



0000060019

ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

25

COMMISSIONERS

2006 SEP 26 A 10: 29

JEFF HATCH-MILLER, Chairman
WILLIAM A. MUNDELL
MIKE GLEASON
KRISTIN K. MAYES
BARRY WONG

AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCUMENT CONTROL

IN THE MATTER OF:

DOCKET NO. W-01445A-06-0200
SW-20445A-06-0200
W-20446A-06-0200
SW-03576A-06-0200
SW-03575A-06-0200

ARIZONA WATER COMPANY, AN ARIZONA CORPORATION,

Complainant

vs.

GLOBAL WATER RESOURCES, LLC, A FOREIGN LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY; GLOBAL WATER RESOURCES, INC., A DELAWARE CORPORATION; GLOBAL WATER MANAGEMENT, LLC, A FOREIGN LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY; SANTA CRUZ WATER COMPANY, LLC, AN ARIZONA LIMITED LIABILITY CORPORATION; PALO VERDE UTILITIES COMPANY, LLC, AN ARIZONA LIMITED LIABILITY CORPORATION; GLOBAL WATER – SANTA CRUZ WATER COMPANY, AN ARIZONA CORPORATION; GLOBAL WATER – PALO VERDE UTILITIES COMPANY, AN ARIZONA CORPORATION; JOHN AND JANE DOES 1-20; ABC ENTITIES I-XX.

Respondents.

Arizona Corporation Commission
DOCKETED

SEP 26 2006

DOCKETED BY NR

PROCEDURAL ORDER

BY THE COMMISSION:

On March 29, 2006, Arizona Water Company (“AWC”) filed a complaint against Global Water Resources, LLC, Global Water Resources, Inc., Global Water Management, LLC, Santa Cruz Water Company, LLC, Palo Verde Utilities Company, LLC, Arizona Global Water – Santa Cruz Water Company and Arizona Global Water – Palo Verde Utilities Company (collectively “Respondents”).

On April 24, 2006, the Respondents filed an Answer to the Complaint and a Motion to Dismiss.

A procedural conference was conducted on June 15, 2006 to discuss pending procedural

1 matters. During the procedural conference, the Commission's Utilities Division Staff ("Staff")
2 represented that it was processing Docket No. W-00000C-06-0149¹ on an expedited basis, and that
3 Staff expected to bring its recommendation regarding the Generic Docket before the Commission
4 sometime in August 2006 (Tr. 29). As a result, AWC agreed with Staff's recommendation to hold its
5 Complaint in abeyance pending the Commission's consideration of the issues presented in the
6 Generic Docket (Tr. 55).

7 On July 7, 2006, AWC, the Respondents, and Staff filed briefs regarding the advisability of
8 invoking injunctive relief regarding the activities of the Respondents' unregulated affiliates and the
9 Commission's authority to grant injunctive relief in this proceeding.

10 By Procedural Order issued July 14, 2006, AWC's Motion to Dismiss was denied in
11 accordance with the ruling made at the June 15, 2006 procedural conference, and AWC's Complaint
12 in the above-captioned docket was ordered held in abeyance pending the Commission's consideration
13 of the issues raised in the above-referenced Generic Docket.

14 On September 7, 2006, AWC filed a Request for Oral Argument/Disposition of its Request
15 for Injunctive Relief.

16 On September 13, 2006, the Respondents filed a Response to AWC's Request for Oral
17 Argument or Disposition of its Request for Injunctive Relief.

18 By Procedural Order issued September 20, 2006, Staff was directed to file, by September 27,
19 2006, a statement regarding the status of its recommendation in the Generic Docket, and oral
20 argument was scheduled for September 28, 2006 regarding AWC's request for injunctive relief.

21 On September 25, 2006, Staff filed a Request for an Extension of Time Regarding the Oral
22 Argument Relating to AWC's Request for Injunctive Relief. Staff requested that the oral argument
23 be rescheduled for October 4, 2006, and stated that counsel for AWC and the Respondents do not
24 object to the requested extension.

25 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the **oral argument** currently scheduled for September
26 28, 2006, **shall be rescheduled for October 4, 2006, at 1:30 p.m.**, at the offices of the Commission,
27

28 ¹ *In the Matter of the Commission's Generic Evaluation of the Regulatory Impact From the Use of Non-Traditional
Financing Arrangements by Water Utilities and Their Affiliates* ("Generic Docket")

1 1200 West Washington, Phoenix, Arizona 85007.

2 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that in all other respects, the September 20, 2006, Procedural
3 Order shall remain in full force and effect.

4 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Administrative Law Judge may rescind, alter, amend,
5 or waive any portion of this Procedural Order either by subsequent Procedural Order or by ruling at
6 hearing.

7 Dated this 26th day of September, 2006

8
9 

10 DWIGHT D. NODES
11 ASSISTANT CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

12 Copies of the foregoing mailed/delivered
13 this 26 day of September, 2006 to:

13 Robert W. Geake
14 ARIZONA WATER COMPANY
15 3805 N. Black Canyon Highway
16 Phoenix, AZ 85015

Christopher Kempley, Chief Counsel
Legal Division
ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
1200 West Washington Street
Phoenix, AZ 85007


16 Steven A. Hirsch
17 Rodney W. Ott
18 BRYAN CAVE, LLP
19 Two N. Central Avenue, Ste. 2200
20 Phoenix, AZ 85004
21 Attorneys for Arizona Water Company

Ernest G. Johnson, Director
Utilities Division
ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
1200 West Washington
Phoenix, AZ 85007

19 Michael W. Patten
20 ROSHKA DeWULF & PATTEN, PLC
21 400 East Van Buren Street, Ste. 800
22 Phoenix, AZ 85004
23 Attorneys for Respondents

ARIZONA REPORTING SERVICE, INC.
2627 N. Third Street, Ste. Three
Phoenix, Arizona 85004-1126

22 Mayor Chuck Walton
23 City of Casa Grande
24 510 East Florence Blvd.
25 Casa Grande, AZ 85222

By: 
Molly Johnson
Secretary to Dwight D. Nodes