

WILLIAM A. MUNDELL
CHAIRMAN
JIM IRVIN
COMMISSIONER
MARC SPITZER
COMMISSIONER



ORIGINAL



0000051629

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

RECEIVED

April 9, 2002

2002 APR -9 P 1:54

AZ CORP COMMISSION Arizona Corporation Commission
DOCUMENT CONTROL DOCKETED

APR 09 2002

Mr. Mark Pavol
270 South Main Street
Flemington, New Jersey 08822

DOCKETED BY	<i>mac</i>
-------------	------------

RE: X2Comm, Inc. dba DC Communications (Docket No. T-04075A-01-1009)

Dear Mr. Pavol:

As a result of the US West Case II Decision by the Arizona Supreme Court, the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") is required to make a determination of the fair value of the property of each public service corporation operating in the State of Arizona. At this time, Staff does not have information from the Applicant to make a fair value finding. Staff has determined that in order to continue processing the referenced application, it must, at a minimum, obtain the following information:

If the Applicant has been operating in Arizona twelve (12) months or more, please:

1. Provide the actual dollar amount representing the total revenue for the latest twelve months available to provide telecommunications service to Arizona customers by the Applicant, adjusted to reflect the **maximum rates** that the Applicant has requested in its tariff. This adjusted total revenue figure could be calculated as the number of units sold for all services offered times the maximum charge per unit.
2. Provide the total actual **operating expenses** for the same twelve months as above to provide telecommunications service to Arizona customers by the Applicant.
3. Provide the book value (original cost less accumulated depreciation) of **all Arizona jurisdictional assets** used to provide telecommunications service to Arizona customers at the end of the same twelve months as above. If the fair value of those assets is different than the original cost net book value, also provide the corresponding fair value amounts. Assets are not limited to plant and equipment. Items such as office equipment and office supplies should be included in this list. If the actual value of all assets is zero, please specifically state this in your response.

If the Applicant has not commenced operations or has been operating in Arizona less than twelve (12) months, please:

April 9, 2002

Page 2


1. Provide the projected total revenue for the first twelve months to provide telecommunications service to Arizona customers by the Applicant following certification, adjusted to reflect the **maximum rates** that the Applicant has requested in its tariff. This adjusted total revenue figure could be calculated as the number of units sold for all services offered times the maximum charge per unit.
2. Provide the projected **operating expenses** for the first twelve months to provide telecommunications service to Arizona customers by the Applicant following certification.
3. Provide the book value (original cost less accumulated depreciation) of **all Arizona jurisdictional assets** projected to be providing telecommunications service to Arizona customers at the end of the first twelve months of operation. If the projected fair value of those assets is different than the projected original cost net book value, also provide the corresponding projected fair value amounts. Assets are not limited to plant and equipment. Items such as office equipment and office supplies should be included in this list. If the projected value of all assets is zero, please specifically state this in your response.

Staff requests the Applicant provide the above information within **30 days** of the date of this letter. Staff is aware that this change in procedure is rather sudden and that the information requested may not be readily available. However, we must all do our best to abide by the Court's decision.

Please mail your response (an original plus 10 copies) to Docket Control, Arizona Corporation Commission, 1200 W. Washington Street, Phoenix, AZ 85007-2927. If a response is not received within 30 days, Staff will recommend that the application be denied. If the Applicant believes that it will be unable to supply the necessary information within this timeframe, it should submit an explanation as to why within 30 days. Staff will endeavor to work with the Applicant as best as possible to comply with the Court's decision. It should be noted that if the application is denied, the Applicant cannot provide the same telecommunications services in Arizona for which it is currently applying, until such time as a new application is filed with and approved by the Commission.

If you have any questions, please contact me at (602) 542-0856. Thank you for your prompt response to this request.

Respectfully,



John Bostwick

Administrative Services Officer II

SERVICE LIST FOR: X2COMM, INC. DBA DC COMMUNICATIONS
DOCKET NO. T-04075A-01-1009

Mr. Mark Pavol
270 South Main Street
Flemington, NJ 08822

Mr. Ernest G. Johnson
Arizona Corporation Commission
Utilities Division
1200 West Washington
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Mr. Christopher C. Kempley
Arizona Corporation Commission
Legal Division
1200 West Washington
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Ms. Lyn Farmer
Chief Administrative Law Judge
Arizona Corporation Commission
Hearing Division
1200 West Washington
Phoenix, Arizona 85007