LEWIS AND ROCA LLP LAWYERS

Thomas H. Campbell
40 North Central Avenue
Phoenix, Arizona 85004-4429

ORIGINAL

0000057815

RECEIVED

TCampbell@lrlaw.com
Admitted in: Arizona

28 DR

Our File Number: 99999-70020

August 14, 2006

2006 AUG 14 P 4: 32

HAND DELIVERED

AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCUMENT CONTROL

Arizona Corporation Commission
DOCKETED
AUG 14 2006
DOCKETED BY nr

Laurie A. Woodall
Arizona Power Plant and Siting Committee, Chair
Office of the Attorney General
1275 W. Washington Street
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Re: Southern California Edison Company
Application for Devers to Palo Verde 2 (DPV2)
Case No. 130, Docket No. L-0000A-06-0295-00130

Dear Chairman Woodall:

Enclosed is SCE's Second Supplemental Packet containing presentation slides and additional materials provided in response to questions asked by the Siting Committee during the June 26 and 27 hearings.

SCE's proposed order of witnesses when we resume on August 21 is as follows:

1. Mickey Siegel, who was on the stand when the proceedings ended on June 27, will resume his testimony. He will supplement his earlier testimony by responding to questions asked by the Siting Committee during his June 27 presentation. Because there were a number of questions on visual impacts, Mr. Siegel will be joined by Mr. Randy Palmer of EPG who will present testimony on visual impacts. Presentation slides from Mr. Palmer are contained in the enclosed supplement.

2. Dana Cabbell, who testified briefly on June 27 to answer specific Siting Committee questions, will complete her testimony on operational issues.

3. Johannes Pfeifenberger – Mr. Pfeifenberger's testimony is an economic analysis of DVP2's impacts on Arizona. Mr. Pfeifenberger's presentation slides are contained in the enclosed supplement. We also will have available for questions a representative from Elliott Pollack and Company to discuss the Pollack study (Exhibit J-3 to the CEC Application).

4. SCE also will present a panel of witnesses including SCE employees Marco Ahumada, Thomas Burhenn and Robert Stiens and a representative from SCE's resource planning group to answer other questions raised by the Siting Committee during the June 26 and 27 hearings. SCE does not anticipate presenting this panel until the September 11-12 hearings.

At the end of the June 27 hearing, the Siting Committee asked SCE to consider whether or not it would be willing to drop the Harquahala West alternative. SCE is willing to drop the Harquahala West alternative from consideration by the Siting Committee. SCE hopes that by eliminating this alternative, it will make the remaining hearing days more efficient since many of the intervenors are concerned with the Harquahala West alternative, but support the proposed route.

Copies of these supplemental materials have been filed in the Arizona Corporation Commission docket and provided to members of the Siting Committee and intervenors.

Very truly yours,

Tom Campbell

Thomas H. Campbell

THC/dl

cc: Siting Committee
Intervenors