

ORIGINAL



0000056209

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

2004 MAR -9 P 2:57

COMMISSIONERS

- MARC SPITZER - Chairman
- WILLIAM A. MUNDELL
- JEFF HATCH-MILLER
- MIKE GLEASON
- KRISTIN K. MAYES

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION  
DOCUMENT CONTROL

IN THE MATTER OF UNS GAS, INC. AND  
CITIZENS COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY  
FILING FOR APPROVAL OF A PURCHASED GAS  
ADJUSTOR SURCHARGE.

DOCKET NO. G-01032E-03-0515

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE  
ARIZONA ELECTRIC DIVISION OF CITIZENS  
COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY TO CHANGE THE  
CURRENT PURCHASED POWER AND FUEL  
ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE RATE, TO ESTABLISH A  
NEW PURCHASED POWER AND FUEL  
ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE BANK, AND TO  
REQUEST APPROVED GUIDELINES FOR THE  
RECOVERY OF COSTS INCURRED IN  
CONNECTION WITH ENERGY RISK  
MANAGEMENT INITIATIVES.

DOCKET NO. E-01032C-00-0751

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF  
CITIZENS COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY,  
ARIZONA GAS DIVISION, FOR A HEARING TO  
DETERMINE THE FAIR VALUE OF ITS  
PROPERTIES FOR RATEMAKING PURPOSES, TO  
FIX A JUST AND REASONABLE RATE OF  
RETURN THEREON, AND TO APPROVE RATE  
SCHEDULES DESIGNED TO PROVIDE SUCH  
RATE OF RETURN.

DOCKET NO. G-01032A-02-0598

Arizona Corporation Commission  
**DOCKETED**

MAR - 9 2004

DOCKETED BY *CMV*

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF  
CITIZENS COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY AND  
UNISOURCE ENERGY CORPORATION FOR  
APPROVAL FOR THE SALE OF CERTAIN  
ELECTRIC UTILITY AND GAS UTILITY ASSETS  
IN ARIZONA, THE TRANSFER OF CERTAIN  
CERTIFICATES OF CONVENIENCE AND  
NECESSITY FROM CITIZENS COMMUNICATIONS  
COMPANY TO UNISOURCE ENERGY  
CORPORATION, THE APPROVAL OF THE  
FINANICNG FOR THE TRANSACTIONS AND  
OTHER RELATED MATTERS.

DOCKET NO. E-01933A-02-0914  
E-01032C-02-0914  
G-01032A-02-0914

**STAFF'S PROPOSALS  
CONCERNING GAS PRICE  
ISSUES IN UES TERRITORY**

1 Arizona Corporation Commission Staff ("Staff") hereby submits the attached proposals  
2 concerning gas price issues in Unisource Energy Services ("UES") territory for Commission  
3 consideration. This filing is made in response to Chairman Spitzer's March 2, 2004 letter to the  
4 Commission in the above-captioned dockets related to these matters.

5 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 9<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2004.

6  
7 

8 Christopher C. Kempley, Chief Counsel  
9 Janice Alward, Assistant Chief Counsel  
10 Legal Division  
11 Arizona Corporation Commission  
12 1200 West Washington Street  
13 Phoenix, Arizona 85007  
14 (602) 542-3402

13 The original and 23 copies of the  
14 foregoing filed this 9<sup>th</sup> day of  
15 March, 2004, with:

15 Docket Control  
16 Arizona Corporation Commission  
17 1200 West Washington Street  
18 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

19 COPIES of the foregoing were mailed/  
20 this 9<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2004 to:

21 Thomas H. Campbell  
22 Michael T. Hallam  
23 40 North Central Avenue  
24 Phoenix, Arizona 85007  
25 Attorney for UNS Gas and  
26 Citizens Communications Company

27 Scott Wakefield  
28 Daniel W. Pozefsky  
RUCO  
1110 W. Washington, Ste. 220  
Phoenix, AZ 85007

29 Deborah R. Scott  
30 Unisource Energy Services  
31 One South Church Street, Suite 200  
32 Tucson, AZ 85702

33 John White  
34 Deputy County Attorney  
35 P.O. Box 7000  
36 Kingman, Arizona 86402

37 L. Russell Mitten  
38 Citizens Communications Company  
39 Three High Ridge Park  
40 Stamford, CT 06905

41 Robert A. Taylor  
42 City Attorney  
43 City of Kingman  
44 310 North Fourth Street  
45 Kingman, AZ 86401

1 Gary Smith  
2 Citizens Communications Company  
3 2901 W. Shamrell Blvd., Suite 110  
4 Flagstaff, AZ 86001  
5  
6 Raymond Mason  
7 Director, Corporate Regulatory Affairs  
8 3 High Ridge Park  
9 Stamford, CT 06905  
10  
11 Dennis R. Nelson  
12 UniSource Energy Services  
13 2901 W. Shamrell Blvd., Suite 110  
14 Flagstaff, AZ 86001-9402  
15  
16 Robert J. Metli  
17 Cheifetz & Iannitelli, P.C.  
18 3238 North 16<sup>th</sup> Street  
19 Phoenix, AZ 85016  
20  
21 John D. Draghi  
22 Susan Mikes Doherty  
23 Huber, Lawrence & Abell  
24 605 3<sup>rd</sup> Avenue  
25 New York, New York 10158  
26  
27 Raymond S. Heyman  
28 Michael W. Patten  
ROSHKA HEYMAN & DeWULF  
400 East Van Buren Street, Ste. 800  
Phoenix, AZ 85004  
Attorneys for Mohave and Santa Cruz Counties

Walter W. Meek  
AUIA  
2100 N. Central Ave., Suite 210  
Phoenix, Arizona 85004  
  
Holly J. Hawn  
Santa Cruz Deputy County Attorney  
2150 N. Congress Drive, Ste. 201  
Nogales, AZ 85621  
  
Marshall Magruder  
Lucy Magruder  
P.O. Box 1267  
Tubac, AZ 85646-1267  
  
Hugh Holub, Esq.  
118 North Mastick Way, Suite 400  
Nogales, AZ 85621-4117  
  
Andrew Bettwy, Esq.  
Southwest Gas Corporation  
5241 West Spring Mountain  
PO Box 98510  
Las Vegas, NV 89193-8510  
  
Nicholas Enoch, Esq.  
349 North Fourth Avenue  
Phoenix, AZ 85003-1505

Nancy Roe

## Staff Proposals Concerning Gas Price Issues in Unisource Energy Services Territory

### Introduction

On March 2, 2004, Chairman Spitzer filed and docketed a letter concerning natural gas price issues in UES territory. Chairman Spitzer invited the submission of proposals to address escalating gas prices for UES and its customers. Staff has developed several proposals for Commission consideration. These are described below.

### UNS Gas PGA Surcharge Scenarios

1. Surcharge Remains in Place – the full surcharge remains in place through October 2004 and this is eliminated.
2. Temporary Removal of Surcharge – the full surcharge is removed for March and April 2004, and then reapplied through December 2004.
3. Immediate Halving of Surcharge – the surcharge is cut in half immediately and then left in place through May 2005.
4. Immediate Elimination of Surcharge – the full surcharge is removed immediately and is not reimposed. Note that this results in a very large on-going under collected bank balance.
5. Temporary Halving of Surcharge – the surcharge is cut in half for March and April 2004, then reimposed at the full level through November 2004.
6. Focusing of Surcharge in Summer – the surcharge for November through April periods, is in effect at the full level in May, September, and October, and is doubled in June, July, and August. The last month the surcharge would be in effect is November 2005.
7. Temporary Removal, Later Reduction of Surcharge – the full surcharge is removed for March and April 2004, the full surcharge is then reapplied through November 2004 and then reduced surcharge of \$0.02 per therm is applied through October 2005.
8. Surcharge Removed for April – the full surcharge is in place for March 2004, is eliminated for April 2004, and then reinstated through November 2004.


### Other Options/Considerations

1. Circuit-Breaker Mechanism  
The circuit breaker mechanism could be adjusted in a number of possible ways. The threshold of the existing mechanism could be reduced to a level lower than 140%, which would result in greater surcharge savings for high usage customers and would result in an additional increment of gas costs being deferred to the future for recovery. The circuit breaker could also be adjusted to track individual savings so that each customer's savings during the winter heating season are deferred to be paid in the low use summer months. A further option would be to design some form of customer specific circuit breaker, under which each individual customer's usage would be compared from year to year and if the

customer's usage showed a large increase from one year to the next, the circuit breaker would go into effect.


2. **Uncertainties in Estimating PGA Surcharge impacts in the future** – The above scenarios are based on the best available projections from Unisource of future inputs to the PGA mechanism. Uncertainties in the future include unexpected shifts in natural gas market prices and changes in weather from year to year and over time, resulting in some level of fluctuation in customer consumption.
3. **When the Surcharge Should End** – The currently existing surcharge doesn't have a date specific ending time, but rather is set to end when the PGA bank balance reaches zero. If the surcharge is reset in some manner, it will need to be decided whether the ending date should be on a date specific or when the balance reaches zero. Many of the scenarios assume a specific lower level, well below the bank balance threshold, but not require it to reach zero before removing the surcharge.

# Monthly Bank Balance





# Average Residential Bill



- Scenario One
- Scenario Two
- Scenario Three
- Scenario Four
- Scenario Five
- Scenario Six
- Scenario Seven
- Scenario Eight