

ORIGINAL



0000061918

RECEIVED

03

2006 OCT -4 P 3: 30

AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCUMENT CONTROL

1 THE PHOENIX LAW GROUP OF
 2 FELDMAN BROWN WALA HALL & AGENA, PLC
 3 8765 East Bell Road, Suite 110
 4 Scottsdale, Arizona 85260
 Telephone: (480) 444-1277
 5 Facsimile: (480) 444-1270
 Ashley Adams-Feldman (#013732)
 6 Attorney for Defendant Ryan James Herndon
 and Trend Capital, LLC

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

8 **JEFF HATCH-MILLER**
 Chairman
 9 **WILLIAM A. MUNDELL**
 Commissioner
 10 **MIKE GLEASON**
 Commissioner
 11 **KRISTIN K. MAYES**
 Commissioner
 12 **BARRY WONG**
 Commissioner

14 In the matter of:

15 Trend Management Group, Inc., a Nevada
 16 corporation
 8601 Six Forks Road, Suite 400
 17 Raleigh, NC 27615

18 Scott Renny Bogue, Sr. (CRD# 1588216) and
 Arlene Jane Bogue, husband and wife
 19 12308 Camberwell Court
 Raleigh, NC 27614

20 Ryan James Herndon and Lori Darlene Herndon
 (a.k.a. Lori J. Herndon a.k.a. Lori Jordan),
 21 husband and wife
 609 East Silverwood Drive
 22 Phoenix, AZ 85048

23 Trend Capital, LLC, an Arizona limited liability
 24 company
 4025 East Chandler Blvd., Suite 70F15
 25 Phoenix, AZ 85048

26 Linda Bryant Jordan (a.k.a. Linda Van Vranken
 a.k.a. Linda Jordan-Van Vranken), a married
 person, individually and doing business as

DOCKET NO. S-20476A-06-0557

**RYAN JAMES HERNDON AND TREND
CAPITAL, LLC'S ANSWER**

Arizona Corporation Commission
DOCKETED
 OCT -4 2006

DOCKETED BY	nr
-------------	----

1 The Trend Group, Inc.
2 3641 East Park Avenue
3 Phoenix, AZ 85044

4 Russell Langdon Van Vranken, husband of
5 Linda Bryant Jordan
6 3641 East Park Avenue
7 Phoenix, AZ 85044

8 Easy Street Financial Group, Inc., an Arizona
9 corporation
10 9949 West Bell Road #202
11 Sun City, AZ 85371

12 Christopher Ellis Marx (CRD#2186523) and
13 Jane Doe Marx, husband and wife,
14 6623 West Desert Hollow Drive
15 Glendale, AZ 85310

16 Scot Alan Oglesby and Lori Ann Oglesby,
17 husband and wife,
18 36322 North 12th Avenue
19 Desert Hills, AZ 85086

20 Respondents.

21 Respondents Ryan James Herndon (hereinafter "Herndon") and Trend Capital LLC
22 (hereinafter "Trend Capital") (collectively referred to as "Respondents,") by and through
23 undersigned counsel, submit their Answer to the Arizona Corporation Commission's Notice of
24 Opportunity for Hearing Regarding Proposed Order to Cease and Desist, Order For Restitution,
25 Order for Administrative Penalties, and for Other Affirmative Action Complaint and admit,
26 deny, and affirmatively allege as follows:

27 **I. JURISDICTION**

- 28 1. Respondents admit the allegations set forth in Paragraph 1.

29 **II. RESPONDENTS**

- 30 2. Respondents admit the allegations set forth in Paragraph 2.
- 31 3. Respondents are without sufficient information to either admit or deny the
32 allegations set forth in Paragraph 3.

1 4. Respondents are without sufficient information to either admit or deny the
2 allegations set forth in Paragraph 4.

3 5. Respondents admit the allegations set forth in Paragraph 5.

4 6. Respondents admit that Lori Darlene Herndon is the spouse of Ryan James
5 Herndon, but deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 6.

6 7. In answering the allegations set forth in Paragraph 7, Respondents admit that
7 Trend Capital LLC (hereinafter "Trend Capital) is an Arizona limited liability company but
8 affirmatively allege that Trend Capital is no longer doing business, and no longer has a business
9 address of 4025 East Chandler Blvd., Ste. 70F15, Phoenix, AZ 85048.

10 8. Respondents admit the allegations set forth in Paragraph 8.

11 9. Respondents are without sufficient information to either admit or deny the
12 allegations of Paragraph 9.

13 10. Respondents admit that Russell Langdon Van Vranken is the spouse of Linda
14 Bryant Jordan but deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph 10.

15 11. Respondents are without sufficient information to either admit or deny the
16 allegations set forth in Paragraph 11.

17 12. Respondents are without sufficient information to either admit or deny the
18 allegations set forth in Paragraph 12.

19 13. Respondents are without sufficient information to either admit or deny the
20 allegations set forth in Paragraph 13.

21 14. Respondents are without sufficient information to either admit or deny the
22 allegations set forth in Paragraph 14.

23 15. Respondents are without sufficient information to either admit or deny the
24 allegations set forth in Paragraph 15.

25 16. Paragraph 16 does not contain any affirmative allegations and thus Respondents
26 neither admit nor deny the allegations set forth therein.

1 29. In answering the allegations set forth in Paragraph 29, Respondents affirmatively
2 allege that the Private Placement Memorandum (PPM #1) speaks for itself, and deny any
3 allegations that are inconsistent therewith.

4 30. In answering the allegations set forth in Paragraph 30, Respondents affirmatively
5 allege that the Private Placement Memorandum (PPM #2) speaks for itself, and deny any
6 allegations that are inconsistent therewith.

7 31. In answering the allegations set forth in Paragraph 31, Respondents affirmatively
8 allege that the Private Placement Memorandum (PPM #3) speaks for itself, and deny any
9 allegations that are inconsistent therewith.

10 32. In answering the allegations set forth in Paragraph 32, Respondents affirmatively
11 allege that the Arizona Corporation Commission records speak for themselves and deny any
12 allegations that are inconsistent therewith.

13 33. In answering the allegations set forth in Paragraph 33, Respondents affirmatively
14 allege that the Private Placement Memorandum (PPM #1) speaks for itself, and deny any
15 allegations that are inconsistent therewith.

16 34. In answering the allegations set forth in Paragraph 34, Respondents affirmatively
17 allege that the Private Placement Memorandum (PPM #2) speaks for itself, and deny any
18 allegations that are inconsistent therewith.

19 35. In answering the allegations set forth in Paragraph 35, Respondents affirmatively
20 allege that the Private Placement Memorandum (PPM #3) speaks for itself, and deny any
21 allegations that are inconsistent therewith.

22 36. Respondents are without sufficient information to either admit or deny the
23 allegations set forth in Paragraph 36.

24 37. Respondents are without sufficient information to either admit or deny the
25 allegations set forth in Paragraph 37.

26 38. Respondents are without sufficient information to either admit or deny the
 allegations set forth in Paragraph 38.

1 **RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN EASY STREET AND TREND ENTITITES**

2 62. In answering the allegations set forth in Paragraph 74, Respondents admit that
3 Ryan Herndon met Christopher Marx and Scott Oglesby. Respondents deny the remaining
4 allegations therein.

5 63. Respondents deny the allegations set forth in Paragraph 75.

6 64. Respondents are without sufficient information to either admit or deny the
7 allegations set forth in Paragraph 76.

8 65. In answering the allegations set forth in Paragraph 77, Respondents admit that
9 Ryan Herndon met with representatives of Easy Street regarding the Certificates of Participation.
10 Respondents deny the remaining allegations set forth therein.

11 **SECURITIES SALES BY EASY STREET**

12 66. In answering the allegations set forth in Paragraph 78, Respondents admit that
13 Easy Street sold Trend Capital Certificates of Participation. Respondents deny the remaining
14 allegations set forth therein.

15 67. Respondents are without sufficient information to either admit or deny the
16 allegations set forth in Paragraph 79.

17 68. Respondents are without sufficient information to either admit or deny the
18 allegations set forth in Paragraph 80.

19 69. Respondents are without sufficient information to either admit or deny the
20 allegations set forth in Paragraph 81.

21 70. Respondents are without sufficient information to either admit or deny the
22 allegations set forth in Paragraph 82.

23 71. Respondents are without sufficient information to either admit or deny the
24 allegations set forth in Paragraph 83.

25 72. Respondents are without sufficient information to either admit or deny the
26 allegations set forth in Paragraph 84.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

Original and thirteen copies of the foregoing
filed this 4th day of October, 2006 with:

Docket Control
Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 West Washington Street
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

COPY of the foregoing hand-delivered
this 4th day of October, 2006 to:

Matthew J. Neubert
Director of Securities
Securities Division
Arizona Corporation Commission
1300 West Washington Street
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Hearing Officer
Hearing Division
Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 West Washington Street
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

COPY of the foregoing mailed
this 4th day of October, 2006 to:

Michelle Allen
Securities Division
Arizona Corporation Commission
1300 West Washington, 3rd Floor
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Stephen M. Dichter
Harper Christian Dichter & Graif
3003 N. Central Ave. Ste. 1850
Phoenix, Arizona 85012-0001
Attorney for Trend Management

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

Richard G. Himelrick
Frank R. Mead
Tiffany & Bosco
2525 East Camelback Road
Phoenix, AZ 85016
Attorneys for Scott and
Lori Oglesby

Alan S. Baskin
Bade & Baskin
80 E. Rio Salado Parkway, Ste. 515
Tempe, AZ 85281
Attorney for Christopher Marx

Vera DeMarco