



0000036169

MEMORANDUM RECEIVED

ORIGINAL

NOV 03 11:13

TO: Docket Control
FROM: Deborah R. Scott
Director
Utilities Division

Barbara W. Jastke for

AZ CORP COMMISSION
DOCUMENT CONTROL

Arizona Corporation Commission
DOCKETED

NOV 03 2000

DATE: November 3, 2000

RE: STAFF REPORT ON THE APPLICATION OF ECLIPSE COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION, NOW KNOWN AS WESTERN CLEC CORPORATION, FOR A CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY TO PROVIDE LOCAL COMPETITIVE SERVICES AND PETITION FOR COMPETITIVE CLASSIFICATION OF PROPOSED SERVICES WITHIN THE STATE OF ARIZONA. (DOCKET NO. T-03590A-98-0364)

DOCKETED BY [Signature]

Attached is the Staff Report for the above referenced application. The Applicant is applying for approval to provide the following services:

- Facilities-based local services
- Facilities-based interexchange services
- Resold local services
- Resold interexchange services
- Access services

Staff is recommending approval of the application following a hearing.

/rlm

Originator: Rodney Moore

Attachment: Original and Ten Copies

STAFF REPORT
UTILITIES DIVISION
ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

WESTERN CLEC CORPORATION

DOCKET NO. T-03590A-98-0364

APPLICATION FOR A CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND
NECESSITY TO PROVIDE LOCAL COMPETITIVE SERVICES
AND PETITION FOR COMPETITIVE CLASSIFICATION
OF PROPOSED SERVICES WITHIN THE STATE OF ARIZONA

November 2000

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
1. Introduction.....	1
2. Western CLEC's Application for a Certificate of Convenience & Necessity.....	1
2.1 Description of the Geographic Market to be Served	1
2.2 Description of Requested Services	1
2.3 The Organization	1
2.4 Technical Capability to Provide the Requested Services	2
2.5 Financial Capability to Provide the Requested Services	2
2.6 Establishing Rates and Charges.....	2
3. Local Exchange Carrier Specific Issues	2
3.1 Interconnection	3
3.2 Directory Listings and Directory Assistance.....	3
3.3 Number Portability	3
3.4 Provision of Basic Telephone Service and Universal Service.....	4
3.5 Quality of Service.....	4
3.6 Access to Alternative Local Exchange Service Providers.....	4
3.7 911 Service	5
3.8 Custom Local Area Signaling Services	5
3.9 Equal Access for Interexchange Carriers	5
4. Competitive Services Analysis	6
4.1 Competitive Services Analysis for Local Exchange Services.....	6
4.2 Competitive Services Analysis for Interexchange Services	8
4.3 Competitive Services Analysis for Access Services	9
5. Recommendations.....	11
5.1 Recommendations on Western CLEC's Application For a CC&N.....	11
5.2 Recommendation on Western CLEC's Petition to Have Its Proposed Services Classified as Competitive.....	13

STAFF ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The Staff Report for Western CLEC Corporation, Docket No. T-03590A-98-0364, was the responsibility of the Staff member listed below. Rodney L. Moore was responsible for the review and analysis of the Applicant's application for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity to provide facilities-based and resold interexchange services; facilities-based and resold local exchange services; access telecommunications service; and petition for a determination that its proposed services should be classified as competitive.

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Rodney Moore".

Rodney L. Moore
Auditor III

1. INTRODUCTION

On July 2, 1998, Eclipse Communications Corporation, now known as Western CLEC Corporation ("Western CLEC" or "Applicant"), filed an application for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity ("CC&N") to provide facilities-based and resold interexchange services; facilities-based and resold local exchange services; and access service within the State of Arizona. Western CLEC petitioned the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") for a determination that its proposed services should be classified as competitive.

Staff's review of this application addresses the overall fitness of the Applicant to receive a CC&N. Staff's analysis also considers whether the Applicant's services should be classified as competitive and if the Applicant's initial rates are just and reasonable.

2. WESTERN CLEC'S APPLICATION FOR A CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE & NECESSITY

This section of the Staff Report contains descriptions of the geographic market to be served by Western CLEC, the requested services, and Western CLEC's technical and financial capability to provide the requested services. In addition, this section contains the Staff evaluation of Western CLEC's proposed rates and charges and Staff's recommendation thereon.

2.1 DESCRIPTION OF THE GEOGRAPHIC MARKET TO BE SERVED

Western CLEC seeks authority to provide telecommunications services throughout the service territory of USWC (now known as Qwest).

2.2 DESCRIPTION OF REQUESTED SERVICES

Western CLEC proposes to provide facilities-based and resold interexchange services; facilities-based and resold local exchange services; and switched and special access services. These services include, but are not limited to the following: directory assistance, telephone relay service, E911 service, CLASS services, and directory listings.

2.3 THE ORGANIZATION

Western CLEC is incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware and has provided a copy of its approved Application for Authority to transact business in Arizona. Western CLEC's parent company is Western Wireless Corporation, a publicly traded company on the NASDAQ.

2.4 TECHNICAL CAPABILITY TO PROVIDE THE REQUESTED SERVICES

Western CLEC is currently offering facilities-based and resold local exchange and interexchange service in 13 states.

2.5 FINANCIAL CAPABILITY TO PROVIDE THE REQUESTED SERVICES

Western CLEC has submitted the following financial information of its parent company, Western Wireless Corporation, for the year ended December 31, 1999. These financial data list assets of \$1.36 billion and a net income of \$60.93 million on revenue of \$567.34 million. Based upon this information, Staff believes that Western CLEC has sufficient financial strength to offer the requested telecommunications services in Arizona.

2.6 ESTABLISHING RATES AND CHARGES

Western CLEC would initially be providing service in areas where an incumbent local exchange carrier ("ILEC"), along with various competitive local exchange carriers ("CLECs") and interexchange carriers are providing telephone service. Therefore, Western CLEC would have to compete with those providers in order to obtain subscribers to its services. Western CLEC would be a new entrant and would face competition from both an incumbent provider and other competitive providers in offering service to its potential customers. Therefore, Western CLEC would generally not be able to exert market power. Thus, the competitive process should result in rates that are just and reasonable.

Both an initial rate (the actual rate to be charged) and a maximum rate must be listed for each competitive service offered, provided that the rate for the service is not less than the Company's total service long-run incremental cost of providing the service pursuant to A.A.C. R14-2-1109. Western CLEC has filed a tariff with rates and charges for its local exchange and interexchange services that Staff believes is in compliance with A.A.C. R14-2-1109.

3. LOCAL EXCHANGE CARRIER SPECIFIC ISSUES

Since Western CLEC intends to provide local exchange service, the issues related to the provision of that service are discussed below.

3.1 INTERCONNECTION

Western CLEC has applied for a CC&N to become a local exchange company. As such, Western CLEC will need to connect its network to other local exchange company networks in order to provide ubiquitous calling capabilities to its customers. The Commission approved the parameters under which interconnection between Western CLEC and other telephone service providers will take place (Decision No. 59761, dated July 22, 1996, in Docket No. RT-00000F-96-0001). The 1996 Telecommunications Act has set forth general guidelines for interconnection. Staff therefore recommends that, unless it provides services solely through the use of its own facilities, Western CLEC procure an Interconnection Agreement that is consistent with these guidelines before being allowed to offer local exchange service.

3.2 DIRECTORY LISTINGS AND DIRECTORY ASSISTANCE

Callers should be able to determine the telephone numbers belonging to customers of alternative local exchange companies, such as Western CLEC. There are three issues associated with the provision of Directory Assistance for subscribers to new local exchange company services, no matter what service provider the subscriber uses. These issues are:

1. Should there be one Directory Assistance database administrator?
2. If there is one Directory Assistance database administrator, what should the rates be for inclusion in the directories?
3. What should be included in the Directory Assistance database?

Staff recommends that Western CLEC indicate how it plans to have its customers' telephone numbers included in the incumbent's Directories and Directory Assistance databases before it begins providing local exchange service.

3.3 NUMBER PORTABILITY

Another issue associated with Western CLEC's proposal to become a competitive local exchange company relates to how telephone numbers should be administered. Local exchange competition may not be vigorous if customers, especially business customers, must change their telephone numbers to take advantage of a competitive local exchange carrier's service offerings. Staff recommends that Western CLEC pursue interim and permanent number portability arrangements with other local exchange carriers ("LECs") that are consistent with federal laws, federal rules and state rules.

3.4 PROVISION OF BASIC TELEPHONE SERVICE AND UNIVERSAL SERVICE

The Commission has adopted rules to address maintenance of universal telephone service during and after the transition to a competitive telecommunications services market. The rules contain the terms and conditions for contributions to and support received from telephone service subscribers to finance the Arizona Universal Service Fund ("AUSF"). Under the rules, Western CLEC will be required to participate in the financing of the AUSF and it may be eligible for AUSF support. Therefore, Staff recommends that approval of Western CLEC's application for a CC&N be conditioned upon Western CLEC's agreement to abide by and participate in the AUSF mechanism established by Decision No. 59623, dated April 24, 1996 (Docket No. RT-00000E-95-0498).

3.5 QUALITY OF SERVICE

Staff believes that Western CLEC should be ordered to abide by the quality of service standards that were approved by the Commission for USWC in Docket No. T-01051B-93-0183 (Decision No. 59421). Because the penalties that were developed in this docket were initiated only because USWC's level of service was not satisfactory, Staff does not recommend that those penalties apply to Western CLEC. In the competitive market that Western CLEC wishes to enter, Western CLEC generally will have no market power and will be forced to provide a satisfactory level of service or risk losing its customers. Therefore, Staff believes that it is unnecessary to subject Western CLEC to those penalties at this time.

3.6 ACCESS TO ALTERNATIVE LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICE PROVIDERS

Staff expects that there will be new entrant providers of local exchange service who will install the plant necessary to provide telephone service to, for example, a residential subdivision or an industrial park much like existing local exchange companies do today. In those areas where Western CLEC installs the only local exchange service facilities, Western CLEC will be a monopoly service provider. In the interest of providing competitive alternatives to Western CLEC's local exchange service customers, Staff recommends that Western CLEC provide customers served in these areas with access to alternative local exchange service providers. In this way, a customer may be served by an alternative local exchange service provider if the customer so desires. With this requirement in place, Western CLEC will not be able to exert monopoly power over customers who are located in areas where Western CLEC is the only provider of facilities to serve the customer. Access to other providers should be provided pursuant to the provisions of the 1996 Telecommunications Act, the rules promulgated thereunder and Commission rules on interconnection and unbundling.

3.7 911 SERVICE

Western CLEC has not indicated in its application whether it will provide all customers with 911 and E911 service, where available, or will coordinate with ILECs and emergency service providers to provide the service. Staff believes that Western CLEC should be required to work cooperatively with local governments, public safety agencies, telephone companies, the National Emergency Number Association and all other concerned parties to establish a systematic process in the development of a universal emergency telephone number system. Staff recommends that Western CLEC be required to certify, through the 911 service provider in the area in which it intends to provide service, that all issues associated with the provision of 911 service have been resolved with the emergency service providers before it begins to provide local exchange service.

3.8 CUSTOM LOCAL AREA SIGNALING SERVICES

In its decisions related to USWC's proposal to offer Caller ID and other CLASS features in the State, the Commission addressed a number of issues regarding the appropriateness of offering these services and under what circumstances it would approve the proposals to offer them. The Commission concluded that Caller ID could be offered provided that per call and line blocking, with the capability to toggle between blocking and unblocking the transmission of the telephone number, should be provided as options to which customers could subscribe with no charge. The Commission also approved a Last Call Return service that will not return calls to telephone numbers that have the privacy indicator activated, which indicates that the number has been blocked. The Commission further required that USWC engage in education programs when introducing or providing the service(s).

Staff recommends that Western CLEC be required to abide by all the Commission decisions and policies regarding Caller ID and other CLASS services. However, Staff does not believe that it is necessary for Western CLEC to engage in the educational program that was ordered for USWC as long as customers in the areas where Western CLEC intends to serve have already been provided with educational material and are aware that they can have their numbers blocked on each call or at all times with line blocking.

3.9 EQUAL ACCESS FOR INTEREXCHANGE CARRIERS

Although Western CLEC did not indicate that its switch will be "fully equal access capable" (i.e. would provide equal access to interexchange companies), the Commission requires local exchange companies to provide 2-Primary Interexchange Carriers ("2-PIC") equal access. 2-PIC equal access allows customers to choose different carriers for interLATA and intraLATA toll service and would allow customers to originate intraLATA calls using the preferred carrier on a 1+ basis. Staff recommends that Western CLEC be required to provide 2-PIC equal access.

4. COMPETITIVE SERVICES ANALYSIS

Western CLEC has petitioned the Commission for a determination that the services it is seeking to provide should be classified as competitive. Western CLEC has published legal notice of the application in all counties in which it requests authorization to provide service. Western CLEC has certified that all notification requirements have been completed. Staff's analysis and recommendations are discussed below.

4.1 COMPETITIVE SERVICES ANALYSIS FOR WESTERN CLEC'S REQUESTED LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICES

4.1.1 **A description of the general economic conditions that exist which make the relevant market for the service one that is competitive.**

The analysis of the market for local exchange service that Western CLEC seeks to enter must take into account the fact that there are two local exchange service submarkets. The first is the local exchange service market that consists of locations where ILECs currently provide service. The second local exchange service market consists of locations within ILECs' service territories where ILECs are authorized to provide local exchange service, but where they do not actually provide service.

The local exchange market that Western CLEC seeks to enter is one in which a number of new CLECs have been authorized to provide local exchange service. Nevertheless, ILECs hold a virtual monopoly in the local exchange service market. At locations where ILECs provide local exchange service, Western CLEC will be entering the market as an alternative provider of local exchange service and, as such, Western CLEC will have to compete with those companies in order to obtain customers. In areas where ILECs do not serve customers, Western CLEC may have to convince developers to allow it to provide service to their developments. Staff recommends that, in those instances where the Applicant provides the only facilities used to provide telecommunications service, that the Applicant be required to allow other local exchange companies to use those facilities to serve customers who wish to obtain service from an alternative provider pursuant to federal laws, federal rules and state rules.

4.1.2 **The number of alternative providers of the service.**

Qwest and various independent LECs are the primary providers of local exchange service in the State. Several CLECs and local exchange resellers are also providing local exchange service.

4.1.3 The estimated market share held by each alternative provider of the service.

Since Qwest and the independent LECs are the primary providers of local exchange service in the State, they have a large share of the market. Since the CLECs and local exchange resellers have only recently been authorized to offer service they have limited market share.

4.1.4 The names and addresses of any alternative providers of the service that are also affiliates of the telecommunications Applicant, as defined in A.A.C. R14-2-801.

None.

4.1.5 The ability of alternative providers to make functionally equivalent or substitute services readily available at competitive rates, terms and conditions.

ILECs have the ability to offer the same services that Western CLEC has requested in their respective service territories. Similarly many of the CLECs and local exchange resellers also offer substantially similar services.

4.1.6 Other indicators of market power, which may include growth and shifts in market share, ease of entry and exit, and any affiliation between and among alternative providers of the service(s).

The local exchange service market is:

- a. One in which ILECs own networks that reach nearly every residence and business in their service territories and which provide them with a virtual monopoly over local exchange service. New entrants are also beginning to enter this market.
- b. One in which new entrants will be dependent upon ILECs:
 1. To terminate traffic to customers.
 2. To provide essential local exchange service elements until the entrant's own network has been built.
 3. For interconnection.
- c. One in which ILECs have had an existing relationship with their customers that the new entrants will have to overcome if they want to compete in the market and one in which new entrants do not have a long history with any customers.

- d. One in which Qwest provides a quality of service that has generated a significant number of complaints. These complaints led the Commission to adopt service quality rules that contain penalties if the service quality standards are not met. A provider of alternative service, such as Western CLEC, should provide Qwest--as well as other incumbents--with the incentive to produce higher quality service including service installation and repair on a timely basis.
- e. One in which most customers have few, if any choices since there is generally only one provider of local exchange service in each service territory.
- f. One in which Western CLEC will not have the capability to adversely affect prices or restrict output to the detriment of telephone service subscribers.

4.2 COMPETITIVE SERVICES ANALYSIS FOR WESTERN CLEC'S REQUESTED INTEREXCHANGE SERVICES

4.2.1 **A description of the general economic conditions that exist which make the relevant market for the service one that is competitive.**

The interexchange market that Western CLEC seeks to enter is one in which numerous facilities-based and resold interexchange carriers have been authorized to provide service throughout the State. Western CLEC will be a new entrant in this market and, as such, will have to compete with those companies in order to obtain customers.

4.2.2 **The number of alternative providers of the service.**

There are a large number of facilities-based and resold interexchange carriers providing both interLATA and intraLATA interexchange service throughout the State. In addition, various ILECs provide intraLATA interexchange service in many areas of the State.

4.2.3 **The estimated market share held by each alternative provider of the service.**

The large facilities-based interexchange carriers (AT&T, Sprint, MCI WorldCom, etc.) hold a majority of the interLATA interexchange market, and the ILECs provide a large portion of the intraLATA interexchange market. Numerous other interexchange carriers have a smaller part of the market.

4.2.4 **The names and addresses of any alternative providers of the service that are also affiliates of the telecommunications Applicant, as defined in A.A.C. R14-2-801.**

None.

4.2.5 The ability of alternative providers to make functionally equivalent or substitute services readily available at competitive rates, terms and conditions.

Both facilities-based and resold interexchange carriers have the ability to offer the same services that Western CLEC has requested in their respective service territories. Similarly many of the ILECs offer similar intraLATA toll services.

4.2.6 Other indicators of market power, which may include growth and shifts in market share, ease of entry and exit, and any affiliation between and among alternative providers of the service(s).

The interexchange service market is:

- a. One with numerous competitors and limited barriers to entry.
- b. One in which established interexchange carriers have had an existing relationship with their customers that the new entrants will have to overcome if they want to compete in the market and one in which new entrants do not have a long history with any customers.
- c. One in which Western CLEC will not have the capability to adversely affect prices or restrict output to the detriment of telephone service subscribers.

4.3 COMPETITIVE SERVICES ANALYSIS FOR WESTERN CLEC'S REQUESTED ACCESS SERVICES

4.3.1 A description of the general economic conditions that exist which make the relevant market for the service one that is competitive.

The market for telecommunications service in which Western CLEC intends to provide access service is:

- a. One in which ILECs are the main providers of intrastate access service.
- b. One in which ILECs own networks that reach nearly every residence and business in their service territories, which provide them with a virtual monopoly over intrastate access service in their service territories.
- c. One in which Western CLEC may be reliant upon ILECs to access customers in order to provide competitive access services.

4.3.2 The number of alternative providers of the service.

ILECs are still the main providers of access service in their territories. However, a number of new entrants are competing for intrastate access customers.

4.3.3 The estimated market share held by each alternative provider of the service.

Since ILECs have historically been the only providers of access service in their service territories, they have a majority of the market share in those territories. However, new entrants are gaining market share.

4.3.4 The names and addresses of any alternative providers of the service that are also affiliates of the telecommunications applicant, as defined in A.A.C. R14-2-801.

None.

4.3.5 The ability of alternative providers to make functionally equivalent or substitute services readily available at competitive rates, terms and conditions.

Each service that Western CLEC provides will have at least one alternative supplier.

4.3.6 Other indicators of market power, which may include growth and shifts in market share, ease of entry and exit, and any affiliation between and among alternative providers of the service(s).

The following represent other indications of ILECs' market power in the intrastate switched access service market:

- a. The fact that ILECs, such as Qwest, are providing the majority of intrastate access. New entrants have been authorized to provide intrastate access and are beginning to establish a presence in the market.
- b. Customer relationships with incumbent carriers, such as Qwest, that have existed over a number of years.
- c. The fact that the ILECs, such as Qwest, have access to information about all of the customers located in their service territories that other providers do not (e.g. billing and calling pattern information).
- d. The fact that the ILEC is often the first contact for customers entering an area.

5. RECOMMENDATIONS

The following sections contain the Staff recommendations on Western CLEC's Application for a CC&N and Western CLEC's Petition for a Commission Determination that its Proposed Services Should be Classified as Competitive.

5.1 RECOMMENDATIONS ON WESTERN CLEC'S APPLICATION FOR A CC&N

Western CLEC is incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware. Western CLEC is currently offering facilities-based and resold local exchange and interexchange service in 13 states. Western CLEC has demonstrated that it has the capability to provide its proposed services, as requested, and the provision of these would merely be an extension of its current activities elsewhere. Therefore, Staff recommends that Western CLEC's application for a CC&N to provide intrastate telecommunications services, as listed in Section 2.2 of this Report, be granted subject to the following recommendations:

1. That, unless it provides services solely through the use of its own facilities, Western CLEC procure an Interconnection Agreement before being allowed to offer local exchange service;
2. That Western CLEC file with the Commission, within 30 days of an Order in this matter, its plan to have its customers' telephone numbers included in the incumbent's Directories and Directory Assistance databases;
3. That Western CLEC pursue permanent number portability arrangements with other LECs pursuant to Commission rules, federal laws and federal rules;
4. That Western CLEC agree to abide by and participate in the AUSF mechanism instituted in Decision No. 59623, dated April 24, 1996 (Docket No. RT-00000E-95-0498);
5. That Western CLEC abide by the quality of service standards that were approved by the Commission for USWC in Docket No. T-01051B-93-0183;
6. That in areas where Western CLEC is the sole provider of local exchange service facilities, Western CLEC will provide customers with access to alternative providers of service pursuant to the provisions of Commission rules, federal laws and federal rules;
7. That Western CLEC be required to certify, through the 911 service provider in the area in which it intends to provide service, that all issues associated with the provision of 911 service have been resolved with the emergency service providers within 30 days of an Order in this matter;
8. That Western CLEC be required to abide by all the Commission decisions and policies regarding CLASS services;

9. That Western CLEC be required to provide 2-PIC equal access;
10. That Western CLEC be required to certify that all notification requirements have been completed prior to a final determination in this proceeding;
11. That Western CLEC be required to notify the Commission immediately upon changes to Western CLEC's address or telephone number;
12. That Western CLEC comply with all Commission rules, orders, and other requirements relevant to the provision of intrastate telecommunications service;
13. That Western CLEC maintain its accounts and records as required by the Commission;
14. That Western CLEC file with the Commission all financial and other reports that the Commission may require, and in a form and at such times as the Commission may designate;
15. That Western CLEC maintain on file with the Commission all current tariffs and rates, and any service standards that the Commission may require;
16. That Western CLEC cooperate with Commission investigations of customer complaints;
and
17. That Western CLEC participate in and contribute to a universal service fund, as required by the Commission.

Staff further recommends that Western CLEC's application for a CC&N to provide intrastate telecommunications services be granted subject to the condition: that Western CLEC file its tariffs in accordance with the Decision within 30 days of an Order in this matter or within 30 days of an Order approving its interconnection agreement, whichever is later.

5.2 RECOMMENDATION ON WESTERN CLEC'S PETITION TO HAVE ITS
PROPOSED SERVICES CLASSIFIED AS COMPETITIVE

Staff believes that Western CLEC's proposed services should be classified as competitive. There are alternatives to Western CLEC's services. Western CLEC will have to convince customers to purchase its services, and Western CLEC has no ability to adversely affect the local exchange, access, or interexchange service markets. Therefore, Western CLEC currently has no market power in the local exchange, access, or interexchange service markets where alternative providers of telecommunications services exist. Staff therefore recommends that Western CLEC's proposed services be classified as competitive.

Staff further recommends that Western CLEC be subject to the Commission's rules governing interconnection and unbundling and the 1996 Telecommunications Act and the rules promulgated thereunder. In the event that Western CLEC provides essential services or facilities that potential competitors need in order to provide their services, Western CLEC should be required to offer those facilities or services to these providers on non-discriminatory terms and conditions pursuant to federal laws, federal rules, and state rules.

REQUEST FOR HEARING DATE

DKT_TYPE -NUMBER DIV -YEAR -MATTER COMPANY and DBA CASE_TYPE ASSIGNMENTS DATE TIME HEARING CITY/LOCATION FOR HEARING

T - 03590A - 98 - 0364	Eclipse Communications Corporation	Local Exchange CC&N	STAFF <u>Moore</u> LEGAL <u>Metil</u> HEARING	ESTIMATED TIME REQUIRED	
------------------------	------------------------------------	---------------------	---	-------------------------	--

OTHER INFORMATION:

10/24/2000 HEARING, LEGAL, 3 COMMISSIONERS COPIED WITH APPLICATION

PLEASE RETURN ORIGINAL TO DOCKET CONTROL

Abraham S. Lee (SIGNATURE) 11-2-00 (DATE)
 DIVISION DIRECTOR

 _____ (SIGNATURE) _____ (DATE)
 LEGAL DIVISION

 _____ (SIGNATURE) _____ (DATE)
 HEARING DIVISION

DATE SENT TO LEGAL

DATE SENT TO HEARING