



0000058175

OPEN MEETING ITEM ORIGINAL

22

MARC SPITZER - Chairman
WILLIAM A. MUNDELL
JEFF HATCH-MILLER
MIKE GLEASON
KRISTIN K. MAYES



BRIAN C. MCNEIL
Executive Secretary

RECEIVED

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

2003 OCT -6 A 11: 20

DATE: OCTOBER 6, 2003

DOCKET NO: T-04105A-02-0281

TO ALL PARTIES:

AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCUMENT CONTROL

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

OCT 06 2003

DOCKETED BY

Enclosed please find the recommendation of Administrative Law Judge Amanda Pope.
The recommendation has been filed in the form of an Order on:

GLOBAL INTERNETWORKING, INC.
(CC&N/RESELLER)

Pursuant to A.A.C. R14-3-110(B), you may file exceptions to the recommendation of the Administrative Law Judge by filing an original and ten (10) copies of the exceptions with the Commission's Docket Control at the address listed below by **4:00 p.m.** on or before:

OCTOBER 15, 2003

The enclosed is NOT an order of the Commission, but a recommendation of the Administrative Law Judge to the Commissioners. Consideration of this matter has tentatively been scheduled for the Commission's Working Session and Open Meeting to be held on:

OCTOBER 21, 2003 and OCTOBER 22, 2003

For more information, you may contact Docket Control at (602)542-3477 or the Hearing Division at (602)542-4250.

BRIAN C. McNEIL
EXECUTIVE SECRETARY

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

COMMISSIONERS

MARC IRVIN
WILLIAM A. MUNDELL
JEFF HATCH-MILLER
MIKE GLEASON
KRISTIN K. MAYES

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF
GLOBAL INTERNETWORKING, INC. FOR A
CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND
NECESSITY TO PROVIDE COMPETITIVE
RESOLD INTEREXCHANGE
TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES, EXCEPT
LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICES

DOCKET NO. T-04105A-02-0281

DECISION NO. _____

ORDER

Open Meeting
October 21 and 22, 2003
Phoenix, Arizona

BY THE COMMISSION:

Having considered the entire record herein and being fully advised in the premises, the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") finds, concludes, and orders that:

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. On April 15, 2002, Global Internetworking, Inc ("Applicant" or "Global") filed with the Commission an application for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity ("Certificate") to provide competitive resold interexchange telecommunications services, except local exchange services, within the State of Arizona.

2. Applicant is a switchless reseller that purchases telecommunications services from a variety of carriers for resale to its customers.

3. In Decision No. 58926 (December 22, 1994), the Commission found that resold telecommunications providers ("resellers") are public service corporations subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission.

4. Global has authority to transact business in the State of Arizona.

5. On March 24, 2003, Global filed an Affidavit of Publication indicating compliance with the Commission's notice requirements.

1 6. On August 22, 2003, the Commission's Utilities Division Staff ("Staff") filed a Staff
2 Report in this matter, which includes Staff's fair value rate base determination in this matter and
3 recommends approval of the application subject to certain conditions.

4 7. In the Staff Report, Staff stated that Global provided financial statements for the
5 period ending May 31, 2003, which list assets of \$2.99 million, total equity of \$1.0 million, and a net
6 income of \$430,819.

7 8. In its Staff Report, Staff stated that based on information obtained from the Applicant,
8 it has determined that Global's fair value rate base ("FVRB") is zero. Staff has determined that
9 Applicant's FVRB is too small to be useful in a fair value analysis and is not useful in setting rates.
10 Staff further stated that in general, rates for competitive services are not set according to rate of return
11 regulation, but are heavily influenced by the market. Staff recommended that the Commission not set
12 rates for Global based on the fair value of its rate base.

13 9. Staff believes that Global has no market power and that the reasonableness of its rates
14 will be evaluated in a market with numerous competitors. In light of the competitive market in which
15 the Applicant will be providing its services, Staff believes that the rates in Applicant's proposed
16 tariffs for its competitive services will be just and reasonable, and recommends that the Commission
17 approve them.

18 10. Staff recommended approval of Global's application subject to the following:

19 (a) The Applicant should be ordered to comply with all Commission rules, orders,
20 and other requirements relevant to the provision of intrastate telecommunications
21 service;

22 (b) The Applicant should be ordered to maintain its accounts and records as
23 required by the Commission;

24 (c) The Applicant should be ordered to file with the Commission all financial and
25 other reports that the Commission may require, and in a form and at such times as the
26 Commission may designate;

27 (d) The Applicant should be ordered to maintain on file with the Commission all
28 current tariffs and rates, and any service standards that the Commission may require;

 (e) The Applicant should be ordered to comply with the Commission's rules and
modify its tariffs to conform to these rules if it is determined that there is a conflict

1 between the Applicant's tariffs and the Commission's rules;

2 (f) The Applicant should be ordered to cooperate with Commission investigations
3 of customer complaints;

4 (g) The Applicant should be ordered to participate in and contribute to a universal
5 service fund, as required by the Commission;

6 (h) The Applicant should be ordered to notify the Commission immediately upon
7 changes to the Applicant's address or telephone number;

8 (i) The Applicant's interexchange service offerings should be classified as
9 competitive pursuant to A.A.C. R14-2-1108;

10 (j) The Applicant's maximum rates should be the maximum rates proposed by the
11 Applicant in its proposed tariffs. The minimum rates for the Applicant's competitive
12 services should be the Applicant's total service long run incremental costs of
13 providing those services as set forth in A.A.C. R14-2-1109; and

14 (k) In the event that the Applicant states only one rate in its proposed tariff for a
15 competitive service, the rate stated should be the effective (actual) price to be charged
16 for the service as well as the service's maximum rate.

17 11. Staff further recommended that Global's Certificate should be conditioned upon the
18 Applicant filing conforming tariffs in accordance with this Decision within 365 days of the effective
19 date of this Decision, or 30 days prior to providing service, whichever comes first.

20 12. Based on Global's indication that it collects from its customers an advance, deposit,
21 and/or prepayment, Staff also recommended the following:

22 (a) that Global's Certificate should be conditioned upon the Applicant procuring a
23 performance bond as described below, and filing proof of that performance bond
24 within 365 days from the date of an Order in this matter, or 30 days prior to providing
25 service, whichever comes first;

26 (b) that Global be required to procure a performance bond in the initial amount of
27 \$10,000, with the minimum bond amount of \$10,000 to be increased if at any time it
28 would be insufficient to cover all advances, deposits, or prepayments collected from
its customers, in the following manner: The bond amount should be increased in
increments of \$5,000, with such increases to occur whenever the total amount of the
advances, deposits, and prepayments reaches a level within \$1,000 under the actual
bond amount; and

(c) that, if at some time in the future, Global does not collect from its customers
any advances, prepayments or deposits, that Global should be allowed to file with the
Commission a request for cancellation of its established performance bond. Staff
stated that after Staff review of such filing, Staff would forward its recommendation

1 on the matter to the Commission for a Decision.

2 13. Staff recommended that if the Applicant fails to meet the timeframes outlined in
3 Findings of Fact Nos. 11 and 12, that Global's Certificate should become null and void without
4 further Order of the Commission, and that no time extensions for compliance should be granted.

5 14. The rates proposed by this filing are for competitive services.

6 15. Staff's recommendations as set forth herein are reasonable.

7 16. Global's fair value rate base is zero.

8 **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

9 1. Applicant is a public service corporation within the meaning of Article XV of the
10 Arizona Constitution and A.R.S. §§ 40-281 and 40-282.

11 2. The Commission has jurisdiction over Applicant and the subject matter of the
12 application.

13 3. Notice of the application was given in accordance with the law.

14 4. Applicant's provision of resold interexchange telecommunications services is in the
15 public interest.

16 5. Applicant is a fit and proper entity to receive a Certificate as conditioned herein for
17 providing competitive resold interexchange telecommunications services in Arizona.

18 6. Staff's recommendations in Findings of Fact Nos. 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, and 13 should be
19 adopted.

20 7. Global's fair value rate base is not useful in determining just and reasonable rates for
21 the competitive services it proposes to provide to Arizona customers.

22 8. Global's rates, as they appear in its proposed tariffs, are just and reasonable and
23 should be approved.

24 **ORDER**

25 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the application of Global Internetworking, Inc. for a
26 Certificate of Convenience and Necessity for authority to provide competitive resold interexchange
27 telecommunications services, except local exchange services, is hereby granted, conditioned upon its
28 compliance with the conditions recommended by Staff as set forth in Findings of Fact Nos. 10, 11

1 and 12 above.

2 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Staff's recommendations set forth in Findings of Fact Nos.
3 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, and 13 above are hereby adopted.

4 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Global Internetworking shall comply with the adopted
5 Staff recommendations as set forth in Findings of Fact Nos. 10, 11, and 12 above.

6 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if Global Internetworking, Inc. fails to meet the timeframes
7 outlined in Findings of Fact Nos. 11 and 12 above, that the Certificate conditionally granted herein
8 shall become null and void without further Order of the Commission.

9 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately.

10 BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION.

11
12
13 CHAIRMAN

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER

14
15
16 COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER

17 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, BRIAN C. McNEIL, Executive
18 Secretary of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have
19 hereunto set my hand and caused the official seal of the
20 Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix,
21 this ____ day of _____, 2003.

22 _____
BRIAN C. McNEIL
EXECUTIVE SECRETARY

23 DISSENT _____

24
25 DISSENT _____
AP:mj

1 SERVICE LIST FOR: GLOBAL INTERNETWORKING, INC.

2 DOCKET NO.: T-04105A-02-0281

3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Patrick D. Crocker
Early, Lennon, Crocker & Barotsiewicz, PLC
900 Comerica Building
Kalamazoo, MI 49007-4752

Christopher Kempsey, Chief Counsel
Legal Division
ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
1200 West Washington Street
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Ernest G. Johnson, Director
Utilities Division
ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
1200 West Washington Street
Phoenix, Arizona 85007