

22



ORIGINAL

WILLIAM A. MUNDELL
CHAIRMAN
JIM IRVIN
COMMISSIONER
MARC SPITZER
COMMISSIONER

BRIAN C. McNEIL
EXECUTIVE SECRETARY

RECEIVED

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

2002 JUL 29 A 10:54

AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCUMENT CONTROL

DATE: JULY 29, 2002
DOCKET NO: T-04088A-02-0171
TO ALL PARTIES:

Enclosed please find the recommendation of Administrative Law Judge Marc Stern. The recommendation has been filed in the form of an Order on:

ENHANCED COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK dba
ASIAN AMERICAN ASSOCIATION
(CC&N/RESELLER)

Pursuant to A.A.C. R14-3-110(B), you may file exceptions to the recommendation of the Administrative Law Judge by filing an original and ten (10) copies of the exceptions with the Commission's Docket Control at the address listed below by 4:00 p.m. on or before:

AUGUST 7, 2002

The enclosed is NOT an order of the Commission, but a recommendation of the Administrative Law Judge to the Commissioners. Consideration of this matter has tentatively been scheduled for the Commission's Working Session and Open Meeting to be held on:

AUGUST 20, 2002 and AUGUST 21, 2002

For more information, you may contact Docket Control at (602)542-3477 or the Hearing Division at (602)542-4250.

Arizona Corporation Commission
DOCKETED
JUL 29 2002
DOCKETED BY

BRIAN C. McNEIL
EXECUTIVE SECRETARY

1
2 **BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION**

3 WILLIAM A. MUNDELL
4 CHAIRMAN
5 JIM IRVIN
6 COMMISSIONER
7 MARC SPITZER
8 COMMISSIONER

9 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF
10 ENHANCED COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK,
11 INC. DBA ASIAN AMERICAN ASSOCIATION
12 FOR A CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND
13 NECESSITY TO PROVIDE COMPETITIVE
14 RESOLD INTEREXCHANGE
15 TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES, EXCEPT
16 LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICES

DOCKET NO. T-04088A-02-0171

DECISION NO. _____

ORDER

17 Open Meeting
18 August 20 and 21, 2002
19 Phoenix, Arizona

20 **BY THE COMMISSION:**

21 Having considered the entire record herein and being fully advised in the premises, the
22 Commission finds, concludes, and orders that:

23 **FINDINGS OF FACT**

24 1. On March 7, 2002, Enhanced Communications Network, Inc. dba Asian American
25 Association ("Applicant" or "Enhanced") filed with the Arizona Corporation Commission
26 ("Commission") an application for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity ("Certificate") to
27 provide competitive resold interexchange telecommunications services, except local exchange
28 services, within the State of Arizona.

2. Applicant is a switchless reseller that purchases telecommunications services from a
variety of carriers for resale to its customers.

3. In Decision No. 58926 (December 22, 1994), the Commission found that resold
telecommunications providers ("resellers") are public service corporations subject to the jurisdiction
of the Commission.

4. Enhanced has authority to transact business in the State of Arizona.

5. On March 29, 2002, Enhanced filed an Affidavit of Publication indicating compliance

1 with the Commission's notice requirements.

2 6. On May 6, 2002, Staff filed in this docket a letter to the Applicant requesting
3 information necessary in order to make a fair value rate base finding. Applicant filed its response on
4 June 7, 2002.

5 7. On June 21, 2002, the Commission's Utilities Division Staff ("Staff") filed a Staff
6 Report which includes Staff's fair value rate base determination in this matter and recommends
7 approval of the application subject to certain conditions.

8 8. In the Staff Report, Staff stated that Enhanced provided financial statements for the
9 year ending April 30, 2002, which list assets of \$1.3 million, negative equity of \$56,911, and a net
10 loss of \$81,120.

11 9. In its Staff Report, Staff stated that based on information obtained from the Applicant,
12 it has determined that Enhanced's fair value rate base is zero, and is too small to be useful in setting
13 rates. Staff further stated that in general, rates for competitive services are not set according to rate of
14 return regulation, but are heavily influenced by the market. Staff recommended that the Commission
15 not set rates for Enhanced based on the fair value of its rate base.

16 10. Staff believes that Enhanced has no market power and that the reasonableness of its
17 rates will be evaluated in a market with numerous competitors. In light of the competitive market in
18 which the Applicant will be providing its services, Staff believes that the rates in Applicant's
19 proposed tariffs for its competitive services will be just and reasonable, and recommends that the
20 Commission approve them.

21 11. Staff recommended approval of Enhanced's application subject to the following:

22 (a) The Applicant should be ordered to comply with all Commission rules, orders,
23 and other requirements relevant to the provision of intrastate telecommunications
24 service;

25 (b) The Applicant should be ordered to maintain its accounts and records as
26 required by the Commission;

27 (c) The Applicant should be ordered to file with the Commission all financial and
28 other reports that the Commission may require, and in a form and at such times as the
Commission may designate;

- 1 (d) The Applicant should be ordered to maintain on file with the Commission all
2 current tariffs and rates, and any service standards that the Commission may require;
- 3 (e) The Applicant should be ordered to comply with the Commission's rules and
4 modify its tariffs to conform to these rules if it is determined that there is a conflict
5 between the Applicant's tariffs and the Commission's rules;
- 6 (f) The Applicant should be ordered to cooperate with Commission investigations
7 of customer complaints;
- 8 (g) The Applicant should be ordered to participate in and contribute to a universal
9 service fund, as required by the Commission;
- 10 (h) The Applicant should be ordered to notify the Commission immediately upon
11 changes to the Applicant's address or telephone number;
- 12 (i) If at some future date, the Applicant wants to collect from its customers an
13 advance, deposit and/or prepayment, it must file information with the Commission for
14 Staff review. Upon receipt of such filing and after Staff review, Staff would forward
15 its recommendation to the Commission;
- 16 (j) The Applicant's interexchange service offerings should be classified as
17 competitive pursuant to A.A.C. R14-2-1108;
- 18 (k) The Applicant's maximum rates should be the maximum rates proposed by the
19 Applicant in its proposed tariffs. The minimum rates for the Applicant's competitive
20 services should be the Applicant's total service long run incremental costs of
21 providing those services as set forth in A.A.C. R14-2-1109; and
- 22 (l) In the event that the Applicant states only one rate in its proposed tariff for a
23 competitive service, the rate stated should be the effective (actual) price to be charged
24 for the service as well as the service's maximum rate.

25 12. Staff further recommended that Enhanced's Certificate should be conditioned upon the
26 Applicant filing conforming tariffs in accordance with this Decision within 365 days from the date of
27 an Order in this matter, or 30 days prior to providing service, whichever comes first.

28 13. Staff recommended that if the Applicant fails to meet the timeframes outlined in
Findings of Fact No. 12, that Enhanced's Certificate should become null and void without further
Order of the Commission, and that no time extensions for compliance should be granted.

14. The rates proposed by this filing are for competitive services.

15. Staff's recommendations as set forth herein are reasonable.

16. Enhanced's fair value rate base is zero.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1
2 1. Applicant is a public service corporation within the meaning of Article XV of the Arizona
3 Constitution and A.R.S. §§ 40-281 and 40-282.

4 2. The Commission has jurisdiction over Applicant and the subject matter of the
5 application.

6 3. Notice of the application was given in accordance with the law.

7 4. Applicant's provision of resold interexchange telecommunications services is in the
8 public interest.

9 5. Applicant is a fit and proper entity to receive a Certificate as conditioned herein for
10 providing competitive resold interexchange telecommunications services in Arizona.

11 6. Staff's recommendations in Findings of Fact No. 9, 10, 11, 12 and 13 should be
12 adopted.

13 7. Enhanced's fair value rate base is not useful in determining just and reasonable rates
14 for the competitive services it proposes to provide to Arizona customers.

15 8. Enhanced's rates, as they appear in its proposed tariffs, are just and reasonable and
16 should be approved.

ORDER

17
18 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the application of Enhanced Communications Network,
19 Inc. dba Asian American Association for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity for authority to
20 provide competitive resold interexchange telecommunications services, except local exchange
21 services, is hereby granted, conditioned upon its compliance with the conditions recommended by
22 Staff as set forth in Findings of Fact No. 12 above.

23 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Staff's recommendations set forth in Findings of Fact Nos.
24 9, 10, 11, 12 and 13 above are hereby adopted.

25 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Enhanced Communications Network, Inc. dba Asian
26 American Association shall comply with the adopted Staff recommendations as set forth in Findings
27 of Fact Nos. 11 and 12 above.

28 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if Enhanced Communications Network, Inc. dba Asian

1 American Association fails to meet the timeframes outlined in Findings of Fact. No. 12 above that the
2 Certificate conditionally granted herein shall become null and void without further Order of the
3 Commission.

4 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Enhanced Communications Network, Inc. dba Asian
5 American Association shall not require its Arizona customers to pay advances, prepayments or
6 deposits for any of its products or services.

7 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately.

8 BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION.

9
10
11 CHAIRMAN COMMISSIONER COMMISSIONER

12 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, BRIAN C. McNEIL, Executive
13 Secretary of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have
14 hereunto set my hand and caused the official seal of the
15 Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix,
16 this ____ day of _____, 2002.

17 BRIAN C. McNEIL
EXECUTIVE SECRETARY

18 DISSENT _____
19 MES:dap

20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 SERVICE LIST FOR:

ENHANCED COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK, INC.
DBA ASIAN AMERICAN ASSOCIATION

2

3 DOCKET NO.:

T-04088A-02-0171

4 Patrick D. Crocker

5 EARLY LENNON CROCKER & BARTOSIEWICZ, P.L.C.

6 900 Coamerica Building

Kalamazoo, Michigan 49007

7 Christopher Kempley, Chief Counsel

Legal Division

8 ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

1200 West Washington Street

9 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

10 Ernest G. Johnson, Director

Utilities Division

11 ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

1200 West Washington Street

12 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28