



0000046448

THIS AMENDMENT:

Passed  Passed as amended by

Failed  Not Offered  Withdrawn

RECEIVED

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

2003 FEB -7 A 11: 33

FEB - 7 2003

AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCUMENT CONTROL

PROPOSED IRVIN AMENDMENT #1

DOCKETED BY *CP*

TIME/DATE PREPARED February 7, 2003

COMPANY: Arizona-American Water Co., et. al. AGENDA ITEM NO. \_\_\_\_\_

SW-02334A-98-0577  
DOCKET NO: W-01656A-98-0577 OPEN MEETING DATE: \_\_\_\_\_

PAGE 16, LINE 17 INSERT new paragraphs

“However, we also believe it is necessary to insure that the ratepayers are receiving the full benefits of the GSP. At present, with all of the golf courses currently participating, the reduction in ground water pumping is roughly one-third of the total amount of ground water being pumped by the participating communities. As currently proposed, we believe that the benefits to the community as a whole outweigh the costs associated with the construction of the GSP. Should any of the participating golf courses subsequently cease its obligations under its agreement with the Company, the resulting increase in groundwater pumping will reduce the overall benefit to the ratepayers significantly enough to render the project uneconomical. As such, if any of the participating golf courses cease to participate in the project, the Company shall immediately become responsible for all of the remaining costs of the GSP and will immediately cease billing any of its ratepayers for any costs associated with its development UNLESS within 25 days of the cessation of the participation of said golf course, another golf course, with equal or greater ground water pumping reduction potential AND with a location that would otherwise draw water from the same aquifer, agrees to meet the obligations of the golf course who ceased to participate.

PAGE 25, LINE 9 INSERT New Finding of Facts, and Renumber Accordingly:

- 13. As currently proposed, the level of ground water pumping reduction achieved by the currently proposed participating golf courses sufficiently justifies the cost of the GSP.
- 14. Should any of the participating golf courses subsequently cease its contractual obligations under its agreement with the Company, the resulting increase in groundwater pumping will reduce the overall benefit to the ratepayers significantly enough to render the project uneconomical.

PAGE 26, LINE 3 INSERT

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED should any of the participating golf courses subsequently cease its contractual obligations under its agreement with the Company, the Company shall immediately become responsible for all of the remaining costs of the GSP and will immediately cease billing any of its ratepayers for any costs associated with its development, UNLESS another golf course, with equal or greater ground water pumping reduction potential AND with a location that would otherwise draw water from the same aquifer, agrees to meet the obligations of the golf course who ceased to participate.

MAKE ALL CONFORMING CHANGES