



0000045956

28

ORIGINAL

Arizona Corporation Commission

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

RECEIVED  
ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11

CARL J. KUNASEK  
Chairman  
JIM IRVIN  
Commissioner  
WILLIAM A. MUNDELL  
Commissioner

AUG 10 2000

AUG 10 11 33 AM '00

DOCKETED BY [Signature]

DOCUMENT CONTROL

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION ) DOCKET NOS. W-01656A-98-0577  
OF SUN CITY WATER COMPANY AND ) SW-02334A-98-0577  
SUN CITY WEST UTILITIES COMPANY )  
FOR APPROVAL OF CENTRAL ARIZONA )  
PROJECT WATER UTILIZATION PLAN )  
AND FOR AN ACCOUNTING ORDER )  
AUTHORIZING A GROUNDWATER )  
SAVINGS FEE AND RECOVERY OF )  
DEFERRED CENTRAL ARIZONA PROJECT ) **STAFF'S RESPONSE TO REQUEST**  
EXPENSES ) **FOR EXTENSION**

Staff of the Arizona Corporation ("Staff") hereby responds to Sun City Water Company and Sun City West Utilities Company (collectively "the Companies") Request for Extension dated July 31, 2000. In Decision No. 62293 dated February 1, 2000, the Commission ordered the Companies to file the "preliminary design and updated cost estimates within six months of the effective date of the Decision including (a) the feasibility of a joint facility with the Agua Fria Division, including the time frame for any such joint facility; (b) the need for all major elements of its proposed plan (e.g., storage and booster stations); (c) binding commitments from golf courses, public and private, and the terms and conditions related thereto." On July 31, 2000 the Companies requested an additional three month extension to submit binding agreements for the delivery of Central Arizona Project water to golf courses. Pursuant to Decision 62293, Staff as well as the remaining parties would have 60 days to file comments/objections/recommendations regarding the preliminary design/updated cost estimates.

Although Staff has no objection to allowing the companies a three month extension to submit the above mentioned binding commitments, an evaluation of these commitments is necessary in order for Staff to make the necessary comments/objections/ recommendations concerning cost estimates related to this project. As a result, Staff requests that the hearing officer extend the corresponding time frames contained in Decision 62293 to allow Staff (as well as the remaining

1 parties) 60 days after the companies file these binding commitments to submit their  
2 comments/objections/ recommendations.

3  
4 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 10<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2000.

5  
6  
7 

8 Robert J. Metli, Attorney  
9 Legal Division  
10 Arizona Corporation Commission  
11 1200 West Washington Street  
12 Phoenix, Arizona 85007  
13 (602) 542-3402

14 The original and fifteen (15)  
15 copies of the foregoing filed this  
16 10<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2000, with:

17 Docket Control  
18 Arizona Corporation Commission  
19 1200 West Washington Street  
20 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

21 COPIES of the foregoing  
22 mailed this 10<sup>th</sup> day of  
23 August, 2000 to:

24 Craig A. Marks  
25 Associate General Counsel  
26 Citizens Utilities Company  
27 2901 North Central Avenue  
28 Suite 1660  
Phoenix, Arizona 85012

Scott S. Wakefield, Esq.  
RUCO  
2828 North Central Avenue, Suite 1200  
Phoenix, Arizona 85004  
Intervenor

Michael A. Curtis  
William P. Sullivan  
MARTINEZ & CURTIS  
2712 N. 7<sup>th</sup> Street  
Phoenix, Arizona 85006

...

1 Walter W. Meek  
2 AUIA  
3 2100 North Central Avenue  
4 Suite 210  
5 Phoenix, Arizona 85004

6 William G. Beyer  
7 Beyer, McMahon & LaRue  
8 10448 West Coggins, Ste. C  
9 Sun City, Arizona 85351

10 Ray Jones  
11 General Manager  
12 Sun City Water Company  
13 P.O. Box 1687  
14 Sun City, Arizona 85372

15 *Angela L. Bennett*  
16 Angela L. Bennett

17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28