

ORIGINAL



0000056174

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

RECEIVED

COMMISSIONERS

MARC SPITZER - Chairman
JIM IRVIN
WILLIAM A. MUNDELL
JEFF HATCH-MILLER
MIKE GLEASON

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

SEP 11 2003

2003 SEP 11 A 9:48

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
DOCUMENT CONTROL

DOCKETED BY

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF
PARK WATER COMPANY, INC. FOR AN
EMERGENCY RATE INCREASE.

DOCKET NO. W-02353A-03-0507

**MOTION FOR ADMISSION OF
LATE FILED EXHIBIT**

Staff of the Utilities Division of the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Staff") hereby moves for the admission of a late-filed exhibit. Attached as "Exhibit A" is a late-filed exhibit regarding a Notice of Violation from the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ). Exhibit A provides additional information regarding the storage capacity and pressure problems that were discussed at the hearing.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 11 day of September 2003.

David M. Ronald
Attorney, Legal Division
Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 West Washington Street
Phoenix, Arizona 85007
(602) 542-3402

The original and thirteen (13) copies of the foregoing were filed this 11 day of September 2003 with:

Docket Control
Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 West Washington Street
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

1 Copies of the foregoing were mailed this
2 11 day of September 2003 to:

3 Patricia O'Connor
4 Park Water Company, Inc.
5 P. O. Box 16173
6 Phoenix, AZ 85011

5

6

7


8 Viola R. Kizis
9 Secretary to David M. Ronald

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28



Janet Napolitano
Governor

ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

1110 West Washington Street • Phoenix, Arizona 85007
(602) 771-2300 • www.adeq.state.az.us



Stephen A. Owens
Director

FS-04-272
September 3, 2003

CERTIFIED LETTER
Return Receipt Requested
7001-1140-0001-4285-7298

Ms. Patricia O'Connor
P.O. Box 16173
Phoenix, AZ 85011

RE: Operation and Maintenance Inspection Results for Park Water Company (11-407)

Dear Ms. O'Connor:

The Arizona Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ), Water Quality Field Services Unit has enclosed an inspection report regarding the inspection conducted at the referenced public water system on June 24, 2003. The inspections were conducted to determine compliance with Arizona Revised Statutes (A.R.S.) Title 49, Chapter 2, Article 9 and with Arizona Administrative Code (A.A.C.) Title 18, Chapter 2, and pursuant to the authority in A.A.C. R18-4-118.

A Notice of Violation is being issued to the Park Water Company Public Water System for failing to provide the required storage capacity for the system, and for failing to maintain pressure at 20 psi at all locations within the system.

This report addresses only the operation and maintenance status, and certified operator status of the above referenced water system. This report does not address the system's compliance with respect to public notice, monitoring, or reporting requirements.

For compliance status information on these requirements, please contact Jim Puckett at (602) 771-4649. If you need any additional information or help regarding this Notice of Violation, please feel free to contact me at (602) 771-4441.

Sincerely,


Karen Berry
Environmental Engineering Specialist
Water Quality Field Services Unit

RECEIVED

SEP 09 2003

Z CORPORATION COMMISSIC
DIRECTOR OF UTILITIES

cc: Vivian Burns, Programs and Projects Specialist, Water Compliance Section
Debra Reagan, Arizona Corporation Commission
Susan Strassner, Director, Pinal County Health Department
Jeff Stuck, Manager, Drinking Water Section, ADEQ



Northern Regional Office
1515 East Cedar Avenue • Suite F • Flagstaff, AZ 86004
(928) 779-0313

Southern Regional Office
400 West Congress Street • Suite 433 • Tucson, AZ 85701
(520) 628-6733

Park Water Company
Inspection Date June 24, 2003
Report Date September 3, 2003
Page 2

GENERAL INFORMATION SUMMARY - WATER

Facility: Park Water Company

System No.: 11-407

Inspected By: Karen Berry

Date: June 24, 2003

Accompanied By: Frank Pannella

County: Pinal

Number of Plants: 3

Number of Wells: 2

System Grade: 1

Population: 500

Service Connections: 143

System Description

This is a Community water system consisting of two wells, two storage tanks, three pressure tanks, and a distribution system serving a remote development located approximately two miles south of Cactus Forest, eight miles south of the town of Florence.

On June 19, 2003, numerous complaints were received by ADEQ regarding water outages and low pressure conditions in the Park Water Company system. According to the complaints, the system had been experiencing periodic outages and low pressure conditions for over three weeks. The customers had been complaining to the Arizona Corporation Commission (ACC) and the system owner, Patricia O'Connor for those three weeks, but had not reported the problem to ADEQ. Contact with the Arizona Corporation Commission representative Debra Reagan confirmed the customers' complaints. She also stated that she had directed the customers to contact Ms. O'Connor every time they experienced a problem.

Investigation of the complaints of the water outage and low pressure on June 19, 2003 found the system consistently providing pressure less than 20 psi at seven locations within the distribution system. At most locations, no water was delivered through the distribution system. I contacted Ms. O'Connor on June 19, 2003, and informed her of the problem. She related she was aware of the problem, and that some people were using too much water for the system.

On June 20, 2003, Ms. O'Connor provided a system representative, Ray Dewey, to accompany the ADEQ inspector for an inspection of the system. Mr. Dewey did not have keys to the water system, so a site visit was conducted rather than an inspection. At that time, it was noted that the well pump at Plant 2 was operating, and that a distinct knock was coming from the pressure tank or flow meter. Plant 1, which had not operated since 1992, was unfenced, and the well casing

Park Water Company
Inspection Date June 24, 2003
Report Date September 3, 2003
Page 3

was open. Mr. Dewey was informed of the dangers of having an open well in a residential area, and was advised to have it capped, and the site fenced. At Plant 3, the 25,000 gallon storage tank was observed to be empty, and the pump was running constantly. The pressure tank appeared empty, and had a distinct knocking sound. Mr. Dewey was informed of the problems of operating the pump and pressure tank without water. Additional pressure readings taken during the inspection found the pressure to be less than 20 psi. Ms. O'Connor was contacted, and was informed of the requirement to provide the customers of the water system with an alternate source of water. Ms. O'Connor began filling the storage tanks with hauled water from the Town of Florence that evening. The water hauling continues through the date of this report. The water being hauled meets all ADEQ requirements regarding hauled water.

On June 24, 2003, an inspection of the water system was performed with the system's certified operator, Frank Pannella. Mr. Pannella was aware of the nature and duration of the problems associated with the system, and related that some customers were using too much water. He also stated that he had attempted to have Ms. O'Connor make improvements to the system for several years, but she would not pay for additional improvements. Mr. Pannella was asked about what the system would do to alleviate the problems. He said they would try to get the abandoned well operating, and the associated unused pressure tank operating.

Mr. Pannella was asked about the operation of the system and the number of customers connected to the system. He said the system had 4 inch water lines throughout, and approximately 128 customers. When asked if the 147 dwellings in the area were connected, he related that it might be, but some of the people didn't live there year round.

Mr. Pannella related that he had been contacted by the system customers for the duration of the problem, but according to him, could not do anything for them. Mr. Pannella related he was about to go on vacation to a remote location in New Mexico, and would not be available until the middle of the following week. Mr. Pannella appeared sympathetic about the customers complaints, but again related that the problems were the fault of several customers who used too much water. Mr. Pannella was informed that the system must operate in a manner to provide 20 psi of pressure at all times throughout the system, and he said he doubted it ever operated at 20 psi. He then related that he did not have 20 psi at his home, and that it was a common problem. Mr. Pannella was informed of the dangers of microbiological contamination when operating the system below 20 psi pressure. He again related that he had attempted to improve the system, and that Ms. O'Connor was reluctant to invest money in the system. Mr. Pannella then related that he had been attempting to purchase the system from Ms. O'Connor for several years.

The formal inspection conducted on June 24, 2003 found the following observations. Plant 1 consists of a submersible well (55-630017) identified as well #1, and a 5,000 gallon pressure tank. The well and the pressure tank have not been used since approximately 1992. At the time of inspection, the well casing had been capped, and a security fence had been installed. Mr.

Park Water Company
Inspection Date June 24, 2003
Report Date September 3, 2003
Page 4

Pannella reported that they had attempted to have the well logged to determine the nature of the problems with the well, but the owner of the well drilling company that they had hired had passed away recently, and the work had stopped.

Plant 2 consists of a submersible well (55-630019) identified as well #2, a 15,000 gallon storage tank, and a pressure tank. Inspection of the well casing and surrounding slab found no deficiencies. Liquid chlorine is injected into the line from the well to the storage tank. At the time of inspection, the chlorinator was disconnected.

The storage tank had water to approximately 8 foot above the base level. Frank Pannella related that a sensor located within the tank would trip the pumps to shut down when the water level in the tank was lowered to the 8 foot level. The target gauge was not accurate.

The water line to the pressure tank was equipped with a flow meter. At the time of inspection, the flow meter was knocking, and appeared to be operating improperly. The pressure tank appeared waterlogged or empty. The sight glass was blocked with an algae plug.

Plant 3 consists of a 25,000 gallon storage tank and a 3,000 gallon pressure tank. At the time of inspection, the storage tank was empty. The booster pump was running, but the hydropneumatic pressure tank appeared to be empty. The sight glass was inoperable on the pressure tank, and the tank had a hollow sound. Mr. Pannella was cautioned about running the pump and the pressure tank without water.

On June 27, 2003, a review of the distribution system was conducted. 147 residences were noted during the field review. Based upon discussions with homeowners, 4 residences were removed from the total number of customers served by the water system due to their connection to a private well. Based upon the number of connections, and using a figure of 3.5 persons per home, the storage requirements for the system is determined to be 50,000 gallons. The system currently operates with 40,000 gallons of storage capacity. At the time of inspection on June 24, 2003, a number of new homes were in various stages of completion. The addition of these new homes will need to be calculated into the storage requirements.

Since July 1, 2003, relatively few complaints were received by ADEQ regarding the operation of the system. The system has hauled approximately 8 to 10 thousand gallons of water per day, and the customers are voluntarily reducing the amounts of water used per day. Well #1 is being developed, and the associated pressure tank is scheduled to be moved to Plant 2 to provide additional pressure.

System Classification

Review of ADEQ's certified operator information found that Frank Pannella's certificates have lapsed. His treatment certification lapsed on January 31, 2003, and his distribution certification lapsed on December 31, 2002.

Clint Arndt was hired at the system certified operator on July 1, 2003. Mr. Arndt is certified as a Grade 2 treatment operator and a Grade 2 distribution operator. The Park Water Company system is classified as a Grade 1 treatment and distribution system under A.A.C. R18-5-115, and the facility is compliance with the requirements of employing a certified operator at the grade at or above the classification of the system.

As of the time of this report, the system is classified as a grade 1 treatment and distribution. As new homes are added to the system, the system will be reclassified to a Grade 1 treatment and a Grade 2 distribution system, based upon population and system operation.

Document Review

Review of ADEQ's historical file of the water system found it to have problems with providing sufficient storage and pressure in the past. Several items of correspondence between ADEQ and Ms. O'Connor were reviewed, and are included in Appendix II, and are summarized as follows:

1. April 27, 1999 Letter from ADEQ (Jeff Beimer) to Patricia O'Connor
This letter identifies 10 items of concern, including items approved in the master plan were not implemented; the storage capacity limits the number of customers to 104, and relates that on occasion the system had operated below 20 psi pressure. The letter asks for a response to the items relating to the emergency operations plan and the storage requirements. No response from Ms. O'Connor was found in the facility file.
2. September 9, 1999 Letter from ADEQ (Pat Finton) to Steve Olea (ACC)
The letter was written at the request of Patricia O'Connor to explain ADEQ's position regarding water storage requirements at Park Water Company. The letter relates that ADEQ considers the storage adequate if the upper system is restricted to 65 connections, and the lower system is restricted to 39 connections. It also relates that if the number of service connections exceeded 104, ADEQ would consider the facility to be in violation of R18-4-503.(A) and subject to possible penalties.
3. September 21, 1999 Letter from Patricia O'Connor to Pat Finton (ADEQ)
The letter provides water use data. It also responds to the request for data requested in letters 1 and 2 above. It relates that well #1 (the unused well) would be assessed and the EOP amended appropriately.

Park Water Company
Inspection Date June 24, 2003
Report Date September 3, 2003
Page 6

4. June 20, 2003 Teleconference between Patricia O'Connor and Karen Berry (ADEQ)
Discussion of the operation of the water system. Number of customers identified by Ms. O'Connor to be 124.

This report addresses operation and maintenance of your water system and does not address the system's compliance with respect to the public notice, monitoring, and reporting requirements.



Janet Napolitano
Governor

ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

1110 West Washington Street • Phoenix, Arizona 85007
(602) 771-2300 • www.adeq.state.az.us



Stephen A. Owens
Director

CERTIFIED MAIL
Return Receipt Requested

Case ID # 26668

September 3, 2003

Patricia O'Connor
P.O. Box 16176
Phoenix, Arizona 85011

Subject: Park Water Company
Florence, Arizona

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

The Arizona Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ) has reason to believe that Patricia O'Connor, as the owner/operator of Park Water Company, has violated a requirement of the Arizona Revised Statutes (A.R.S.), a rule within the Arizona Administrative Code (A.A.C.), or an applicable permit/license, administrative order or civil judgement. ADEQ discovered the violations alleged below during an inspection completed on June 24, 2003.

I. LEGAL AUTHORITY and NATURE OF ALLEGED VIOLATIONS

1. **A.A.C. R18-4-503(A)**
Failure to provide the required minimum storage capacity for a community water system or a non-community water system that serves a residential population or a school.

Park Water Company does not provide the required minimum storage capacity for the number of customers within the community water system. The minimum storage capacity should be approximately 50,000 gallons. The system currently operates with 40,000 gallon storage capacity.

2. **A.A.C. R18-4-502(B)**
Failure to maintain a pressure of at least 20 pounds per square inch at ground level at all points in the potable water distribution system under all conditions of flow.

Northern Regional Office
1515 East Cedar Avenue • Suite F • Flagstaff, AZ 86004
(928) 779-0313

Southern Regional Office
400 West Congress Street • Suite 433 • Tucson, AZ 85701
(520) 628-6733

Pressure readings taken on June 19, 2003 and June 20, 2003 found pressure below 20 psi at all but one location within the system. Please see Attachment A for pressure readings.

II. DOCUMENTING COMPLIANCE

1. Within 45 days of receipt of this Notice, please submit a plan for establishing adequate storage for this system. Based upon the number of customers within the system, the minimum storage required for the system will be 50,000 gallons.
2. Within 45 days of receipt of this notice, please submit a schedule of work for monitoring and improving water pressure within the system. A minimum of 20 psi pressure is required at all locations at all times.

III. SUBMITTING COMPLIANCE DOCUMENTATION

Please send all compliance documentation and any other written correspondence regarding this Notice to ADEQ at the following address:


Arizona Department of Environmental Quality
Attention: Karen Berry
Water Quality Field Service Unit
Mailcode 5415-B1
1110 West Washington
Phoenix, Arizona 85007


IV. STATEMENT OF CONSEQUENCES

1. The time frames within this Notice for achieving and documenting compliance are firm limits. Failure to achieve or document compliance within the time frames established in this Notice will result in an administrative compliance order or civil action requiring compliance within a reasonable time frame, substantial civil penalties, and/or the suspension or revocation of an applicable permit/license. ADEQ will agree to extend the time frames only in a compliance schedule negotiated in the context of an administrative consent order or civil consent judgement.
2. Achieving compliance does not preclude ADEQ from seeking civil penalties, and/or suspending or revoking an applicable permit/license for the violations alleged in the Notice as allowed by law.

V. OFFER TO MEET

ADEQ is willing to meet regarding this Notice. To obtain additional information about this Notice or to schedule a meeting to discuss this Notice, please contact Karen Berry at (602) 771-4441.


Romann G. Diaz, Manager
Water Quality Field Services Unit


Karen Berry
Water Quality Field Services Unit



ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

Governor Jane Dee Hull Jacqueline E. Schafer, Director

September 9, 1999

Steve Olea
Arizona Corporation Commission
Utilities Division
1200 W. Washington
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

RE: Status of the Park Water Company, PWS ID#11-407

Dear Mr. Olea:

I am writing this letter to you at the request of Patricia O'Connor, owner of the Park Water Company, to explain our position relating to water storage requirements at Park Water Company.

On April 16, 1999, Jeff Beimer with Arizona Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ), conducted a site visit of the facility. As part of the site visit, the adequacy of the storage system was evaluated. Item 5 in his letter dated April 27, 1999 to Patricia O'Connor reads as follows:

R18-4-503.A of the Drinking Water Rules require that the storage capacity provided for a community water system equal the average daily demand of the system during the peak month. Please provide records within the past year which indicate the average daily demand for the PWS's month of peak water usage for both the upper and lower systems. Based upon August 1995 water demand data in the department's files, the average daily demand per service connection was 385 gallons per day. With this demand, the upper system is restricted to 65 service connections (25000 gallons divided by 385 gallons per day per service connection), and the lower system is restricted to 39 connections (15,000 divided by 385) for a total of 104 connections.

ADEQ is still waiting for the new water usage rate. Until a new rate is determined, water storage is limiting the facility to 104 connections. At the time of the site visit, Park Water Company had 88 connections. If Park Water Company has 105 connections, ADEQ would consider the facility to be in violation of R18-4-503.A and subject to possible penalties. As of September 9, 1999, ADEQ is not aware of a storage violation and has therefore not issued a Notice of Violation (NOV) to the facility.

If you need any additional help or information, please feel free to contact me at (602) 207 - 7667.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Patrick Finton".

Patrick Finton, Environmental Engineering Specialist
Water Quality Enforcement Unit

PCF:ddb

cc: ADEQ File #11-407
Patricia O'Connor, P.O. Box 16173, Phoenix, AZ 85011

G:\USERS\DB3\LETTERS\9-9-99.PCF

Park Water Company
Notice of Violation
September 3, 2003
Appendix I

Appendix I

1253pm	June 19, 2003	Hohokam, S of Paisano	8 psi
130 pm	June 19, 2003	Hohokam, S of Paisano	0 psi
225 pm	June 19, 2003	Razorback, N of Paisano	8 psi
3pm	June 19, 2003	Sidekick, S of Paisano	0 psi
315 pm	June 19, 2003	Kents Way	0 psi
330 pm	June 19, 2003	Thistle, S of Paisano	8 psi
400 pm	June 19, 2003	Thistle, No of Paisano	22 psi
10 am	June 20, 2003	Hohokam, S of Paisano	8 psi
1045 am	June 20, 2003	Sidekick, S of Paisano	12psi
	June 27, 2003	Razorback, S of Paisano	24 psi
	June 27, 2003	Razorback, S of Paisano	20 psi
	June 27, 2003	Hohokam, S of Paisano	20 psi



ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

Governor Jane Dee Hull

John F. Hagen, Acting Director

April 27, 1999

Patricia O'Connor
Park Water Company
P.O. Box 16173
Phoenix, AZ 85011

RE: Park Water Company, PWS ID#11-407

Dear Ms. O'Connor:

The purpose of this correspondence is to inform you of a site visit that was conducted to the Park Water Company system on April 16, 1999, and to request information from you pertaining to the minimum storage and emergency operation plan requirements of the Drinking Water Rules. I met with Mr. Frank Pannella during the visit and the following issues were discussed:

1. We examined the Master Plan for the public water system (PWS) prepared by Buck Lewis Engineering on September 8, 1994. It was determined that only item #5 of the plan had been completed.
2. The PWS information that is stored in the Drinking Water Database was reviewed, and changes will be made related to the number of active service connections. According to Mr. Pannella, the system currently serves 88 connections, and approximately 13 more services have been set, but are not active.
3. Each of the booster station sites only have one booster pump. In order to provide reliability for the system, at least 2 booster pumps (each capable of meeting the peak demand) should be installed at each site.
4. At 2:00 pm, the booster pump at the 25,000 gallon tank site was cycling about every 6 minutes. Based on this cycle time, the booster pump would turn on and off approximately 10 times each hour, which is excessive. Normal pump cycling should be in the range of 2 to 6 times per hour. It is therefore recommended that the 500 gallon pressure tank be replaced with a tank of at least 1,500 to 2,000 gallon capacity.
5. R18-4-503.A of the Drinking Water Rules require that the storage capacity provided for a community water system equal the average daily demand of the system during the peak month. Please provide records within the past year which indicate the average daily demand for the PWS's month of peak water usage for both the upper and lower systems. Based upon August 1995 water demand data in the department's files, the average daily demand per service connection was 385 gallons per day. With this demand, the upper system is restricted to 65 service connections (25,000 gallons divided by 385 gallons per day per service connection), and the lower system is restricted to 39 connections (15,000 divided by 385), for a total of 104 connections.
6. An Approval to Construct is required from the Department before any additions, extensions, or

modifications can be made to the system. The only exceptions are: 1.) When the project cost is less than or equal to \$12,500; or 2.) the revision, addition, extension or modification is made to a water line and is not for a subdivision requiring plat approval by a city, town or county, and has a project cost of more than \$12,500 but less than \$50,000, the design of which is sealed by a professional engineer registered in this state and the construction of which is reviewed for conformance with the design by a professional engineer. In both cases, the water system must be in compliance with the Drinking Water Rules, or be making satisfactory progress towards compliance under a schedule approved by the department. A notice of compliance with the conditions for an exemption must be submitted to the department for all exempt projects that are constructed.

7. A minimum pressure of 20 psi must be maintained under all flow conditions. Some of the system's customers have recently experienced pressure that was less than 20 psi. Mr. Pannella was able to adjust the pressure settings to the upper system booster station to correct the problem. However, if the system is unable to continuously meet the minimum pressure requirements, then it will be considered to be in noncompliance, and modifications to the system will be required to correct the problem before any additional line extensions can be made. The system pressure will be checked periodically by the department to ensure that the minimum pressure requirement is being met.
8. The system's current Emergency Operations Plan (EOP) on file with the department suggests that if a problem with well #2 occurs, then another well (well #1) is available as a back-up source. At the time of the visit, the back-up well system was not available to be used. Therefore, either the EOP must be amended to describe how the system will assure continuation of service in the event that well #2 goes down, or well #1 must be made available for use, provided that it meets current drinking water quality standards. Please explain what your intentions are for well #1.
9. The most recent information in the department's files indicates that well #2 produces 35 gpm. At this rate, and using an average daily demand during the peak month of 385 gallons per day per connection, this system is not capable of reliably supporting more than the 104 connections that were referenced in item #5 above, as the well would pump for 19 to 20 hours a day during the peak month. If these well production rate and average daily demand figures are representative of the current system, then the system is limited to 104 connections until additional source production is provided.
10. Before the department will issue an Approval to Construct for any projects involving new developments, the project documents must demonstrate that the requirements for minimum storage, source production, and minimum pressure are satisfied.


Please provide a written response to items 5 and 8. If you have any questions, feel free to contact me at 207-4646.

Patricia O'Connor

April 27, 1999

Page 3

Sincerely,



Jeff Beimer

Drinking Water Compliance and Enforcement Unit
Water Quality Division

JHB:jhb

cc: John Calkins, Manager, DWC&EU, WQCS, WQD
Pinal County
Frank Pannella, 9147 North Indigo Rd., #8, Florence, AZ 85232
ADEQ File #11-407

September 21, 1999

Patrick Finton
ADEQ
3033 N. Central Ave.
Phoenix, AZ 85011


Patrick,

Responding to your missive of September 09, 1999, I am enclosing a water use data sheet showing current figures, as you have requested in item # 5.

As to item # 8, we are planning to assess well # 1, and amend the EOP accordingly. We also need to go before ACC for a rate increase.

I will be out of the country from September 27 to October 07, 1999. I will however be available telephonically for any input from you.

Respectfully,



Patricia O'Connor
Park Water Company
P. O. Box 16173
Phoenix, AZ 85011
602-789-8080

WATER USE DATA SHEET

NAME OF COMPANY	Park Water Company
ADEQ Public Water System No.	11-407

MONTH/YEAR (Last 13 Months)	NUMBER OF CUSTOMERS	GALLONS SOLD (Thou)
August, 1998	74	833100
September, 1998	82	1114800
October, 1998	81	821200
November, 1998	86	679300
December, 1998	86	586200
January, 1999	86	579800
February, 1999	88	660600
March, 1999	89	670600
April, 1999	93	844400
May, 1999	97	631200
June, 1999	102	988200
July, 1999	104	1055800
August, 1999	104	906000

3637
 453 } 381
 327 }
 263
 220
 217
 268
 207
 303
 210
 323
 327
 281

STORAGE TANK CAPACITY (Gallons)	NUMBER OF EACH	ARIZONA DEPT. OF WATER RESOURCES WELL LD. NUMBER	WELL PRODUCTION (Gallons per Minute)
15,000	1	DWR 55630019	
25,000	1		

Other Water Sources in Gallons per Minute		GPM
Fire Hydrants on System	Yes	No
Total Water Pumped Last 13 Months (Gallons in Thousands)		9801944



**DRINKING WATER COMPLIANCE & ENFORCEMENT UNIT
TELEPHONE CONTACT**

DATE: 6/20/03

TIME: 4:00pm

CONTACT NAME: Patricia O'Connor

REPRESENTING: Park Water Company

PHONE NO: 602-789-8080

SUBJECT: Park Water Company, PSW 11-407

CALL INITIATED BY: Karen Berry

Spoke with Ms. O'Connor. She identified that she had contacted Clint Arndt to arrange for water hauling. The water hauled will be used to fill the storage tanks.

I asked her for water use records per meter for the previous 6 months, and any well test data. I also asked her how many connections were served by the system. Ms. O'Connor related there were 124 connections, with an additional 15 not used. Ms. O'Connor said she was going out of town for the weekend, but would be checking in on the system on occasion.