets of \$43.5 million and partner's capital of \$43.5 million. At closing, the partnership  
10 was to pay the seller \$40.5 million.

11          23.     By Procedural Order on August 16, 2006, the Applicants were directed to file updated  
12 audited balance sheets for Comtel and to docket notice of the filing. On August 23, 2006, Comtel  
13 filed a letter in this docket stating that because Comtel Assets, Inc., and Comtel Assets Corporation,  
14 the general and limited partners for Comtel, are not engaged in business activities, they do not  
15 produce financial statements and that the companies "simply act as partners for the operational  
16 company, Comtel". Applicants also stated that the majority shareholder of Comtel, Sowood  
17 Commodity Partners Fund III LP ("Sowood") is privately held, and their financial statements are  
18 private and therefore Comtel does not have access to them.

19          24.     In its post hearing filings, the Applicant docketed updated unaudited financial  
20 statements for Comtel Telecom Assets, L.P., for the period of July 2005 through June 30, 2006.  
21 Comtel's balance sheet statement shows total assets of \$105,650 and partner's capital of \$36,740,  
22 with total assets of \$108,725. The post hearing filing reflects the acquisition of the assets of VarTec  
23 Telecom, Inc., VarTec Solutions, Inc., and Excel Telecommunications, Inc.

24          25.     On September 21, 2006, Staff filed a Notice stating that based on Comtel's updated  
25 balance sheets, Staff believes Comtel has the financial stability to provide telecommunications  
26 service in Arizona.

27          26.     The Commission's bond requirements are \$10,000 for resold long distance, \$25,000  
28 for resold local exchange and \$100,000 for facilities-based local exchange services. According to

1 Staff's Report, VarTec Telecom currently has a \$125,000 performance bond, Excel has a \$135,000  
2 performance and VarTec Solutions has a \$10,000 license and permit bond on file with the  
3 Commission. Staff recommended that because one entity, Comtel, will remain after the transaction is  
4 completed, that Comtel should be required to procure a \$135,000 performance bond.

5 27. Comtel has requested a waiver of the Slamming and Cramming rules for this  
6 transaction. According to Staff's Report, on March 17, 2006, the VarTec Companies provided notice  
7 via first class mail of the acquisition of VarTec assets by Comtel. Staff's Report notes that Comtel  
8 believes it would be impractical and burdensome to obtain from each customer their consent to  
9 transfer service to Comtel and that such authorizations would hinder the seamless transition of  
10 services. Staff concluded that because VarTec's notice informed customers that the rates, terms and  
11 conditions of service would not change as a result of the transfer of assets and informed customers  
12 that they may subscribe to a telecommunications service provider of their choice, Staff believes the  
13 Commission's Slamming and Cramming rules should be waived for this transaction.

14 28. At hearing, Comtel's witness testified that Comtel began doing business on June 7,  
15 2006, in 49 states and the District of Columbia and that Comtel and Qwest have executed the Qwest  
16 Platform Master Service Agreement. (Tr. Pg. 21 lines 9-16)

17 29. Comtel's witness indicated that she believed it was the intent of the company's  
18 shareholders to grow both the commercial and residential side of the telecommunications business in  
19 Arizona and that they intended to be a robust competitor in Arizona. (Tr. Pg. 64, lines 20-25, and Pg.  
20 65 lines 1-16)

21 30. Staff recommends the cancellation of the VarTec companies' CC&Ns to provide  
22 telecommunications services in the State of Arizona and approval of Comtel's request to waive the  
23 Commission's Slamming and Cramming rules for this transaction.

24 31. Staff further recommends:

25 (a) That the Applicant comply with all Commission Rules, Orders and other  
26 requirements relevant to the provision of the intrastate telecommunications  
27 services;

28 (b) That Applicant abide by the quality of service standards that were approved by

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

the Commission for Qwest in Docket No. T-0105B-93-0183;

- (c) That Applicant be prohibited from barring access to alternative local exchange service providers who wish to serve areas where the Applicant is the only provider of local exchange service facilities;
- (d) That Applicant be required to notify the Commission immediately upon changes to the Applicant's name, address or telephone number;
- (e) That Applicant cooperate with the Commission investigations including, but not limited to customer complaints;
- (f) That Applicant offer Caller ID with the capability to toggle between blocking and unblocking the transmission of the telephone number at no charge;
- (g) That Applicant offer Last Call Return service that will not return calls to telephone numbers that the privacy indicator activated; and
- (h) That the Commission authorize Applicant to discount its rates and service charges to the marginal cost of providing the services.

32. Staff also recommends that Applicant comply with the following items and if Applicant fails to do so, the Applicant's CC&N shall be considered null and void after due process.

The Applicant shall:

- (a) Procure a performance bond equal to \$135,000. The minimum bond amount of \$135,000 should be increased if at any time it would be insufficient to cover advances, deposits, and/or prepayments collected from the Applicant's customers. The bond amount should be increased in increments of \$67,500. This increase should occur when the total amount of the advances, deposits, and prepayments is within \$13,500 on the bond amount.
- (b) Docket proof of the performance bond, as a compliance item in this docket, within 365 days of the effective date of an Order in this matter or 30 days prior to the provision of service, whichever comes first. The performance bond must remain in effect until further order of the Commission.
- (c) If at some time in the future the Applicant does not collect advances, deposits

1 and/or prepayments from its customers, Staff recommends that the Applicant  
2 be allowed to file a request for cancellation of its established performance  
3 bond regarding its resold services. Such request must reference the decision in  
4 this docket and must explain the Applicant's plans for canceling those portions  
5 of the bond.

6 33. Staff's recommendations as set forth herein are reasonable and should be adopted.

7 **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

8 1. Applicants are public service corporations within the meaning of Article XV of the  
9 Arizona Constitution and A. R. S. §§ 40-281 and 40-282.

10 2. The Commission has jurisdiction over the Applicants and the subject matter of the  
11 application.

12 3. Notice of the application was given in accordance with the law.

13 4. Acquisition of VarTec Companies' assets by Comtel is in the public interest.

14 5. The cancellation of the VarTec companies' CC&Ns to provide telecommunications  
15 services and the waiver of the Commission's Slamming and Cramming rules in regards to this  
16 transaction is in the public interest.

17 6. Comtel is a fit and proper entity to receive a CC&N as conditioned herein for  
18 providing resold and facilities based local exchange service and resold interexchange services in the  
19 State of Arizona.

20 7. Staff's recommendations, as set forth above, are reasonable and should be adopted.

21 **ORDER**

22 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the joint application of Comtel Telecom Assets LP,  
23 VarTec Telcom, Inc., Excel Telecommunications and VarTec Solutions for approval of the transfer  
24 of VarTec's assets to Comtel Telecom Assets LP, and for approval of a Certificate of Convenience  
25 and Necessity to Comtel to provide resold and facilities-based local exchange service and resold  
26 interexchange service is hereby, granted conditioned upon compliance with the requirements set forth  
27 in Findings of Facts Nos. 30, 31 and 32 above and the following ordering paragraphs.

28 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Certificates of Convenience and Necessity held by

1 VarTec Telcom, Inc., Excel Telecommunications and VarTec Solutions, to provide  
2 telecommunications services in the State of Arizona are hereby cancelled.

3 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Comtel Telcom Assets LP, shall within 30 days of the date  
4 of this Decision provide notice to VarTec Companies customers, with term contracts, that they have  
5 90 days from the date of Comtel Telcom Assets LP's notice, of their intent to transfer to another  
6 carrier without prejudice or regard to contractual obligation.

7 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Comtel Telcom Assets LP, shall procure a performance  
8 bond equal to \$135,000 and docket the original bond with the Commission's Business Office and  
9 copies of the performance bond with Docket Control, as a compliance item in this docket, within 365  
10 days of the effective date of this Decision or 30 days prior to the provision of service, whichever  
11 comes first.

12 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Comtel Telcom Assets LP, shall be granted authority to  
13 cancel any excess bonds, beyond the \$135,000 performance bond stated above, that may be in place  
14 for the VarTec companies.

15 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if at some future date, Comtel Telcom Assets LP, does not  
16 collect advances, deposits and/or prepayments from its customers, Comtel Telcom Assets LP, shall  
17 file a request for cancellation of its established performance bond regarding its resold services and  
18 such request shall reference the Decision in this docket and must explain Comtel Telcom Assets LP's  
19 plans for canceling those portions of the bond.

20 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Comtel Telcom Assets LP, shall be granted a limited  
21 waiver of the Commission's Slamming and Cramming rules, pursuant to A.A.C R14-2-1901 *et seq.*,  
22 for the purposes of transferring customers as a result of this transaction.

23 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Comtel Telcom Assets LP, may operate under the trade  
24 names of VarTec Telcom, VarTec Solutions, Excel Telecommunications and Clear Choice  
25 Communications.

26 ...  
27 ...  
28 ...

1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if Comtel Telecom Assets LP fails to comply with the  
2 ordering paragraphs set forth above within the time specified the Certificate of Convenience and  
3 Necessity conditionally granted herein shall be considered null and void after due process.

4 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately.

5 BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION.  
6  
7

8 CHAIRMAN \_\_\_\_\_ COMMISSIONER

9  
10 COMMISSIONER \_\_\_\_\_ COMMISSIONER \_\_\_\_\_ COMMISSIONER

11  
12 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, BRIAN C. McNEIL, Executive  
13 Director of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have  
14 hereunto set my hand and caused the official seal of the  
15 Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix,  
16 this \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2006.

17  
18 \_\_\_\_\_  
19 BRIAN C. McNEIL  
20 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65  
66  
67  
68  
69  
70  
71  
72  
73  
74  
75  
76  
77  
78  
79  
80  
81  
82  
83  
84  
85  
86  
87  
88  
89  
90  
91  
92  
93  
94  
95  
96  
97  
98  
99  
100  
101  
102  
103  
104  
105  
106  
107  
108  
109  
110  
111  
112  
113  
114  
115  
116  
117  
118  
119  
120  
121  
122  
123  
124  
125  
126  
127  
128  
129  
130  
131  
132  
133  
134  
135  
136  
137  
138  
139  
140  
141  
142  
143  
144  
145  
146  
147  
148  
149  
150  
151  
152  
153  
154  
155  
156  
157  
158  
159  
160  
161  
162  
163  
164  
165  
166  
167  
168  
169  
170  
171  
172  
173  
174  
175  
176  
177  
178  
179  
180  
181  
182  
183  
184  
185  
186  
187  
188  
189  
190  
191  
192  
193  
194  
195  
196  
197  
198  
199  
200  
201  
202  
203  
204  
205  
206  
207  
208  
209  
210  
211  
212  
213  
214  
215  
216  
217  
218  
219  
220  
221  
222  
223  
224  
225  
226  
227  
228  
229  
230  
231  
232  
233  
234  
235  
236  
237  
238  
239  
240  
241  
242  
243  
244  
245  
246  
247  
248  
249  
250  
251  
252  
253  
254  
255  
256  
257  
258  
259  
260  
261  
262  
263  
264  
265  
266  
267  
268  
269  
270  
271  
272  
273  
274  
275  
276  
277  
278  
279  
280  
281  
282  
283  
284  
285  
286  
287  
288  
289  
290  
291  
292  
293  
294  
295  
296  
297  
298  
299  
300  
301  
302  
303  
304  
305  
306  
307  
308  
309  
310  
311  
312  
313  
314  
315  
316  
317  
318  
319  
320  
321  
322  
323  
324  
325  
326  
327  
328  
329  
330  
331  
332  
333  
334  
335  
336  
337  
338  
339  
340  
341  
342  
343  
344  
345  
346  
347  
348  
349  
350  
351  
352  
353  
354  
355  
356  
357  
358  
359  
360  
361  
362  
363  
364  
365  
366  
367  
368  
369  
370  
371  
372  
373  
374  
375  
376  
377  
378  
379  
380  
381  
382  
383  
384  
385  
386  
387  
388  
389  
390  
391  
392  
393  
394  
395  
396  
397  
398  
399  
400  
401  
402  
403  
404  
405  
406  
407  
408  
409  
410  
411  
412  
413  
414  
415  
416  
417  
418  
419  
420  
421  
422  
423  
424  
425  
426  
427  
428  
429  
430  
431  
432  
433  
434  
435  
436  
437  
438  
439  
440  
441  
442  
443  
444  
445  
446  
447  
448  
449  
450  
451  
452  
453  
454  
455  
456  
457  
458  
459  
460  
461  
462  
463  
464  
465  
466  
467  
468  
469  
470  
471  
472  
473  
474  
475  
476  
477  
478  
479  
480  
481  
482  
483  
484  
485  
486  
487  
488  
489  
490  
491  
492  
493  
494  
495  
496  
497  
498  
499  
500  
501  
502  
503  
504  
505  
506  
507  
508  
509  
510  
511  
512  
513  
514  
515  
516  
517  
518  
519  
520  
521  
522  
523  
524  
525  
526  
527  
528  
529  
530  
531  
532  
533  
534  
535  
536  
537  
538  
539  
540  
541  
542  
543  
544  
545  
546  
547  
548  
549  
550  
551  
552  
553  
554  
555  
556  
557  
558  
559  
560  
561  
562  
563  
564  
565  
566  
567  
568  
569  
570  
571  
572  
573  
574  
575  
576  
577  
578  
579  
580  
581  
582  
583  
584  
585  
586  
587  
588  
589  
590  
591  
592  
593  
594  
595  
596  
597  
598  
599  
600  
601  
602  
603  
604  
605  
606  
607  
608  
609  
610  
611  
612  
613  
614  
615  
616  
617  
618  
619  
620  
621  
622  
623  
624  
625  
626  
627  
628  
629  
630  
631  
632  
633  
634  
635  
636  
637  
638  
639  
640  
641  
642  
643  
644  
645  
646  
647  
648  
649  
650  
651  
652  
653  
654  
655  
656  
657  
658  
659  
660  
661  
662  
663  
664  
665  
666  
667  
668  
669  
670  
671  
672  
673  
674  
675  
676  
677  
678  
679  
680  
681  
682  
683  
684  
685  
686  
687  
688  
689  
690  
691  
692  
693  
694  
695  
696  
697  
698  
699  
700  
701  
702  
703  
704  
705  
706  
707  
708  
709  
710  
711  
712  
713  
714  
715  
716  
717  
718  
719  
720  
721  
722  
723  
724  
725  
726  
727  
728  
729  
730  
731  
732  
733  
734  
735  
736  
737  
738  
739  
740  
741  
742  
743  
744  
745  
746  
747  
748  
749  
750  
751  
752  
753  
754  
755  
756  
757  
758  
759  
760  
761  
762  
763  
764  
765  
766  
767  
768  
769  
770  
771  
772  
773  
774  
775  
776  
777  
778  
779  
780  
781  
782  
783  
784  
785  
786  
787  
788  
789  
790  
791  
792  
793  
794  
795  
796  
797  
798  
799  
800  
801  
802  
803  
804  
805  
806  
807  
808  
809  
810  
811  
812  
813  
814  
815  
816  
817  
818  
819  
820  
821  
822  
823  
824  
825  
826  
827  
828  
829  
830  
831  
832  
833  
834  
835  
836  
837  
838  
839  
840  
841  
842  
843  
844  
845  
846  
847  
848  
849  
850  
851  
852  
853  
854  
855  
856  
857  
858  
859  
860  
861  
862  
863  
864  
865  
866  
867  
868  
869  
870  
871  
872  
873  
874  
875  
876  
877  
878  
879  
880  
881  
882  
883  
884  
885  
886  
887  
888  
889  
890  
891  
892  
893  
894  
895  
896  
897  
898  
899  
900  
901  
902  
903  
904  
905  
906  
907  
908  
909  
910  
911  
912  
913  
914  
915  
916  
917  
918  
919  
920  
921  
922  
923  
924  
925  
926  
927  
928  
929  
930  
931  
932  
933  
934  
935  
936  
937  
938  
939  
940  
941  
942  
943  
944  
945  
946  
947  
948  
949  
950  
951  
952  
953  
954  
955  
956  
957  
958  
959  
960  
961  
962  
963  
964  
965  
966  
967  
968  
969  
970  
971  
972  
973  
974  
975  
976  
977  
978  
979  
980  
981  
982  
983  
984  
985  
986  
987  
988  
989  
990  
991  
992  
993  
994  
995  
996  
997  
998  
999  
1000

18 DISSENT \_\_\_\_\_  
19  
20 DISSENT \_\_\_\_\_

1 SERVICE LIST FOR:

COMTEL TELCOM ASSETS LP, VARTEC  
TELECOM, INC., EXCEL  
TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC., AND VARTEC  
SOLUTIONS, INC.,

2  
3  
4 DOCKET NOS.:

T-20423A-05-0677, T-03401A-05-0677, T-02584A-05-  
0677 and T-03188A-05-0677

5 Jeffrey W. Crockett  
6 Marcie Montgomery  
7 SNELL & WILMER  
8 400 E. Van Buren  
Phoenix, AZ 85004  
Attorneys for Applicants

9 Christopher Kempley, Chief Counsel  
10 Legal Division  
ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION  
11 1200 West Washington Street  
Phoenix, AZ 85007

12 Ernest G. Johnson, Director  
13 Utilities Division  
ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION  
14 1200 West Washington  
Phoenix, AZ 85007

15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28