

ORIGINAL EXCEPTION



0000038220

COMMISSIONERS

OPEN MEETING AGENDA ITEM

RECEIVED

JEFF HATCH-MILLER, Chairman
WILLIAM A. MUNDELL
MARC SPITZER
MIKE GLEASON
KRISTIN K. MAYES

2006 JAN -9 P 3:56

AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCUMENT CONTROL

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION
OF WOODRUFF WATER COMPANY, INC.
FOR A CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE
AND NECESSITY TO PROVIDE WATER
SERVICE IN PINAL COUNTY, ARIZONA.

DOCKET NO. W-04264A-04-0438

DOCKET NO. SW-04265A-04-0439

DOCKET NO. W-01445A-04-0755

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION
OF WOODRUFF UTILITY COMPANY,
INC. FOR A CERTIFICATE OF
CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY TO
PROVIDE SEWER SERVICE IN PINAL
COUNTY, ARIZONA.

**ARIZONA WATER COMPANY'S
EXCEPTIONS TO
RECOMMENDED OPINION AND
ORDER**

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION
OF ARIZONA WATER COMPANY, AN
ARIZONA CORPORATION, TO EXTEND
ITS EXISTING CERTIFICATES OF
CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY AT
CASA GRANDE AND COOLIDGE, PINAL
COUNTY, ARIZONA.

Pursuant to the December 13, 2005 notice from Executive Director Brian C. McNeil and subsequent procedural order, Arizona Water Company hereby files its exceptions to the Recommended Opinion and Order of Administrative Law Judge Marc E. Stern issued on December 13, 2005.

1200

1 Arizona Water Company believes that the proposed findings and conclusions are
2 well-founded and well-supported in fact and law, given the thoroughness of the record and
3 positions presented by the parties. Even so, Arizona Water Company invites the
4 Commission to take the opportunity to affirm its policies and procedures to avoid the
5 proliferation of non-viable water systems. Additionally, Arizona Water Company submits a
6 few minor non-substantive exceptions designed to clarify and correct the Recommended
7 Opinion and Order before it is adopted by the Commission. Nevertheless, the result in this
8 thoroughly considered matter is appropriate and correct, and the Recommended Order
9 should be forthwith granted by the Commission.
10

11 1. Findings of Fact, ¶ 38, p.10, l. 28: “quality” should be “quantity.”

12 2. Findings of Fact ¶¶ 69, 70 and 114: These proposed findings relate to
13 Commission Decision No. 62993 (November 3, 2000), which approved Staff
14 recommendations for avoiding the proliferation of non-viable water systems by requiring
15 that:

16 The application for a new CC&N must show that an existing water company cannot
17 or will not serve the area being applied for. This showing must be made by
18 submitting service rejection letters from all of the “A” size water companies in the
19 state (there are 3) and at least five of the “B” size companies (there are 20). The five
20 B size companies contacted should include the B size companies that are
21 geographically closest to the applicant. The application must also be accompanied
22 by service rejection letters from all existing water companies within five miles of the
23 area being requested. In addition, the rejection letters must be accompanied by the
24 corresponding request for service that was made to each of the existing water
25 companies by the applicant.

26 Decision No. 62993, Finding of Fact No. 8a (November 3, 2000). This Finding of Fact was
27 approved in the Decision: “THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that the Commission approve
28 Staff’s recommendations in the above findings of fact.” Decision No. 62993, ordering
paragraph number one, page 12.

1 In adopting the Recommended Opinion and Order, the Commission should also take
2 the opportunity to affirm that this decision in this case is fully consistent with the general
3 policy principles which the Commission approved in Decision No. 62993, which favor
4 water service being provided to new territory by existing Class A water companies (like
5 Arizona Water Company in this case) that are geographically closest to that territory.
6

7 Accordingly, the Commission should include the following as part of its final order:


8 This decision is fully consistent with the policy principles set forth in
9 Finding of Fact No. 8 approved by the Commission in Decision No.
62993, dated November 3, 2000.

10 3. Proposed Findings of Fact ¶¶ 82 and 102 (p. 17, l. 4 and p. 19, l. 22): The new
11 minimum arsenic standard is 10 ppb, not 5 ppb.

12 4. Proposed Findings of Fact ¶ 109 (p. 20, l. 13): The word "initially" should be
13 added in between "should" and "fund", in order to properly describe the financing methods
14 proposed by Arizona Water Company.

15 DATED this 9th day of January, 2006.

16 BRYAN CAVE LLP

17
18
19 By 

20 Steven A. Hirsch, #006360
21 Rodney W. Ott, #016686
22 Two N. Central Avenue, Suite 2200
23 Phoenix, AZ 85004-4406
24 Attorneys for Arizona Water Company

25 **ORIGINAL** and 17 **COPIES** of the foregoing
26 filed this 9th day of January, 2006 with:

27 Docket Control Division
28 Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 West Washington Street
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

1
2 **COPY** of the foregoing hand-
3 delivered or mailed this 9th day
4 of January, 2006 to:

4 Marc E. Stern
5 Administrative Law Judge
6 Hearing Division
7 Arizona Corporation Commission
8 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

8 Diane M. Targovnik
9 Assistant Counsel
10 Legal Division
11 Arizona Corporation Commission
12 1200 West Washington
13 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

12 Jeffrey W. Crockett
13 Snell & Wilmer
14 400 E. Van Buren
15 Phoenix, Arizona 85004

15 Marvin Cohen
16 Sacks Tierney
17 4250 N. Drinkwater Boulevard
18 4th Floor
19 Scottsdale, Arizona 85251
20 Attorneys for Woodruff Water Company, Inc.
21 And Woodruff Utility Company, Inc.

20 Denis Fitzgibbons
21 Coolidge City Attorney
22 711 E. Cottonwood, Suite E
23 Casa Grande, Arizona 85230--1208

23 Raymond S. Heyman
24 Michael W. Patten
25 Roshka Heyman & DeWulf, PLC
26 400 E. Van Buren, Suite 800
27 Phoenix, Arizona 85004
28 Attorneys for Pulte Home Corporation

28 Ernest G. Johnson

BRYAN CAVE LP
TWO NORTH CENTRAL AVENUE, SUITE 2200
PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85004-4406
(602) 364-7000

- 1 Director, Utilities Division
- 2 Arizona Corporation Commission
- 3 1200 West Washington Street
- 4 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

- 4 Ursula H. Gordwin
- 5 Casa Grande Assistant City Attorney
- 6 510 E. Florence Boulevard
- 7 Casa Grande, Arizona 85222

- 7 Christopher Kempley, Chief Counsel
- 8 Legal Division
- 9 Arizona Corporation Commission
- 10 1200 West Washington Street
- 11 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

11 *Melinda M. Enay*

- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28